fik3238 01 COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, STATE OF CALIFORNIA 07 In the matter of:)) Case No. BA109900 08 PEOPLE vs. RAFAEL ANTONIO PEREZ) VOLUME 25) CONFIDENTIAL TRANSCRIPTION OF INTERVIEW OF RAFAEL ANTONIO PEREZ Los Angeles, California Wednesday, May 10, 2000 21 Transcribed by: 22 Lynden J. Glover 23 CSR No. 5510

24 Job No.: IAD4750 COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, STATE OF CALIFORNIA In the matter of:)) Case No. BA109900 PEOPLE vs. RAFAEL ANTONIO PEREZ VOLUME 25)) TRANSCRIPT OF INTERVIEW OF RAFAEL ANTONIO PEREZ, taken on behalf of the Los Angeles Police Department, at the Internal Affairs South Section, Los Angeles, California, commencing at 10:00 a.m., on Wednesday, May 10, 2000, reported by LYNDEN J. GLOVER, CSR No. 5510, a Certified Shorthand Reporter for

22 the State of California. 22 23 23 24 24 25 25 3240 01 APPEARANCES: 01 02 02 RICHARD ROSENTHAL 03 Deputy District Attorney 03 Los Angeles County District Attorney's Office 04 Special Investigations Division 210 West Temple Street 04 05 18th Floor 05 Los Angeles, California 90012 06 MICHAEL D. CARTER 06 07 Deputy District Attorney 07 210 West Temple Street 80 Los Angeles, California 90012 8 0 09 WINSTON KEVIN MC KESSON 09 Attorney at Law 10 315 South Beverly Drive 10 Suite 305 11 Beverly Hills, California 90212-4309 11 12 DETECTIVE SHELLEY E. DEMUCHA 12 Los Angeles Police Department 13 West Valley Division 13 14 DETECTIVE STUART MICHELSON 14 Los Angeles Police Department 15 West Valley Division 15 SERGEANT JERRY RODRIGUEZ 16 16 Los Angeles Police Department 17 Robbery-Homicide Division Task Force 17 18 SERGEANT GIL SERAFIN 18 Los Angeles Police Department 19 Internal Affairs Group 19

SERGEANT R. MC COMB Los Angeles Police Department Internal Affairs Group Rampart Task Force DETECTIVE MIKE BURDITT Los Angeles Police Department Internal Affairs Group 01 APPEARANCES: DETECTIVE DEBORA ORPIN Los Angeles Police Department Internal Affairs Group SERGEANT WES BUHRMESTER Los Angeles Police Department Internal Affairs Group

18				
18				
19				
19				
20				
20				
21				
21				
22				
22				
23				
23				
24				
24				
25				
25				
3242				
01 01	INDEX			
01				
02	EXAMINATION BY:			PAGE
03	EXAMINATION DI.			IAGE
03	Mr. Rosenthal			3243
04				0210
04	Mr. Carter			3250
05				
05	Detective Demucha			3261
06				
06	Detective Michelson			3265
07				
07	Sergeant Rodriguez			3296
08				2200
08 09	Sergeant Serafin			3329
09	Sergeant Mc Comb			3332
10	Sergeant Mc comb			5552
10	Detective Burditt			3349
11				5515
11	Sergeant Orpin			3354
12	5 1			
12				
13	FURTHER EXAMINATION BY:			PAGE
13				
14	Detective Demucha	3269,	3273,	
14			3286,	3292
15			0050	0000
15	Detective Michelson		3272,	3280

16			3283,	3288
16 17 17	Sergeant Serafin	3333,	3343,	3347
18 18	Detective Mc Comb		3342,	3347
19 19	Mr. Rosenthal			3344
20 20 21 21	Detective Burditt	-	3361, 3366, 3379,	3371
22 22 23 23 24 24 25 25	Sergeant Orpin		3360, 3364, 3385,	3370

01 Los Angeles, California, Wednesday, May 10, 2000 02 10:00 a.m. 03 04 05 MR. ROSENTHAL: All right. Today's date is May 10th, the year 2000. I'm Richard Rosenthal, 06 deputy district attorney. These are the continuing 07 interviews of Rafael Perez. 08 All right, Mr. Perez, if you will please 09 10 raise your right hand. 11 12 RAFAEL PEREZ, 13 produced as a witness, and having been first duly 14 sworn, was examined and testified as follows: 15 16 EXAMINATION BY MR. ROSENTHAL: 17 18 Q Thank you. You will remain under oath 19 during the pendency of the questioning which should 20 go on until about five o'clock or so today. 21 The first issue is back when we first 22 started our interviews, RHD detectives showed you

3243

23 a -- or went over with you all of the officers who 24 were involved in CRASH or in CRASH when you were 25 there at Rampart and asked you whether they were in 3244

01 the loop or what their involvement was in any 02 criminal activity.

When we reviewed the transcripts, we noted that there was one officer we mentioned named Juan Guerra, G-u-e-r-r-a, serial number 30949. And you had asked to see a photograph of Officer Guerra before you could go ahead and tell us what his involvement was, if any.

09 We realize that we have not shown a 10 photograph, so today I want to show you a 11 photograph. It's dated August 10th of 1997.

And based upon looking at that photograph, and you tell us whether or not Officer Guerra was in the loop or involved in any misconduct, to your knowledge?

A After reviewing or looking at Mr. Guerra's photo, it does refresh my memory. He was in the loop. I had very little dealings as far as cases, doing cases with him. We worked apart in different 20 sides of the Rampart area. But he was in the loop,
21 yes.

Q And do you have any specifics that you can think of as to misconduct that he committed? A Not off the top of my head. If I reviewed some things. I know he would've been in position to 243245

01 see a lot of things that went on that, you know, he 02 didn't say anything. He was aware that misconduct 03 was happening or things were being planted.

But off the top of my head, something that he did specifically, like I said, I very rarely worked with him or when he was my partner. Or if he needed additional units to work with him, it wasn't me because I worked clearly on the other side of the division.

10 Q But as far as you're aware, he would've 11 also been involved in misconduct such as planting 12 evidence, manufacturing probable cause, or such?

13 A Definitely.

14 Q Okay. Thank you.

All right. We have one habeas case that we need to discuss today. This is the case of 17 Veronica Chavez, D.A. case number BA160587. The18 D.R. number is 97-0239613.

We've got a copy of the arrest report which appears to have been prepared by you for an arrest date of December 5th of 1997. You've had an opportunity to take a look at this before we went on the record.

Having looked at this report, is there 25 anything inaccurate in this report as per your 3246

01 recollection?

A I have reviewed the report as well as the search warrant affidavit. And there is nothing in that report that was falsified, including reading the admonition of rights. There is absolutely nothing wrong with that report.

Q All right. Let me read to you from a writ which was filed by the defendant and see if having listened to this, if any of this is true.

According to the petitioner, she denies the presence of any cocaine at 1133 South Burlington on December 5th, 1997.

13 She says on the morning of December 5th,

14 1997, she awoke to the sounds of her mother in the 15 bathroom. She got up and went to the bathroom to 16 find her mother leaning over the toilet, vomiting. 17 While in the bathroom with her mother, 18 LAPD officers, with their guns drawn, entered the residence and ordered her and her mother out of the 19 20 bathroom, into the living room, where they were handcuffed and ordered to sit on the couch. 21 22 Next Officer Perez demanded, "Where is 23 Duster?" to which petitioner, which was Veronica 24 Chavez, the defendant, responded that her brother, 25 Edgar Jesus Chavez, also known as Duster, was not 3247 01 there and, in fact, had not lived there for over a 02 year. 03 She says that you did not accept this,

04 that you said that she was lying and that he knew 05 that Duster lived there, meaning that you knew that 06 Duster lived there.

O7 For the next few minutes she claims that
O8 Officer Perez continued to demand to know where
O9 Duster was. And eventually, accepting the fact that
Duster was not there, you took the defendant into

11 the bathroom, closed the door, demanded that she 12 call Duster and get him to come there by making up a 13 story.

14 She says that you suggested that she tell 15 her brother that their mother had slipped and fallen 16 in the bathtub. She said she refused to cooperate 17 despite being threatened with arrest.

She says that having failed to coerce her, you, Officer Perez, took her mother alone into the bathroom for questioning.

21 And when her mother was brought out of the 22 bathroom, one officer berated her for coming into 23 the country and selling drugs while another 24 threatened her and her mother with deportation. 25 She says that the officers conducted a 3248

01 search of the residence which resulted in the 02 seizure of her mother's lifesavings, about \$1,600, 03 and they were arrested and taken to jail. She 04 obviously states that there were no narcotics at the 05 location.

06 Can you comment on those allegations?07 A The fact that we asked her about Duster --

08 Q Yes.

09	A that's correct. We know for a fact
10	that he was there because he was there the night
11	before and we had an informant go in and buy
12	narcotics. The fact that we asked her about Duster
13	and where he's at, that's also true. Everything
14	else is a lie.
15	The fact that her mother supposedly was
16	over or over the tub and the toilet throwing up,
17	there was no indication that her face was wet or
18	that she was wiping her mouth.
19	Her hands were wet. There was a bucket
20	next to the to the bathtub, which is used
21	commonly used for a power flush.
22	What you do is you throw the narcotics in
23	there, in the tub or in the toilet, and then you
24	throw the bucket of water so it makes it go down
25	faster. There's no residual left behind.
3249	
01	When we went in there I'm pretty sure
02	on the report it says myself and Durden. But I'm
03	pretty sure that there was Detective Mc Gee and
04	as well as Lusby was with us.

And we knew narcotics were going to be flushed there. I happened to look down into the tub area and found one little rock left.

And based on her admission that, "Yeah, we saw you guys coming in when you guys came through that sliding --" it was my opinion that they knew that there was narcotics there. They intentionally tried to destroy the evidence. They were in possession of that evidence. And we booked them for that charge.

I was aware that her brother and the mother were primarily the dealers. But the fact that the sister as well tried to destroy evidence so she must have possessed it at some point in the house while we were coming in, I did book them on the charge.

But all the other allegations ofmisconduct are false, completely false.

23 Q Okay. Thank you.

All right. The next case we've got Deputy District Attorney Michael Carter here, who has a

01 couple of questions.

02	And if you could identify the case
03	number, if you have it if you don't, I can give
04	it to you and the D.R. number of the case you
05	want to discuss.
06	MR. CARTER: This it's Shatto Place.
07	MR. ROSENTHAL: Oh, Shatto Place. We don't
08	have to go into case numbers then.
09	
10	EXAMINATION
11	BY MR. CARTER:
12	Q Basically, Ray, what I'm going to ask you
13	are questions about the cab
14	A Yes, sir.
15	Q and how you came into possession of the
16	cab, what the cab looked like, and things like
17	that. So let's just start with let's see.
18	Do you remember what the cab looked like?
19	A It was a yellow, unmarked cab. The
20	windows were all tinted, all of them, front and
21	back. There was no marking, you know, the taxicab,
22	"call this number" type thing. Older Chevy Caprice.
23	I would say '88, '89 maybe.
24	Nothing distinguishing about it other

25 than all the windows were tinted, dark tint. I mean 3251

01 like the tint that you can't see through.

02 Q When you say "unmarked," it didn't say 03 anywhere on it that it was a taxicab? Not that I recall. I remember it being 04 А yellow, painted yellow. I mean there's some that 05 are white and green, but this one was yellow. 06 07 Q Okay. When you decided to go get this 08 taxicab, did you know exactly where you were going 09 to get it or did you just come across it on the 10 street?

11 A I knew that if I started driving from the 12 point where I was headed towards the station, 13 between there and -- or between those two points, 14 I'd see one that would be suitable and I'd just 15 detain the person, take them to the station, and 16 bring the cab back.

17 Q Okay. Had you made these stops on cabs
18 before?

A Oh, we've stopped cabs many times.
Q Okay. What's the procedure for stopping
them? What's the official reason for stopping them?

22 A Well, we know that they're bandit cabs. 23 There's no placard, no city placards. I mean that 24 wasn't the purpose on this date. But on other 25 occasions I've worked bandit cab details where 3252 that's all we do all day, a cash overtime detail. 01 We stop cabbies, cite them, impound the vehicle, 02 03 things like that. 04 Q Okay. So this is something that's normally done? When you stopped this cab, were you 05 06 in your black and white? 07 No, sir. I was in a plain Ford Taurus, I А believe. 08 09 Q Okay. How did you conduct the stop? Just a normal traffic stop. 10 А 11 Okay. You just pulled up alongside of it Q 12 or behind it? 13 Pulled behind it. Just made a regular А 14 traffic stop, pulled the vehicle over, told the male 15 that we needed to investigate something, that we had 16 to take him to the station, and then we took the vehicle to the station. 17 18 When you took him to the station, did you Q

19 take him into custody? Did you handcuff him?

20 A Yes, sir.

21 Q And how was the cab transported back to 22 the station?

A My partner, Raquel Duarte, drove the car
from the traffic stop location to the station,
Rampart Station, and I transported the defendant.

Q Okay. Did he have any questions or anything once you stopped him? Did you have any conversation with him?

04 A He was actually pretty -- very

05 cooperative, pretty mild-mannered, Hispanic male, 06 45, maybe 50, but he was very cooperative. I don't 07 think he asked any questions.

08 Q Okay. Did you take his driver's license
09 or any identification from him?

10 A I don't think so.

11 Q When you stopped the cab, as far as your 12 partner is concerned, where was she when you

13 actually did the stop?

14 A She was in the car with me.

15 Q I mean did she get out of the car and

16 approach the car?

17 A Yes, sir.

18 Q Okay.

A We did a normal routine traffic stop. We both got out of our vehicles and then we explained to him that we had to take him to the station to ask some questions.

Q Now, before you actually did the traffic 4 stop, did you have a discussion with her about what 5 you were about to do and how were you going to do 3254

01 it?

A We had a discussion back where we
originally snoopied up or met up with the
supervisors and all the other officers.

We were given an assignment to go locate a O6 cab that we were going to use to approach the O7 location. So we knew exactly, you know, at that O8 point what we needed to do. We needed to go find a O9 cab.

10 Q Okay. When the stop was made, at any 11 point did you or your partner have a discussion with 12 the cab driver about using the cab? 13 A No, sir.

14 And so she never told him, "We're going to Q borrow your cab," or anything along those lines? 15 No, sir. 16 А 17 When you took him back to the station, Q where did you put him in the station? 18 19 If you walk into the Rampart upper level А rear door, as soon as you walk in and you turn left, 20 21 as you go through the door threshold, the first 22 interview room to the right, there's a small, little 23 interview room. We placed him in there. 24 And does that interview room have any 0 25 windows or glass in it? 3255 01 No, sir. А 02 When you took him in was he in handcuffs? Q He was in handcuffs and then I 03 А 04 unhandcuffed him once he was inside. 05 Q When you took him into the station, where 06 was your partner? 07 А She was with me. 80 She actually walked into the station with 0 09 you?

10 A Yes, sir.

11 Q And you put him in the interview room?12 A Yes, sir.

13 Q And did you lock the room?

14 A Yes, sir. It's an outer lock. It locks15 outside, one of those latches you just slide over.

16 Q Okay. And did you indicate that -- in any 17 way that the room was occupied? Or how would people 18 know that the room was occupied?

A They'd probably just knock, unlock and see, look inside if there was somebody in. I did not mark anything saying "occupant" or anything, no. Q Okay. When you left the station, who was driving the cab?

A I believe I drove the cab to the snoopy up 25 location. I keep using the word "snoopy" up. 3256

01 That's what we use, but the meet location.

02 Q Okay. And was Sergeant Ortiz at that 03 location when you came back?

04 A He was already there, yes.

05 Q Okay. And where was your partner at that 06 point? Was she in the car with you? 07 A When we -- from the station going to the 08 meet? Yes, sir. 09 Q And when you left the location to go to Shatto Place, where was she situated in the car? 10 She was the -- the driver officer of the 11 А 12 taxicab. 13 Okay. And where were you? 0 I was in the taxicab as well. 14 А

15 Q In the passenger or in the back? If you 16 recall.

17 MR. MC KESSON: This is going back from the 18 station to the location?

19 BY MR. CARTER:

20 Q This is going from the place where you 21 snoopied up to Shatto Place. She's the driver 22 officer.

23 A I was a passenger, the front passenger.24 Q Okay.

A I was a little confused as to you're
3257
01 talking about the station going to the snoopy up or

02 the snoopy up going to the Shatto location.

03 Q No, I'm talking about the snoopy up to

04 Shatto.

05 A Okay.

06 Q You switched places?

07 A Yes.

08 Q Okay.

09 A Because originally what was going to10 happen was Ortiz was going to go with us.

11 Q Okay.

12 A And we decided that because they may run 13 northbound, that he would just drive slowly from the 14 north coming south.

15 Q Ina--

16 A In a hybrid police vehicle.

17 Q Okay. Is there a reason why you changed 18 over and let her drive and you went to the passenger 19 side?

20 A That was my doing.

21 Q Okay.

A I wanted to be the closest to the A I wanted to be the closest to the defendants -- I mean not that I have anything against females, but it was just the way I wanted it to be done. I wanted -- she was the junior officer. 01 I wanted to be closer to the defendants as I'm 02 getting out of the vehicle.

03 I'm not saying that there was a lack of 04 tactical awareness on her part. It's just the way I 05 wanted to do it. I wanted to not have to be 06 driving. I wanted to be looking at the situation in 07 front of me instead of having to drive it, park it, and doing all that other stuff. 08 09 Q Okay. Now, after this is all over with, you have a conversation with Sergeant Ortiz about 10 11 the taxicab. 12 Yes, sir. А

13 Q What is that conversation?

14 A To get rid of it and bring back the police 15 car and put it in its place.

16 Q And how did you accomplish that?

17 A I had her go out and do it.

18 Q Where was she when you had that

19 conversation with her?

20 A I believe she was in the lower level or 21 the first floor level of the apartment building.

22 Q Okay. Was she actually on the inside or 23 was she outside? A When I actually told her, I think I 25 originally wanted to say that she must have been 3259

01 inside, but that, I can't be a hundred percent
02 positive.

I know there was a point where I talked to her again outside the building. But I know I got --I communicated that information to her, to get rid of that car and get the police vehicle back.

07 Q Okay.

08 A I want to say that I told her inside the 09 building, but I may have talked to her a couple of 10 times about it.

11 Q Okay. That's what I wanted to ask you. 12 You say you talked to her a couple times about it. 13 Did she not do it the first time or what do you 14 think happened?

15 A I think it was a matter of who's going to 16 go, who's going to go with her, that type of thing. 17 Because she had to drive the Taurus and then come 18 back and bring the other vehicle back. So I 19 think -- I know I talked to her at least once or 20 twice about it. Because I was actually told to go 21 do it.

22 Q Okay.

A Ortiz told me to do it, and then I A delegated it to her. And it was just a matter of who's going to go with her or how are we going to do 3260

01 this.

Q Okay. From the time that you first talked 03 to her until the time that she actually left in the 04 cab, how long would you say that was?

05 A This was immediately. This was -- I mean 06 it's hard for me to put a time on it.

07 Q Okay.

08 A But we're talking as soon as everything09 happens. I mean as soon as.

10 Q Okay.

11 A You know, the defendants are still, you 12 know, being walked downstairs, that type of thing, 13 "let's get that car out of here, let's get," you 14 know, "the other car in," that type of thing. So 15 it's happening right away.

16 Q Okay. Since that time, since the 17 incident, did you ever have an opportunity to see 18 this same cab or cab driver again?

19	A No, sir. Not to my knowledge. I mean I
20	may have seen it but didn't realize it's him, you
21	know. We see, you know, people up and down Rampart
22	all day, but not that I was, you know, consciously
23	saying, "hey, that's the guy that we stopped that
24	one time," no.
25	Q Okay. What about that cab, did you ever
3261	
01	come across any cabs like that?
02	A All the time, but I mean I wouldn't know
03	if it's that particular cab or not.
04	MR. CARTER: Okay. And I think that's about
05	it. Yeah.
06	MR. ROSENTHAL: All right. We'll go off the
07	record for a moment.
08	(Discussion off the record)
09	MR. ROSENTHAL: Okay. It's 10:40. We're back
10	on the record. And this we're going to be
11	discussing the case involving Defendants Raul Munoz,
12	M-u-n-o-z, and Caesar Natividad, N-a-t-i-v-i-d-a-d.
13	His true name is Banuelos, B-a-n-u-e-l-o-s. The
14	D.A. case number is BA135359. The D.R. number is

15 96-1121308. Go ahead.

16

17 EXAMINATION 18 BY DETECTIVE DEMUCHA: 19 Okay. You've had a chance to review all 0 20 the paperwork there. 21 When you actually approached the location -- okay, you've already had the O.P. and 22 23 you're going to make your move in -- do you remember 24 who was in the car with you, if anyone? 25 А Well, let me clarify it a little bit. 3262 01 Okay. Q 02 А I was doing the O.P. I realized that the meeting was definitely going down. I then have 03 04 several, I mean several units from northeast and, as 05 many as I can, all my Rampart CRASH units meet me at 06 the substation on Sunset. From there I make all the 07 assignments, where each unit -- what corner are they 80 going to take. 09 When we respond to the party itself, the 10 alley, I probably have about five people in our 11 vehicle. There is -- and I can't remember them all,

12 but I know there was -- there was several people. 13 We all just -- we figured the best thing to do is 14 get as many people in the car as possible. 15 And when we went to the alley, we parked 16 the car in the alley, got out, and started walking 17 it in. 18 Q Okay. Let me throw some names at you and see if it rings any bells. Was Duarte with you? 19 20 А Yes, ma'am. 21 Was Buchanan? Q 22 А Yes, ma'am. 23 Was Liddy,? Q 24 А Yes, ma'am. 25 Q So that's three and you is four. Can you 3263 01 remember -- five people, one more person being in 02 the vehicle? 03 Oh, in my vehicle? I believe Sergeant А 04 Ortiz was also in my vehicle. 05 And Ortiz was possibly in your vehicle. Q 06 So that's five. 07 Yes, ma'am. А 80 Do you think there was anyone else stuffed Q

09 in there?

10 A In my vehicle?

11 Q Yes.

12 A I don't know because, remember, there's13 several vehicles, not just one.

14 Q Right. Well, specifically, I want who's 15 in your car.

A I remember there was about five. I mean we packed up pretty tight. I think those were the ones that -- I know definitely my partner, which was Duarte. I'm pretty sure about Buchanan, Liddy, and I think it was Ortiz.

21 Q Okay. So you pull up. Did you go -- did 22 you initially go -- the alley runs north and south. 23 Did you initially go south first?

A Yes, ma'am.

25 Q Okay.

3264

01 A We went -- as we made a right on to that 02 street -- I think it's Clayton.

03 Q Yes.

04 A We went south because we thought that's05 where they were going to be meeting at. But what

06 happened was the meeting was over and they were 07 already headed back to their vehicles, which is 08 north of that -- of that alley, that street there. 09 So -- but we left the vehicles there, got out, and 10 walked it up towards them. 11 0 Okay. And do you remember were you 12 walking in a skirmish-like formation or were you in a column or one on either side of the other? 13 14 А We were column. We were stacked up one in 15 front of the other up along the alley going up 16 there. 17 Okay. And can you remember who was in 0 front, who was the point man? 18 I know Ortiz -- what I do remember was 19 А Ortiz was in front of me and Liddy was behind me and 20 21 there was somebody else in front of him. 22 Of Liddy? 0 23 In front of Ortiz. А 24 Q Okay. 25 А I don't know -- I just can't remember who 3265 it was, you know. I know there was somebody in 01

02 front of him, also.

03 Q And were the occupants of your car either 04 in front of you or directly behind you? No one 05 broke off to go anywhere else? 06 А No. We realized, oh, they're all over 07 there. So we had turned around and started walking quickly that way. There was other vehicles coming 80 09 in, too, though. 10 Into the alley? Q 11 А Yes, ma'am. 12 You mean police vehicles or civilians? Q 13 А No, there was a vehicle I believe coming 14 from the -- from Clayton, one street north of 15 Clayton. 16 Q Camero. 17 18 EXAMINATION BY DETECTIVE MICHELSON: 19 20 These are photos. Q 21 А Camero? 22 Q These are aerial photos. The bottom of 23 the photo would be Clayton Avenue and the top of the photo would be Camero Avenue. Hillhurst would be to 24 25 your left.

3266

01 Hillhurst would be here? А 02 Yeah. Q Hillhurst would be here. The fire station 03 А 04 is over here somewhere. 05 Yeah, here's --0 MR. ROSENTHAL: All right. For the record, 06 you're going to have to state -- you can't just say 07 08 "here." You need to say "to the left of the photograph" or "towards the bottom." 09 10 BY DETECTIVE MICHELSON: 11 Okay. On the top photo, this is Hillhurst 0 here. This is a rental car. This was tennis courts 12 13 back then. This is Clayton. This is the alley. On the left side of the photo is the alley that's east 14 15 of Hillhurst. And this is Camero. 16 Okay. This would be Hillhurst here coming А 17 down on the left side of the picture, of the bottom 18 picture, and this would be Clayton. 19 We originally came eastbound on Clayton 20 and came in the alley southbound here. We realized 21 there was nobody here, and we looked -- we looked 22 behind us and we just saw the big gathering up here.

23 Q Which is north of where you are?

A North of Clayton in this -- I think it's a 25 rental car place?

3267

01 DETECTIVE DEMUCHA: It is now.

02 THE WITNESS: It was then, too.

03 BY DETECTIVE MICHELSON:

04 Q No, this is tennis courts here. It was 05 tennis courts.

06 A No, this here I mean.

07 Q Oh, okay. This is the dentist office.

08 A Is it a dentist office or something?

09 Q Yeah. And this is an auto repair.

10 MR. ROSENTHAL: Okay. Stop. Hold it.

11 Remember we're on the record.

12 DETECTIVE MICHELSON: Right. I'm getting 13 there.

14 MR. ROSENTHAL: No, no, no. You have to --

15 exactly. One at a time.

16 DETECTIVE MICHELSON: Right.

MR. ROSENTHAL: Wait for him to finish, thenask the question.

19 DETECTIVE MICHELSON: Okay.

20 THE WITNESS: Anyway, we -- we ended up walking 21 it back north on the left side of the alley here, 22 the west side. And when I was saying there was a 23 vehicle coming in, there was a vehicle that was 24 going to close off this alley here. 25 And we primarily used Northeast and 3268 01 Rampart patrol units to seal off the outer 02 perimeter. What I had was a different unit in 03 between the alley, you know, over here in case I needed a big perimeter. 04 05 DETECTIVE DEMUCHA: Right. 06 THE WITNESS: Because you got to remember what 07 we were looking for was a murder suspect --08 DETECTIVE DEMUCHA: Right. 09 THE WITNESS: -- that was supposed to be there, and he was there. We lost him. Yeah. 10 11 So when we -- we were responding this way, 12 I know Sergeant Ortiz was in front me, I know Liddy 13 was behind me, I believe Buchanan was behind me, but 14 there was somebody -- I don't know if it was Raquel 15 Duarte or who it was, but there was somebody in 16 front of me.

17 So I mean when we got to about -- I want 18 to say about to where this white car is now on the 19 bottom photo right towards the center, that's when 20 the truck came down this way here.

It's hard to describe it. Right in the middle of the photo, if you come out of the parking lot and come right down to the alleyway here, the north/south alley, we're right about where this car is.

3269

01 And the truck -- I believe it was a light 02 blue truck -- is coming down for about -- he's coming about 20, 25 miles an hour. And we get 03 04 out -- we all started getting out of the way of the 05 truck. 06 I believe he came -- he started to sort of turn left and ran into a tree that's right around 07 80 here. 09 10 FURTHER EXAMINATION

11 BY DETECTIVE DEMUCHA: 12 Q Okay. That was my next point, is that --13 that the car was coming and you were -- "you", 14 meaning all of you -- had to get out of the way to 15 avoid being struck. Is that fair?

16 A I mean we took an exaggerated "get out of 17 the way." If I would've stood right where I was, he 18 probably would've missed me, but I would've been 19 awfully close.

20 Q Right.

A Now, had some of the other officers stood there, yeah, they might have got runned over. You know what I mean? But everybody -- I know everybody jumped to this side over here.

25 Q So that would be, what, the east side? 3270

01 A The west side of the alley.

Q When you approached -- when you were walking up the alley northbound, were you more or less in the center of the alley, you know, in between east or west, or were you like hugging one side or the other?

07 A We were to the center left. Center -08 Q West?
09 A -- west part of the alley.

10 Q Okay.

11 A We all were. And we were all like pretty 12 much behind each other. That's why I was -- when I 13 say one of us might have been a little bit off, you 14 know. Because the vehicle came right down the 15 middle of the alley, so -- but we were in the center 16 left of the alley.

17 So would he have hit us? That's 18 debatable. I mean but we certainly made an effort 19 to get out of the way just in case he ever swerved 20 toward us. But he never swerved towards us, so --21 Q Okay. You never saw him changing 22 direction? You never saw him swerve?

A No, ma'am.

Q As the vehicle passed and you looked 25 behind you, did you notice anybody jumping out of 3271

01 the way?

02 A We all jumped out of the way.

03 Q Well, I mean falling. Okay. Did you see 04 anybody hit the deck?

05 A I know that Buchanan had to get up, and 06 I'm pretty sure that that's why we used him as the 07 victim officer. Because he did -- 08 Q Go ahead. I'm sorry.

09	A He did have to like get out of the way.
10	He might have fallen or slipped or tore his pants or
11	something like that.
12	But the reason we used him as the victim
13	officer is because of that reason, because he had
14	some tore pants and some scratches or something.
15	But the vehicle never hit him.
16	Q Okay.
17	A And the vehicle door never hit Liddy,
18	definitely didn't hit Liddy.
19	Q Did you actually see him picking himself
20	up off the ground?
21	A That's what I remember.
2.2	Q Right after the truck passed? Sorry.
22	Q Right after the truck passed: Sofry.
22	A Right. I definitely didn't see him
23	A Right. I definitely didn't see him
23 24	A Right. I definitely didn't see him getting hit, and I don't remember him getting down.
23 24 25	A Right. I definitely didn't see him getting hit, and I don't remember him getting down.
23 24 25 3272	A Right. I definitely didn't see him getting hit, and I don't remember him getting down. But, you know, as we're now headed towards the
23 24 25 3272 01	A Right. I definitely didn't see him getting hit, and I don't remember him getting down. But, you know, as we're now headed towards the truck, I could see him getting up.

05 BY DETECTIVE MICHELSON:

06 Let me stop right there. Then -- let me Q 07 see if I understand it right then. 80 Then he's fallen before the truck's gotten 09 even to you. 10 А Right. He's behind me. 11 Q Right. 12 Right. Let me -- again, somebody's in А 13 front and then it's Ortiz, then me, Liddy, and 14 then -- and I believe it's Buchanan. So he's behind 15 us. Right. 16 Q So we see the vehicle coming. I'm getting 17 А 18 out of the way, I'm getting out of the way, and we 19 see the truck, oops, hit the tree. We look over, 20 we're going to head towards the truck, and we see 21 Buchanan having to stand up. 22 Oh, I see. So you're going to turn around Q 23 and head back --24 А Right. 25 Q -- towards the truck. 3273 01

02 FURTHER EXAMINATION 03 BY DETECTIVE DEMUCHA: 04 Did you see the guys bail out of the Q 05 truck? 06 А Yeah, I see them bail out and just start 07 running. 80 You didn't follow them, though? Q 09 No, ma'am. А 10 Q Do you remember who did, if anyone? I know on the report it says Liddy went 11 А 12 after foot pursuit of one of them and caught him. 13 That's absolutely fabricated. I believe Brehm might 14 have caught him. And then a canine caught the other 15 one in one of the garages later on that evening. 16 Right. But you weren't -- you didn't Q 17 observe that yourself --18 А No. 19 -- the dog bite thing. Q 20 А No, ma'am. 21 Okay. All right. After all that's done, Q 22 I assume that you continued on up the alley and had contact with gang members that were in the alley. 23 24 А Yes, ma'am.

25 Q Okay. Do you remember if any F.I.'s were 3274

01 taken?

02 А I'm assuming many F.I.'s were done. We arrested -- I don't know -- five, six people that 03 day. So at least on the arrestees F.I.'s were done. 04 05 But I'm assuming -- there was other people detained. There was the girlfriend of the murder 06 07 suspect that was there. I know we F.I.'d her. We got all her information. How many total F.I.'s, I 08 don't know. 09

10 Q Do you remember what the girlfriend's name 11 was?

A I had all her information in one of my --13 one of my books. I don't know where now, but -- it 14 was a female Hispanic, maybe 30 years old. Her name 15 I can't remember. I mean if you told it, I might 16 go, "Well, yeah, that sounds right."

I know she was driving a van, like a gold-colored van. We detained a van. We detained her. We received information from her where he was working.

21 We set up a sting. He was working at some

22 kind of water purification place. And it turns out 23 he didn't show up for work the next day. He didn't 24 show up for work ever again.

25 Q Did that woman with the gold van, did she 3275

01 have any kids?

A She had a child with her, yes, ma'am.
Q Do you have any idea what may have
happened to the F.I.'s?

05 I mean usually they give it to whoever is 06 running the show. Do you remember if they did 07 anything like that?

A Usually what happens is, you know, if we're booking -- let's say I need somebody to go book five bodies for me. I think I booked five for transmassing.

12 Whoever is going to book those five for 13 me, with the booking I'll put the F.I. because the 14 booking people need the F.I. to do their thing.

And a lot of times I'm not sure whether, you know, they just turn them in or just keep them or turn them in with their logs or they'll give them to me, whichever is most or easiest to do for them. Did I get those F.I.'s? I don't remember.
Q Q Okay.
A I know I would've at least kept her
information, her F.I., the female's.

Q Well, would you have turned it in with your log or would you have kept it in your own personal stuff?

3276

01 A I may have kept it.

Q Okay. After all that's done and Code 4'd, you mentioned in your transcript from your earlier interview about the windshield being broken on that little truck that came down the alleyway.

06 A Yes, ma'am.

Q And that you thought that Sergeant Ortizhad given the order to have the window broken.

09 A I know he did.

10 Q Okay. Did you personally hear Ortiz tell
11 someone to do that?

12 A He told Buchanan to do that.

13 Q Okay. Did you see Buchanan go over and 14 damage the window?

15 A I saw Buchanan going towards the vehicle

16 to do it, but I didn't go over there and watch him
17 do it.

18 Q Okay. Do you recall exactly what Ortiz 19 said about breaking the window?

A The initial conversation was who's going to go and what were they going to go for and what we were going to do. And it was, I believe, Liddy that really wanted to take the tune for ADW on a P.O., that type of thing. I'm sorry.

25 Q Usually it's me they tell to slow down.
3277

A When we got that settled as to who's going to go and for what, I remember right about -- still right about maybe at the mouth of the alley, just north of Clayton in the alley, we were having a conversation and Ortiz is telling Buchanan to go break the windshield.

07 Q And you say "we." Who was there besides 08 yourself and Ortiz and Buchanan?

09 A The people that I remember in this little 10 conversation at this point was myself, Liddy, and 11 Buchanan. And, I'm sorry, Sergeant Ortiz. And 12 Sergeant Ortiz is telling Buchanan to go break this 13 window here to the truck.

14	And, again, like I said, I think I was,
15	you know, back and forth to where all the gang
16	members were and other things that we were doing.
17	So I did not pay attention to whether, you know I
18	know I saw him walking towards the vehicle. I
19	didn't go watch him personally break it.
20	And I believe there was also some
21	direction about doing some damage to the door, but I
22	didn't see that at all.
23	Q Okay. Do you remember if the glass was
24	already broken when it came down the alley at you?
25	A I don't know.
3278	
01	Q The other thing is just that there was a
02	traffic accident report that was made. Who directed
03	that that be done? I'm sorry. I didn't give that
04	to you.
05	MR. ROSENTHAL: And just for the record, what
06	you had previously looked at before starting the
07	interview was a transcript of your prior interview
08	on the subject and a copy of the arrest report of
09	Munoz/Natividad?

10 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

11 MR. ROSENTHAL: And now you're going to be 12 shown a traffic collision report that was prepared 13 with respect to this case.

14 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

15 BY DETECTIVE DEMUCHA:

16 Q And it bears the D.R. of 96-11-21307.

17 A Thank you.

18 Q I'm sorry.

19 Okay. I've seen this accident А 20 report/traffic collision report before. The names 21 that are on the report is my partner's, as though 2.2 she was the author, and my name. The front of 23 the -- the face sheet of the report is filled out by my partner. Everything else, even in the front of 24 25 the report under the sketch, the little summary. 3279

01 Q On the first page?

A On the first page, everything on the second page, everything on the third page, and everything on the fourth page is done by someone else completely different. Probably some traffic officer or something like that. None of this was my 07 partner's handwriting or my handwriting.

08 Q Do you remember how it was that she 09 started to do this?

10 A Well, what it was is we wanted to do it. 11 There were -- there were some things that we wanted 12 on this. I mean this is our caper. This is -- we 13 wanted to handle everything as much as we can.

But as far as the traffic goes, we don't have the expertise. So we needed somebody that had expertise to help us out with this part, how to word it and do all that stuff.

And I know a traffic report vehicle came by, helped my partner do it, but I know she filled this front initial part out.

21 Q Was that at scene do you recall?

22 A The information?

23 Q Yes.

A I don't believe so.

25 Q What she did.

3280

A No, I don't believe so. I believe thatwas done back at the station.

03 Q Okay.

05 FURTHER EXAMINATION 06 BY DETECTIVE MICHELSON: 07 Q But I was going to say just now when you 80 were referring to it, you had just taken your hand and -- on page 4 and said you wanted expertise in 09 10 regards to this information. 11 You know, the summary and point of impacts А 12 and distances, the terminology that's used. Because 13 we were aware that traffic collision reports are 14 kicked back. For any little mistakes, they'll get kicked back. 15 16 Right. 0 17 А So we wanted the right wording as to how 18 these reports are supposed to go. 19 Q Okay. 20 21 FURTHER EXAMINATION 22 BY DETECTIVE DEMUCHA: 23 Q Can I see that back for a second? I'm 24 going to show you page 4. And up at the top it says "Officer Serial Number," and it says "32055." And I 25

3281

04

01 believe that's Officer Buchanan's serial number. 02 Do you think he could've prepared this 03 report? 04 А I have no idea who did this part of the 05 report. I don't recognize the handwriting. 06 Q Okay. MR. ROSENTHAL: And let's just try to -- what 07 08 page number again? 09 THE WITNESS: Page number 4 of the traffic collision report, the investigation, with D.R. 10 number 96-11-21307. All I know that it's not my 11 12 handwriting or my partner's handwriting. 13 MR. ROSENTHAL: Okay. 14 BY DETECTIVE DEMUCHA: 15 And let me ask one further thing. Do you 0 16 know if Duarte or if Liddy or Buchanan ever reviewed 17 this report, to your knowledge? 18 I don't know. А 19 Q Okay. Did you discuss with Duarte whether 20 or not -- you know, the status of the injuries and 21 stuff, how the accident actually occurred? 22 Did you discuss with her that, in fact, 23 this never had occurred, there was no impact between 24 the vehicle and Buchanan and Liddy?

25 A Well, I think she knew that. 3282

01 Q But did you ever discuss it with her?
02 A Well, we discussed how it was going to be
03 written, yes.

04 Q Okay.

A We discussed -- I think her only responsibility in all of this was to do that report. And she discussed not only with me but with Liddy and Buchanan how it was going to be written to coincide with their report as far as injuries, how things occurred, that type of thing.

11 Q And were you a witness to that when she 12 actually spoke to Liddy and Buchanan?

13 A Yes, but that was done back at the 14 station, not at the scene.

15 Q Okay. That was after the fact when 16 everything was quiet?

17 A Yes, ma'am.

Q Okay. Just to reiterate, just to clarify it just one more time, you did not actually see Buchanan get struck by the little blue truck. But 21 after the vehicle passed, you saw him standing up 22 from the alley?

A No one ever -- I watched the truck coming 24 southbound in the alley, from the north coming south 25 towards us. And there was about four or five 3283

01 officers stacked up.

I looked at that vehicle coming towards me. In fact, I even looked at the guys going in there. That's why I was able to tell them who it was, that it was the suspects, that we knew who they were.

I watched that vehicle go past us. That vehicle didn't hit anybody, didn't strike anybody.
As it was leaving out of the alley, it went right into the tree. I saw Buchanan getting up after that occurred. But he never got struck by a car and neither did Liddy.

13

14 FURTHER EXAMINATION

15 BY DETECTIVE MICHELSON:

16 Q How do you think he got hurt?

17 A I think getting out of the way he slipped

18 or fell or something. I know he scraped his pants 19 or scraped his leg or something, but he never got 20 hit by a car. That's a fact on that.

21 Q Did he ever tell you how he got injured 22 that night?

A I think it was assumed -- I mean you could 24 see that he was just getting out of the way and 25 slipped or did whatever on some oil or -- I don't 3284

01 know what he slipped on, but he did slip getting out 02 of the way. He didn't get hit by a car.

I mean I think that would've been -- I mean you don't miss that. You don't miss somebody getting hit by a car. I mean we're all right there. I mean we're all within, you know, 10 feet of each other. We're all -- I mean we're right there. It's impossible to miss. You don't -- you don't miss that.

10 Q Right. So he told you he did -- did he 11 ever say, "I didn't get hit by the truck"? 12 A He never used those words with me. The 13 words that we used were how -- who we're going to 14 take. That's the meeting -- or the conversation 15 that Sergeant Ortiz, myself, and Liddy and Buchanan 16 had at the mouth of the alley.

17 And because he had already had some -- a 18 little injury on his pants and had the torn pants, 19 we were going to use him as a victim. And we said, 20 "We're going to say that you got hit by the car." 21 And that's when Ortiz said, "You know what? Go break the windshield of the car. Make it 2.2 23 look like that's where you hit." 24 So he knew with our conversation that we're fabricating this story. I mean that -- right 25 3285 in the middle of the story, that's when he told 01 Buchanan, "Go break the windshield." 02 03 It wasn't like he started coming to me, 04 "So I really didn't get hit, but I'm going to say I 05 did, " you know. It just doesn't happen that way. 06 That's robotic. It just doesn't occur that way. 07 DETECTIVE DEMUCHA: Okay. I think we're done 80 with this one. We have about three on the others if 09 you'll allow us to do it. 10 MR. ROSENTHAL: Yeah. The next one that you

11 want to discuss I believe was the Virula? That's

12 Defendants Maria Virula, V-i-r-u-l-a, and Samuel 13 Nolasco, N-o-l-a-s-c-o. The D.A. case number is 14 BA151865. The D.R. number is 97-0221945. 15 THE WITNESS: We've discussed it more than 16 this. 17 DETECTIVE DEMUCHA: That's all we had. 18 THE WITNESS: Four pages? DETECTIVE DEMUCHA: Yeah. 19 20 MR. ROSENTHAL: All right. Hold on. Let's go 21 off the record for a moment. 22 (Discussion off the record) 23 MR. ROSENTHAL: Okay. We're back on the 24 record. It's 11:12. 25 Mr. Perez, we just had the investigators 3286 01 take a look at the transcript relating to your prior 02 interview relating to Virula and Nolasco that was 03 conducted on April 14th. They had not seen that 04 before. And I believe there is just one or two 05 quick follow-up questions. 06 DETECTIVE DEMUCHA: That's correct. 07 08 FURTHER EXAMINATION

09 BY DETECTIVE DEMUCHA:

10	Q Okay. The report that you've had a chance
11	to look at, the arrest report has been fabricated.
12	And just real quickly for us, there was an
13	individual other than yourself that actually
14	participated in the buy of the narcotics from Maria
15	Virula; is that correct?
16	A [*** CI # 14 Information Redacted ********]
17	Q [*** CI # 14 Information Redacted ********]
18	A [*** CI # 14 Information Redacted ********]
19	Q [*** CI # 14 Information Redacted ********]
20	[**************************************
21	A [*** CI # 14 Information Redacted ********]
22	[**************************************
23	[**************************************
24	[**************************************
25	Q [*** CI # 14 Information Redacted ********]
3287	
01	Okay.
02	A Yes, ma'am.
03	Q I'm going to show you two photographs.
04	They're cal ops. [***** CI # 14 Info Redacted *****]
05	[**************************************

06	[******	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
07	[******	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
08	A	This is pictures of [**** CI # 14 ****]
09	Q	[***** CI # 14 Information Redacted ******]
10	[******	***************************************
11	A	Yes, ma'am.
12	Q	[***** CI # 14 Information Redacted ******]
13	[*******	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
14	А	Yes, ma'am.
15	Q	Okay.
16	MR. I	ROSENTHAL: And just for the record,
17	[*******	**** CI # 14 Information Redacted ********]
18	[******	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
19	[******	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
20	[*******	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
21	BY DETECT	IVE DEMUCHA:
22	Q	Who was working with you that day, do you
23	remember,	besides Durden?
24	А	Coronado.
25	Q	Anybody else?
3288		
01	А	Eventually?
02	Q	No, just for this part.

03 А That, I think there was -- I think it was 04 the three of us. 05 Q Okay. 06 07 FURTHER EXAMINATION 80 BY DETECTIVE MICHELSON: 09 Yeah, I'm reviewing the report, and I'm 0 trying to find it in here. There's a section in 10 11 this report, and this report is authored by Officer 12 Coronado. 13 А Officer Durden authored this report. 14 DETECTIVE DEMUCHA: You're thinking of the 15 other one. DETECTIVE MICHELSON: Oh, boy. I've got too 16 many of these cases right now. Okay. Durden did. 17 18 You know which part I'm looking for. 19 DETECTIVE DEMUCHA: Well, somewhere in this 20 report it indicates that Coronado was actually --21 was waiting in the apartment building. 22 BY DETECTIVE MICHELSON: 23 0 Here it is here under "observations." One, two, third paragraph it says: 24 "My partner and I then I.D.'d ourselves as 25

01		police officers and detained defendant 1
02		without incident. Defendant 1 had advised
03		officers that she lives at 239 North Kenmore,
04		apartment number 3.
05		"At approximately 1620 hours, Officer
06		Coronado, who was positioned in the lobby area
07		of 239 North Kenmore, advised us that a male
08		Hispanic, approximate 50 years old, wearing a
09		tan shirt and brown pants, later identified as
10		defendant number 2 Nolasco, Samuel, had just
11		exited apartment number 3 and was walking
12		northbound in our direction."
13		MR. ROSENTHAL: What's the question?
14	BY D	ETECTIVE MICHELSON:
15		Q Okay. After reviewing that, did you at
16	some	time respond back to that apartment for a
17	foll	ow-up?
18		A Definitely. I mean but it didn't occur
19	like	this.
20		Q That's fine.
21		A Okay. Yes.
22		Q If you can remember and I know this is,

23 what, three years ago -- remembering that 24 location -- and I have some photos to refresh your 25 memory. But from your memory, could Officer 3290 01 Coronado have made that observation of a male 02 Hispanic exiting apartment number 3 from the lobby? 03 As a narcotics officer, I seriously doubt А 04 that I would let a U.C. go into the lobby by 05 himself, an officer by himself, while we -- we were 06 expecting the person to come to us. 07 We know where they're coming from. What's the purpose of watching him come out of their door? 08 And especially by himself. 09 10 Q So you're --I think -- I mean we wrote some of this 11 А 12 stuff to give us more probable cause, go back to the 13 apartment, all this other stuff. But Coronado -- I 14 mean it was the three of us. I mean we were out in 15 the street. I mean --16 So you're saying two things here. One, Q 17 you're saying you already knew that it was apartment number 3. You wouldn't need it verified. 18 19 Well, we knew what building they were Α

20 coming from.

21 Q Okay.

A That, we knew. The apartment we may have not known about, but we would've known once we detained a person.

25 See, the apartment was just north of 3291

01 the -- just south of the arrest location. We knew 02 they were going to come out of this building, and we 03 knew they were just going to meet us right at the 04 corner.

We figured once they come out -- it's We suppose to be a husband and wife -- we'll detain them. We'll just take them right back to the building.

We know what apartment -- you know, it's one of three or four apartments. And somebody will tell us, the manager or somebody will tell us where they live.

But I definitely wouldn't put Coronado as a U.C. in a building by himself waiting to see what apartment these people were coming from. I need him more placed to point -- you know, in case something 17 goes down, I need him there.

18	Because we've got Durden in the vehicle,
19	and we've got me out there. I mean I just I
20	wouldn't put Coronado by himself in a building.
21	Q Do you remember Coronado being with you?
22	A Coronado was out there with we're not
23	like staying in a group. We're kind of like spread
24	out a little bit waiting for this lady to show up or
25	the male, whichever one was going to show up to
3292	

01 deliver.

02 But the female ended up showing up. She 03 was taken into custody and we ended up going back 04 to -- what happened was the male ends up coming down 05 there.

And we weren't sure whether it was him or not. But, you know, she kind of looks in that direction. He turned around and walks back. And we go, "That's him. That has to be the husband." We go back to the apartment and we figured uut what apartment he's in. We go talk -- in fact, he had already had time to take the narcotics out of

13 his house and put it in a Dumpster in the back.

14	Q	Did you see that?
15	А	No, he told us that. And me and Coronado
16	went and :	recovered it.
17		
18		FURTHER EXAMINATION
19	BY DETECT	IVE DEMUCHA:
20	Q	When did he tell you that?
21	A	At the residence.
22	Q	Was he wife around?
23	A	Yeah.
24	Q	Was she within earshot?
25	А	Earshot when he told us?
3293		
01	Q	Yeah.
02	A	[***** CI # 39 Information Redacted *****]
03	[*******	***************************************
04	[*******	***************************************
05	[*******	***************************************
06	Q	[***** CI # 39 Information Redacted *****]
07	[*******	***************************************
08	[*******	***************************************
09	А	Yeah.
10	Q	In fact

11	A [***** CI # 39 Information Redacted *****]
12	[**************************************
13	Q [***** CI # 39 Information Redacted *****]
14	[**************************************
15	[**************************************
16	[**************************************
17	[**************************************
18	A We took everybody out of there.
19	Q Well, I mean everybody went with you,
20	but
21	A Okay.
22	Q Maria was taken to the station, and
23	then you guys did a follow-up over to the other
24	guy's house.
25	A Okay. Yes.
3294	
01	Q Okay? I don't know if you want to get
02	into this without seeing the statement, but you said
03	that you left her with Lusby and that you thought
04	Mc Gee had gone with you to the follow-up location.
05	A One of the supervisors would've gone with
06	us.
07	Q Okay. And you thought at the time it was

08	Mc Gee, but we found out that Mc Gee was on
09	vacation. So do you have any other idea who it
10	might have been?
11	A Mc Gee was on vacation this day?
12	Q Yeah.
13	A No. Definitely not.
14	Q Oh, I'm sorry. No, that's my fault.
15	DETECTIVE MICHELSON: We got a lot of cases
16	THE WITNESS: Okay.
17	DETECTIVE MICHELSON: and they start running
18	into one another.
19	BY DETECTIVE DEMUCHA:
20	Q My fault. Strike that.
21	A Okay.
22	Q Don't mean to confuse you any further.
23	A Yeah, I'm going, no, he was there.
24	Q And they all start with "V."
25	A Okay. Okay. Scratch all that.
3295	
01	DETECTIVE DEMUCHA: We're done.
02	MR. ROSENTHAL: You're all done? All right.
03	Thank you. It is 11:20. We're off the record.
04	(Recess)

05 MR. ROSENTHAL: All right. It's 12:38. We're 06 back on the record.

07 Mr. Perez, you're still under oath.08 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

MR. ROSENTHAL: And the case that's going to be discussed right now involves two defendant arrests, Roberto Andrade, A-n-d-r-a-d-e, and Olga Rodriguez, D.A. case number BA151745. The D.R. number is 97-1117118. Go ahead.

SERGEANT RODRIGUEZ: This is the tape-recorded interview of an administrative investigation, C.F. number 0066. Today's date is May 10th, 2000. Once again, the time that you gave the time check is --MR. ROSENTHAL: 12:39.

19 SERGEANT RODRIGUEZ: -- 12:39.

The location of this interview is Internal Affairs South Section. Present to be interviewed is Rafael Perez. The interview is being recorded on tape number 231494, side A.

24 The interview is being conducted by 25 Sergeant Jerry Rodriguez, 25066. Also present in 3296

01 the room is Court Reporter Lynden Glover. Lynden,

02 L-y-n-d-e-n, Glover, G-l-o-v-e-r. 03 Representing Perez is Mr. Winston 04 Mc Kesson, M-c-K-e-s-s-o-n. Representing the 05 district attorney's office is Mr. Richard 06 Rosenthal. 07 80 EXAMINATION BY SERGEANT RODRIGUEZ: 09 10 Q Can I call you Ray? А Yes, sir. 11 12 Q Okay. Ray, I've given you a copy of the 13 report in question here. In addition, I've given 14 you a paraphrased copy of your recorded statement during a prior interview. 15 16 Does that help you refresh your 17 recollection regarding this case? 18 Yes, sir. А 19 MR. MC KESSON: Let me just state for the 20 record Mr. Perez was given a copy of the arrest 21 report. He was given a copy of one of the -- the 22 transcribed copy of one of the interviews with 23 respect to this case. MR. ROSENTHAL: That would've been the 24

25 interview conducted on Monday, October 11th, 1999. 3297

01 MR. MC KESSON: There was also another 02 extensive interview that was conducted sometime in 03 April. I forget the exact date. 04 MR. ROSENTHAL: That was on April --05 THE WITNESS: 12th. 06 MR. ROSENTHAL: -- 12th of 2000. 07 MR. MC KESSON: Which the detective has been 08 kind enough to paraphrase and give Mr. Perez a copy 09 of his paraphrased version of that interview. 10 I just want the record to reflect what the protocol had been in these interviews is Mr. Perez 11 12 to be given a copy of his full transcript. And he will attempt to testify as best as he can, but he is 13 14 somewhat hampered by not having a full transcript. BY SERGEANT RODRIGUEZ: 15 16 Are you ready? Q 17 Α Yes, sir. 18 Okay. Going back to the -- to that day, Q 19 the investigation of the arrest of Olga Rodriguez --20 and I'm going to jump forward a little bit to 21 where -- some of the questions I want to ask you.

22 You got information from an informant 23 regarding Olga's involvement in narcotics. You 24 conducted a follow-up to the location, where you 25 detained her. And narcotics was recovered by 3298 Officer Sutherland, as stated by you earlier. 01 02 You stated that Gizzi was -- Gizzi was 03 Sutherland's partner. 04 Now, moving on a little bit before that, do you recall if Lusby, Lusby, who is the D-3 or was 05 06 the D-3 in charge of the unit at the time, and Mc Gee, did they respond to that location when you 07 took Olga into custody? 08 09 MR. MC KESSON: Let me just say for the record -- I don't mean to keep interrupting you --10 11 but when you -- in this report when you say 12 "Lesbee," L-e-s-b-e-e, do you mean Detective Lusby, 13 L-u-s-b-y? 14 SERGEANT RODRIGUEZ: That's correct. 15 THE WITNESS: Okay. 16 SERGEANT RODRIGUEZ: That is a rough paraphrased copy. That's why it's --17 18 MR. MC KESSON: I just wanted to make sure

19 we're talking about the right people.

20 SERGEANT RODRIGUEZ: The highlighted there is 21 where the names to be corrected or verified --22 MR. MC KESSON: Oh, okay. 23 SERGEANT RODRIGUEZ: -- the spelling of the 24 last name. 25 MR. MC KESSON: Okay. 3299 01 SERGEANT RODRIGUEZ: But that is the same 02 person. 03 BY SERGEANT RODRIGUEZ: 04 Q You know who I'm referring to? Yes, sir. 05 А 06 Q Okay. Was he and Mc Gee present at that 07 location, if you recall? 80 A I believe they were. 09 Okay. Do you recall when -- and when I 0 10 say "when," at what part of the investigation with 11 Olga did they respond and what knowledge, if any, 12 did they have? 13 A [*** CI # 28 Information Redacted ********] 14 15

16 17 18 19 This person delivers the narcotics. We're 20 all set up. Lusby, Mc Gee, myself, everybody that's 21 there in the FES unit are already set up waiting for 22 this person to arrive. 23 When this person arrives, you know, we 24 detain her. But we knew she was going to arrive, you know, to deliver narcotics. 25 3300 01 Oh, okay. So there had been some 0 02 arrangement prior to that then. And was the whole 03 unit deployed there waiting for her? 04 А Yes, sir. 05 And Mc Gee and Lusby were aware of that? Q 06 А Yes, sir. [******* CI # 28 Information Redacted ******] 07 0 8 0 09 А [******* CI # 28 Information Redacted ******] 10 Okay. So then Olga responds. You already Q know what -- or you believe you know why she's going 11 12 to be there. You detain her. The evidence is

13 recovered. And then can you tell me after that what 14 happened?

15 A I believe we transported the female Olga 16 back to the FES trailer and began interviewing her 17 and talking to her about turning over who her 18 supplier is.

19 Q You interviewed her?

A I'm sure I interviewed her. I believe 21 some other people might have talked to her but I did 22 the -- most of the interviews, yes.

23 Q Did the entire unit respond back to the 24 trailer?

25 A Yes, sir.

3301

01 Did the entire unit stand by pending Q 02 whatever follow-up info you would give? 03 Absolutely. I was pretty confident that А she would eventually roll over, and we had everybody 04 05 standing by to go ahead and to do this follow-up. 06 Q SO I can assume then that at the trailer 07 you got her to provide you with the information? 80 Yes, sir. А 09 And then at that point did you brief the Q

10 unit again?

11 Well, we decided that we're going to stage А 12 at a certain location close to the follow-up 13 location --14 0 Vista Del Mar? 15 А I'm sorry. Yeah, Vista Del Mar. We took 16 the female, drove her by the location. She pointed it out to us. 17 18 Q When you say "we," you and Durden in your 19 car? Yes, sir. 20 А 21 Were you and Durden --0 I'm sorry. I believe it was me and 22 А 23 Sutherland in the car and Olga in the back seat. We drove by it real quickly, and she pointed out which 24 25 door it was. And we went back where everybody was 3302 meeting and set up a game plan as to how we were 01 02 going to approach it. 03 So you had a code alpha location, a Q staging area. Now, let me just -- a side note here. 04 05 The arrest report is completed by you and Durden, 06 but you say that Sutherland was your partner.

07 A No, no, I did not say Sutherland was my 08 partner. To drive there -- and I had -- I believe I 09 had the female in my car, I took Sutherland with 10 me.

11 Q Because she was --

03

А

12 Α Right. But when we do the approach and 13 everything else, Durden is still my partner. This is just a matter of convenience. Because I 14 15 believe -- I'm not a hundred percent sure, but I 16 believe she stayed with the female at the location. 17 When we approached we left the female in the car. 18 So you had Sutherland accompany you to 0 19 address the issue that you had a female in the 20 vehicle? 21 Yes, sir. А 22 But Durden was still your partner? Q 23 А Yes, sir. And Durden drove in a different vehicle 24 0 25 with some other officer? 3303 01 I believe so, yes, sir. Α 02 Do you recall who? 0

Well, I was in this car, so I -- you know,

04 I can't say definitely, but I mean I'll have to 05 assume that he was in the other vehicle with Gizzi 06 and the other guys.

Q Do you have any recollection as to how 08 they approached, how many vehicles, or if they were 09 all -- maybe one or two vehicles?

10 A We all approached together. We stacked up 11 as many people in the cars as we could. From my 12 recollection, I believe there was about three or 13 four vehicles.

14 Q Okay. Were both detective supervisors
15 present?

16 A Yes.

17 Q That being Lusby and Mc Gee?

18 A You know what? I do remember Mc Gee very 19 clearly. Lusby, now, I'm not a hundred percent 20 sure.

21 Q Okay.

22 A But I definitely absolutely remember23 Mc Gee.

Q But Lusby was present at the initial 25 arrest of Olga Rodriguez where she dropped off the 3304 01 dope?

02 A Yes, definitely.

Q And to the best of your recollection, he was advised of the circumstances of the arrest, was aware of what was going on?

06 A Oh, yeah. We took -- everybody went back 07 to the trailer where -- you know, the office. And 08 we all talked about this. I mean this was -- this 09 was not like a secret thing.

10 Q Right.

A We were all discussing, you know, the call-out that she's going to make or the location that she's going to tell us about and everything we're going to do.

15 Q And Lusby also knew that her arrest was as 16 a result of information obtained from an informant? 17 A Yes.

18 Q Okay. Now, getting back to the scene, do 19 you recall -- so Mc Gee was there. He was the

20 supervisor in charge?

21 A Yes, sir.

22 Q Okay. Do you recall the entry team? For 23 lack of a better word, the entry team, I'm referring 24 to the officers that approached the front door.

25 A Everybody went to the front door. I 3305

01 believe one, maybe two officers stayed at a sliding 02 door that was right next to the front door, but 03 eventually everybody went in.

04 They're what we call trailers. Once we're 05 in, if we call for trailers, you know, stack up, 06 move in. Because if we need you to take somebody 07 into custody, detain them while we keep moving. 80 Dynamic entries, you keep moving. You step right over the person. Dynamic entries, we 09 move a little bit faster than normal search 10 11 warrants.

We just -- if there's somebody in front of us, we throw them down. We step right over them. The rear person takes them into custody. So we keep moving because we want to get to every room as quickly as possible.

17 Q Prior -- in your prior statement, you said 18 that you believe Sutherland stayed outside with the 19 female. Is that still your recollection?

20 A Yes, sir.

Q And that would make sense because she's the female officer who you had assist you with the transportation?

24 A Yes, sir.

25 Q Do you have any recollection as to who the 3306

01 trailers were that were possibly deployed in the 02 patio area as you refer to?

A You know, it would be easy for me to say, you know, who the normal people were but I just -- I mean I remember who was -- basically the officers who were there. I know that Gizzi was doing the shotgun. He was the point. I know I was there, Durden was there, Cannister.

09 Q When you say he was there, Cannister in 10 the entry?

11 A In the entry, right. Did Mc Gee stay at 12 the door? Did he go in with us? Mc Gee was with 13 us. He was the team leader. So I'm not sure if 14 Coronado and somebody else stayed at the door, you 15 know.

16 Q Okay.

17 A I believe -- that's not real fresh in my

18 memory.

19 Q Did the trailers eventually come inside? 20 Using your phrase, "the trailers," did they 21 eventually come inside once the location was 22 secured? 23 А Yes. Once everything was done, everybody 24 was inside. 25 Q So everybody was at least inside. Whether 3307 01 they participated in the search or not, they were at least in the location? 02 03 А Yes, sir. 04 Okay. And during the briefing you made it Q 05 clear that -- the reasons and the investigation leading up your to follow-up. 06 07 Did they know -- did the assembled officers know (a) that there was no search warrant 80 09 and what was their understanding as far as how the 10 entry would be obtained? 11 Before every search, we brief it in FES. А 12 In that briefing you talk about how you obtained the 13 information, locations, what led to the obtaining of 14 this information, what we expect to find, what type

15 of drug we expect to find, that type of thing. 16 Basically we'll go over the search warrant and you 17 just discuss it. 18 Q Sure. 19 And if it's a search warrant, you talk Α about how this is a search warrant, how we obtained 20 21 the search warrant. 22 It was very clear that this was not a 23 search warrant; this was just a clue that we had 24 just received from an arrestee. 25 And, you know, the arrestee was right 3308 there, the female. And we were going to follow up 01 02 to a location where supposedly she lives with her boyfriend and he's the primary dealer. 03 04 So when you made entry, were they under 0 05 the impression that you obtained consent or --06 remind me how --07 А Were they under the impression? 80 Yeah, the entry team. Or did they have a Q recollection -- you described it the last time that 09 10 as soon as you were met at the front door by the male, he was taken down and, boom, you went in as if 11

12 it were a search warrant, although you didn't have 13 one.

14 A That's correct.

15 Q Would that have been the recollection or would they have been advised by you that you did 16 17 have a consent to search or anything like that? 18 When we went to the location -- I mean I А 19 know what we wrote on the report. But when we went 20 to the location, as soon as somebody opened up, we went right in, you know. 21 22 Q And Mc Gee was in a position clearly to 23 witness that? 24 A Oh, absolutely. I mean --So -- okay. Now, once inside did you 25 Q 3309 01 assign the folks inside who to search or what to 02 search? 03 No, sir. Detective Mc Gee actually broke А 04 it down as to who's going to search what area. 05 Q And he was acting as a supervisor and 06 observed all the searches? Not necessarily. He did not observe -- I 07 А 08 mean he was in and out of different rooms.

09 Q Okay.

10 But when I recovered this little bag, А paper bag that had narcotics and money in it, he 11 didn't see me recovering that. 12 13 Q Okay. Did any of the other officers have any knowledge of you recovering that money and 14 15 keeping it? 16 Only Officer Durden. No one else did. А 17 Q You never mentioned that to anybody else? 18 None. А 19 Q When you were in the bathroom questioning 20 him, "him" being Andrade, do you recall who was in 21 there with you? 22 А I know Coronado stepped in and out a 23 couple of times. Coronado is also a Spanish 24 speaker. And he, you know, said some words to him. 25 But I know last time they asked me whether I pushed 3310 01 his head in a wall or something. 02 Q Yeah. 03 А That definitely didn't occur. I mean there was no need. 04 Q 05 He describes a male white officer being

06 inside with you.

A Male white? A male white might have
walked in and out. There's several of them,
though.

10 Q Yeah.

A I didn't like close anybody off, don't come in here while I'm talking to him. Mc Gee might have walked in and out, "Hey, is he talking?" Or Gizzi might have walked in, "Is he saying anything?" That type of thing. If there's a specific allegation --

17 Q Yeah. Well, that Gizzi was present when18 the grabbing of the throat.

19 A Neither one of the white officers speak20 Spanish.

21 Q So there would be no reason for them to be 22 in there with you?

23 A That's correct.

24 Q Okay.

25 A The only other officer that I said that 3311

01 speaks Spanish is Coronado.

02 Q Okay. And that's why -- but is that why

03 you recall that he would be in there with you or do 04 you --

A No, I remember him walking in and out. And, again, anybody that came, it wasn't like they were with me the whole time. It was like they walked in and out, in and out.

Q Now, with regards to the arrest report and Mc Gee's signature on there, I believe in your prior statement you said that that is his signature or you believe it's his signature?

13 A Yes, sir.

14 Q You also stated that it was his practice 15 or you recall him prior to signing any arrest report 16 he would -- he would read it?

17 Yeah. FES was definitely different than А 18 CRASH where in CRASH we just signed whatever and you 19 just told a supervisor what you were going to write. FES, they always wanted to read the reports. 20 21 Q So although you didn't witness him reading 22 it, there's no doubt in your mind he read that 23 arrest report prior to signing it?

24 A Absolutely.

25 Q And he would've been aware of the

3312

01 discrepancies regarding specifically the entry?

02 A Yes, sir.

Q Okay. Did Mc Gee question you regarding the confidential informant, direct you in any way as to taking appropriate steps to document that informant?

07 A No. You know, we've discussed this issue 08 several times. And if I had to say that there was a 09 lack of anything in FES, it was probably that.

We very -- every once in a blue moon, for whatever reasons, if a lieutenant happened to be walking in while we were doing an informant, we'd do a one-time use package on that informant.

14 Q Who was that lieutenant?

15 A Lieutenant Weaver.

16 Q Okay.

A But most times, you know, it was real common and everyone knew, all the detectives knew, that we had informants that we'd just use, arrestees, you know, informants that we probably shouldn't have used as informants. You know, they're coming in high or they're drug users and, 23 you know, we'd use them all the time without

24 documenting them.

25 Q And when you say the detectives knew,3313

01 specifically detectives --

A Mc Gee and Detective Lusby, the twodetectives in charge.

Q And then specifically again to this case, they had knowledge that the informant was used -not only in common practice but in this case, that you used an informant and that neither one directed you to document that?

09 A They saw the arrestee. They saw who we 10 were using as an informant. We brought her to the 11 trailer. They were well aware that she's an 12 arrestee, that we're using her as an informant, and 13 that we did not do a package.

19 A Yes, sir.

20 0 That's what she told you? 21 The information that we had was that she А 22 was an informant. And she also said that --23 actually she said something about she lived with him 24 or something like, her boyfriend. 25 0 If I was to tell you that she later said 3314 that her boyfriend is actually another person who 01 02 rooms there with this Andrade individual, could that 03 possibly be true, that she wasn't necessarily 04 Andrade's girlfriend? 05 The person that we were targeting who was А the dealer was supposed to be her boyfriend. That's 06 07 what she told me. 80 I mean did she -- I don't know what she --09 you know, if she made up something at the time, I 10 don't know. 11 I know that she told me -- the information 12 that I received from the initial informant was that 13 this guy, a Hispanic guy, and this girl are working 14 together. The girl will deliver it on a bicycle 15 often. 16 And she corroborated that by saying,

17 "Yeah, my boyfriend deals out of this apartment, and 18 I'll show you where it's at."

19 When you and Durden divided the money --Q 20 and I know I'm jumping forward now. 21 Yes, sir. А 22 -- when and where was that? 0 23 That would've been at the very end of the А 24 day. Generally what would happen is everything else 25 was done and we were just finishing up like the 3315 01 packages or something. And everybody would leave 02 and we would tell them, "Yeah, we can handle the 0.3 rest. You guys go ahead." 04 We'd divide it right there in the office. We'd lock the office and just sit there and divide 05 06 We'd have to count it and divide it. it. 07 And you stated earlier, "earlier" being 0 08 the prior interview, that you didn't recall exactly 09 how much was there. 10 А I did not. But it was divided equally? 11 Q 12 It would always be divided equally. А 13 And it was done in the office, and no one Q

14 else was present or had knowledge to that?

15 A No one.

16 Q Did you ever discuss it with any officers
17 or --

18 A No, sir.

19 Q You made another statement also that -20 and this is referencing giving the Mirandized
21 statement to the individuals and documenting it,
22 that whenever that was done, it was accurate and you
23 tried to be as accurate as possible regarding those
24 issues, particularly when working with this squad.
25 Is that to say then that no one else

3316

10

01 working in this squad or the FES in Rampart had any 02 knowledge of your actions and Durden's actions? And 03 let me specify on "your actions." The taking money 04 or the narcotics, those things.

A If you're asking about taking money and narcotics, no. No one -- no one that I know of even -- I mean narcotics, that was a whole different side note from everything else that was going on. Narcotics and stuff like that, yeah, you

know, quite often in CRASH we would keep narcotics.

11 We would use it later for whatever reason.

But to keep it for personal purposes, no one ever knew about that. I never discussed that with anyone. I don't know if Durden did. I know I didn't.

Q So -- and you specified then with the money or narcotics. Were they involved in anything else with you aside from the procedural neglect of the packaging of the informants?

A That's what I was going to say. And I've said this before. You know, you've got officers that are, you know, officers that go by the book and all that.

24 But sometimes officers, for the sake of, 25 you know, bettering their case, will write little 3317

01 things and will say that we got consent when we 02 didn't get consent or we say that we did a 03 door-knock and got a consent to come in when we 04 didn't.

05 We just walked right in and somehow 06 managed to convince a person so that -- to let us 07 search and give us a consent to search. Otherwise, 08 you know, they may have to lose their kid, they may 09 be deported, or whatever things.

10 You know, those things are used. I mean 11 it's a tool that we use in the police department, 12 and it's used, you know, by the best of officers. 13 I mean would that be construing -- you know, looking at it, is it corruption? I don't 14 know. I'm just saying that even the best of 15 16 officers at times find themselves having to do these 17 kind of things. 18 Q Okay. 19 And, yeah, did me and Durden at times do А certain things that I'm sure with them didn't sit 20 well? You know, "You can't really do that." "Don't 21 22 worry about it. It's going to be all right." Yeah, 23 it's happened several times. 24 Okay. And that was while in FES? 0 25 Yes, sir. In fact, I think there was one Α 3318 01 discussion where Coronado brought up the issue of us 02 not following procedures. 03 Coronado brought that up? 0 04 Yes, sir. He brought it up to Lusby, and А

05 we had to have a meeting about it.

06	And basically what Lusby said was, "I'll
07	get rid of Coronado before I get rid of you guys."
08	He thought that we were doing real good work, and
09	he'd rather lose Coronado, his permanent guy, than
10	lose his loanees.
11	Q Do you remember what specifically he said
12	that Coronado was referring to not following
13	procedures or are we talking about what we know as
14	procedures, being, you know
15	A And this was covered somewhere else. I'm
16	not sure I believe there was some kind of
17	interview of Coronado. I don't know where that's
18	at.
19	This is what I'm talking about is the
20	interview of him when he's talking about him
21	bringing to the supervisor's attention that myself
22	and Durden were not following procedure in his eyes.
23	Q Right.
24	THE WITNESS: Okay. I don't know if we can
25	get into it or not. That's it might be a
3319	
01	compelled statement.

MR. ROSENTHAL: Well, no. There was testimony MR. ROSENTHAL: Well, no. There was testimony by Coronado in the grand jury that was provided over to you in discovery that -- where he talked about issues to that extent very generally.

06 BY SERGEANT RODRIGUEZ:

Q Do you recall when that unit meeting was?
A It happened sometime, to the best of my
recollection, early September.

Because Lusby told me, "Don't worry about Coronado. I'll get rid of him before I get rid of you guys." And I believe later that month Coronado left on vacation, and I believe a little bit after that he left the unit. In September of '97.

15 Q Do you know if Lusby documented any -- the 16 meeting?

17 A I would think that he covered it in a -- I 18 don't know. But I would think he might have covered 19 it in his log.

Q Okay. We've covered a lot. I think I'm 21 done. Is there anything you could think of, Ray, 22 that --

23 A No, sir.

24 SERGEANT RODRIGUEZ: Okay. That concludes

25 this taped interview. The time now is approximately 3320

01 1302 hours, and we're going off tape.

02 MR. ROSENTHAL: Let's go off the record for a 03 minute.

(Discussion off the record)
MR. ROSENTHAL: All right. We're back on the
record. It's 1:05 in the afternoon. This is going
to be an interview relating to the case of Manuel
Najera, N-a-j-e-r-a. The D.A. case number is
BA153854. The D.R. number is 97-0816966. Go
ahead.

11 SERGEANT RODRIGUEZ: Okay. Once again, this is 12 a tape-recorded interview for an administrative 13 investigation regarding the Najera case. Today's 14 date is May 10th, 2000. The time now is 15 approximately 1307 hours.

16 The location of the interview is Internal 17 Affairs Group South Section. Present to be 18 interviewed is Rafael Perez. The interview is being 19 recorded on tape number 231493, side A. 20 Conducting the interview is Sergeant Jerry

21 Rodriguez, 25066. Present is Court Reporter Lynden

22 Glover. Representing Mr. Perez is Winston 23 Mc Kesson, M-c-K-e-s-s-o-n. Representing the 24 district attorney's office is Mr. Richard 25 Rosenthal. 3321 01 BY SERGEANT RODRIGUEZ: 02 Ray, once again, I've handed you an Q 03 arrest report and transcripts regarding this case. 04 Do you recall it? Do you have a recollection? 05 Yes, sir. А 06 Q Okay. There's a couple questions that 07 I've been asked to ask you for the investigators. 08 In your prior statement, you stated that 09 you returned \$600 to Najera's wife. 10 А Yes, sir. 11 You stated you obtained the money from Q 12 your -- and I'm quoting now, whatever money. Could 13 you please be more specific as to your whatever 14 money? 15 А I'm sorry. From just personal money. And 16 when I say "personal money," it was probably 17 personal money that I had obtained illegally

18 beforehand. But I did return it to her that next

19 morning.

20 Q Was it -- and I understand what you said. 21 It's money that you obtained illegally and converted 22 to your own personal use? 23 А Yes, sir. Q Is it -- where would that money be, for 24 lack of a better word, stashed? Did you have a 25 3322 stash somewhere or where would you have that? 01 02 I had probably several stashes, but --А 03 where did I particularly get this money from? 04 Or your whatever money. Q 05 Do you remember like where you're saying 06 your stashes were. Where you kept them. Or, for 07 example, this night where would you go get that 80 money? 09 I didn't return the money to her until the А 10 next morning. 11 Q Okay. Or that morning. 12 А Either I had it in the house somewhere 13 or -- you know, I kept it -- I had it in a shoe box for a while. I mean it varied at different times. 14 15 Q A shoe box at home?

16 A At home, yes. I mean I just don't have a 17 specific recollection where I got this money from. 18 I know I obtained the money.

19 It was probably at the house somewhere in 20 one of the shoe boxes. I got the money and, you 21 know, that next day I knew I was going to take it to 22 her.

Q So -- and is the reason you waited till the next day because you had to possibly get it from that stash or go get it somewhere else?

01 A Right. This was already -- when she had 02 called up, it was already at like the end of the 03 day.

04 Q Okay.

05 A So I had to, first of all, obtain the 06 money to go take it to her. And I took it to her 07 the next morning.

08 MR. ROSENTHAL: Let me just ask him.

We did a search warrant on your house in August '98. I assume that by that time the stash money had already been all spent.

12 THE WITNESS: Yeah, you're talking about --

13 well, when this happened, this was -- you're talking 14 about -- you're talking about a whole year 15 afterwards.

16 MR. ROSENTHAL: Right. I'm not specifically 17 talking -- well, how long did you have stash -- did 18 you have a cash hoard that you were able to operate 19 from? At what point did it run out? 20 THE WITNESS: Probably right up until when 21 everything went down. Remember, I had already had 22 information that something was going down. So I 23 was, you know, getting rid of it and using it as

24 liberally as I could.

25 MR. ROSENTHAL: Okay.

3324

01 THE WITNESS: But at this point, yeah, I still 02 had money in my house. And from what I recall, it 03 was like a shoe box, and I had it -- the money

04 stacked up neatly in there.

05 BY SERGEANT RODRIGUEZ:

06 Q Did you have a stash like that at the 07 station, in your locker at the station?

08 A I didn't have a big stash. I had a stash09 where I kept a certain amount of money in case it

was needed, you know. But we're talking, you know, 10 11 a hundred, 150 bucks, small bills, ones and fives. 12 Where? Q 13 А In my locker in the station. 14 Okay. Was Detective Lusby advised of the Q informant used to call out Najera? 15 16 Yes. He was aware that we were doing А 17 again a call-out. This was again a call-out. 18 Q Okay. For some reason, I have problems 19 with Lusby. It's L-u-s-b-y, D-III, formerly in 20 charge of the Rampart FES unit. 21 Was the informant package made on that 22 informant, referring to the Najera case? 23 А No, sir. 24 Did Lusby advise you to make an informant Q 25 package? 3325 01 No, sir. А 02 Q Was he at the scene of either the arrest 03 of Najera or the search of Najera's residence? 04 А No, sir. 05 Was Lusby advised of the search of 0 Najera's residence which was outside of the city? 06

07 A Yes, sir.

08 Q He was?

09 A Yes, sir.

10 Q At what point was he advised of that? 11 A He was actually advised after Mr. Najera 12 was taken into custody that we were going to do a 13 follow-up. We had found some paperwork with a 14 possible address.

We actually went to another address before that one, but it turned out to be a bogus address. And we advised him, I believe via the radio, that we were going to now do a follow-up to the city of I believe Hawthorne. I believe it's the city of Hawthorne. It says L.A., but I believe that area is actually Hawthorne.

Q And you believe you notified him by radio?
A Yes, sir.

24 Q Police radio?

A Yes, sir. Not, you know, like tac 2
3326
O1 Rampart. I believe we used narcotics frequencies.

02 I'm not sure what they are now, but --

03 Q And you specifically recall raising him on

04 the radio and advising him that you would be doing a 05 follow-up outside of the city, "city" being L.A.? 06 A Yes.

Q Do you remember what his response was? A It was -- well, I remember he wanted to know if we needed anything. I said no, it was just going to be a simple follow-up to the -- to the residence.

Actually, we did it twice. We did it from when we went from -- from the arrest location to another address that we thought where he lived at that turned out to be bogus. We got him on the air, told him it was bogus and we were going to head to another one. And he acknowledged that.

18 Q And he acknowledged that it was outside 19 the city?

20 A Right.

21 Q Did Mc Gee respond?

22 A No. No one responded.

23 Q So neither supervisor responded?

A That's correct.

25 SERGEANT RODRIGUEZ: Okay. Thank you very

3327

01	much. That concludes this interview. The time now
02	is approximately 1313 hours. We're going off tape.
03	MR. ROSENTHAL: Okay. And we're off the
04	record.
05	(Lunch recess)
06	
07	
08	
09	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

01	Los Angeles, California, Wednesday, May 10, 2000
02	(Afternoon session)
03	
04	
05	MR. ROSENTHAL: All right. We're back on the
06	record. It's 1:58 in the afternoon.
07	SERGEANT SERAFIN: Okay. This is a
08	tape-recorded interview of Internal Affairs
09	investigation number 00-0663. Today is May the
10	10th, the year 2000. The time now rounded off is
11	1400 hours or 2:00 p.m. in the afternoon.
12	The location of the interview is Internal
13	Affairs Group South Section. Present to be
14	interviewed is Rafael Perez. Present acting as
15	Perez's attorney is Kevin Mc Kesson.
16	Also present is Deputy D.A. Richard
17	Rosenthal and Sergeant Wesley Buhrmester, serial
18	number 25204, Internal Affairs group, acting as an
19	observer.
20	Conducting the interview is Sergeant Gil

21	Serafin, serial 22508, Sergeant Ralph Mc Comb,
22	serial number 21276. The interview is being
23	recorded on tape number 229205, side A as in
24	"Adam."
25	
3329	
01	EXAMINATION
02	BY SERGEANT SERAFIN:
03	Q Okay. I'm sorry. I'll take it a little
04	slower.
05	Mr. Perez, mind if I call you Ray?
06	A No, sir.
07	Q Okay. Ray, the purpose for this interview
08	is to address some concerns which have come to the
09	Department's attention.
10	And it's my understanding that you were
11	originally interviewed previously by
12	robbery-homicide detectives back in November of 1999
13	concerning an incident involving Officer Daniel
14	Lujan and Sergeant Ortiz.
15	Prior to going on tape, I provided you an
16	opportunity to review transcripts concerning that
17	interview that took place back in November of 1993.

18 Is that correct, sir?

19 A '93?

20 Q Correct. 1999. I'm sorry.

21 MR. ROSENTHAL: Actually, let me just put on 22 the record the first interview was on September 17th 23 of 1999 and then there's a subsequent interview on 24 November 23rd of 1999.

25 SERGEANT SERAFIN: That's correct.

3330

01 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

02 BY SERGEANT SERAFIN:

Q What I'd like to do, Ray, is show you some photographs. We need your assistance in attempting to narrow down the occurrence as you've conveyed. And I have a series of four -- four 8-by-11 covered sheets containing various colored photographs, booking-size photographs.

And what I'd like you to do is take an opportunity and, if you can, if you identify that individual which was involved in that occurrence which you've conveyed to robbery-homicide detectives involving Officer Lujan. So what I'd like you to do, sir, is just go ahead and take your time, review 15 each one.

And for the purposes of my tape-recording, Mr. Perez is reviewing photographs, booking-size photographs.

MR. ROSENTHAL: For the record, why don't you just let us know. Where did these photographs come from?

SERGEANT SERAFIN: These photographs were taken from various arrest reports which were made by Officer Daniel Lujan.

25 MR. MC KESSON: For the record, how many 3331

01 photographs are there, approximately? 28?

O2 SERGEANT SERAFIN: Well, there is nine for the O3 first three pages and then a singular photograph on O4 the last page.

05 MR. ROSENTHAL: 28.

06 BY SERGEANT SERAFIN:

07 Q Do any of those photographs look familiar 08 involving this particular occurrence, sir?

09 A Not that they don't look familiar, but 10 when I saw the person, I saw him very briefly. It 11 wasn't my case. It wasn't someone that I was going

12 to see later on that night or I had to book him or 13 anything like that. I saw him very briefly. 14 Now, I don't remember if it's in the 15 transcripts or not, but I thought I had described 16 him as like a male Hispanic, brown skin, you know, 17 shaved head. 18 Looking at the roundness of the face, if I 19 had to say, you know, which guy looked closest to 20 the person that I remember, because I only remember, 21 you know, the figure, the Hispanic male, 22 close-cropped haircut, I would say this guy looks --23 number -- on the first page, the upper column, the one furthest to the right. 24 25 MR. ROSENTHAL: Just indicate the booking 3332 01 number. 02 THE WITNESS: Oh, I'm sorry. Booking number 03 5453017. 04 And again, and the reason I'm picking him 05 is because of the short hair, the complexion. And 06 when I was saying "brown skin," I mean, you know, 07 there's a difference between a light-skin male 80 Hispanic and the brown-skin. And this guy has that

09 brown skin.

10 But other than that, there's nothing to substantiate or any recollection as far as looking 11 12 at the photo that would tell me that this is 13 definitely him. 14 15 EXAMINATION 16 BY DETECTIVE MC COMB: 17 Ο Just to make sure that I'm clear on the identification, did you say that you don't have an 18 19 independent recollection of that person's face, however, in looking at that photograph that 20 photograph most closely resembles the description 21 22 that you provided in your testimony initially? 23 From my memory. А 24 Q Okay. 25 What I'm remember the guy looking like, А 3333 01 you know. I don't have a real clear picture of his 02 distinction -- features in his face. What I mostly 03 remember is the close-cut haircut, male Hispanic, 04 kind of, you know, average size build. And that 05 most closely fits the description.

06 Q So you do have some independent recollection of that individual's appearance? 07 80 A Well, I remember -- I definitely remember 09 seeing him. 10 0 Okay. But the thing is, you know, if you leave 11 А here today, I remember he was a male white, kind of 12 grayish hair. I won't remember all the -- you know, 13 14 every little feature. 15 16 FURTHER EXAMINATION 17 BY SERGEANT SERAFIN: Q Ray, during the second portion of that 18 19 interview that you had with robbery-homicide 20 detectives, you described, as you have mentioned, 21 that it was a male Hispanic. And it has here 22 "indicated probably 18 years old, shaved head." 23 And further along during this same 24 interview, you believe that he had some type of gang 25 affiliation with street criminals. 3334 01 Yes, sir. А 02 What I'd like you to do is to look at Q

03 these suspect identification cards involving street 04 criminals and see if there's anyone that you might 05 recognize that may have been involved on that date. 06 And the date that you provided to the 07 robbery-homicide detectives was sometime early in 08 1996.

09 A I'm sorry. What was that last statement? 10 Q The date that we're talking about as far 11 as this occurrence which you've conveyed was 12 sometime in early 1996.

13 A Okay.

SERGEANT SERAFIN: And for the purposes of my tape-recording, Mr. Perez is reviewing the what's commonly known as I. cards.

17 THE WITNESS: Can I look at that photo again?18 DETECTIVE MC COMB: Sure.

19 THE WITNESS: You know, the roundness of the 20 face and the -- you know, and he looks sort of 21 similar. I don't think it's the same person. But 22 the face and the hair, the brown skin, that looks, 23 you know, pretty close.

DETECTIVE MC COMB: What's the name on that?THE WITNESS: Paniagua, Louis Paniagua.

3335

01	MR.	ROSENTHAL:	Can	you	spell	that?	
----	-----	------------	-----	-----	-------	-------	--

02 THE WITNESS: P-a-n-i-a-g-u-a.

03 DETECTIVE MC COMB: Just that one?

04 THE WITNESS: You know -- back on the record? 05 After reviewing all the identification cards, I've 06 pulled out one with the name of Paniagua, Louis.

07 And, again, from my recollection, this is the

08 closest one that resembles the person that I

09 remember on that day.

10 DETECTIVE MC COMB: Okay. You can just set it 11 on top.

12 THE WITNESS: Okay.

MR. ROSENTHAL: Is there a booking number attributed with him or --

15 DETECTIVE MC COMB: That's a gang card.

16 MR. ROSENTHAL: Oh, all right.

DETECTIVE MC COMB: So not likely. Although,there may be something on there from a prior

19 arrest.

THE WITNESS: There's an old booking number. What we usually do is put a booking number. Do you want me to use that booking number that's on here? 23 MR. ROSENTHAL: How about just the date of 24 birth?

25 THE WITNESS: Date of birth of 9/25/78. 3336

01 DETECTIVE MC COMB: Thank you.

02 BY SERGEANT SERAFIN:

Q Okay. And, lastly, Ray, what I've done is I've compiled some information concerning three arrest reports that I have. I'd like to take an opportunity, allow you to review them.

And this is all based on the information that -- of the area which you had mentioned on 11th Place between Westlake and Burlington and -- as far as my transcript from the second interview went. And then near around the area of 12th and Alvarado is mentioned in the first transcript.

So what I'd like you to do is take an opportunity to review these three arrests.

And while you're reviewing that, am I correct in understanding that this incident took place sometime in early 1996 as compared to some other year?

19 A We talked about this -- that was to the

20 best of my recollection. I mean I'm trying to 21 remember something that occurred four years ago. 22 And, in my opinion, I think it occurred sometime in 23 early 1996. 24 0 Okay. 25 (Discussion off the record) 3337 MR. ROSENTHAL: We're back on the record. It's 01 02 12:19. BY SERGEANT SERAFIN: 03 04 Okay. Mr. Perez, you had an opportunity Q to review three arrest reports which I have 05 06 presented to you. 07 Were you able to -- based on the information contained in the narratives of those 08 09 arrests, able to select any one which reflects that occurrence which you presented to RHD detectives? 10 11 Yes. After reviewing all three of them, I А 12 was quickly alerted to the arrest report by the name 13 of Chavez. It's a single defendant case. No, I'm 14 sorry, a two-defendant case, Banegas and Chavez. 15 Reading the narrative of this report, it is exactly the incident that I was describing, a 16

17 driveway, running through the driveway, supposedly 18 climbing up on a fence, falling, falling backwards 19 back into the property, and recovering narcotics.

20 On the report he wrote that he recovered 21 narcotics. He was spitting the narcotics out as the 22 officers were detaining him.

23 It does not talk about any use of force that was written. I don't know if the use of force 24 25 was covered in a -- or the injuries were covered in 3338

01 a sergeant's log or something like that.

Because I think they were trying to --02 03 they didn't want to do a use of force report. They 04 probably handled it as injuries that he obtained 05 or something. I don't know how that part was 06 handled.

07 But by reading this -- from reading this report, I strongly believe that this is the case 08 09 that I was talking about with Sergeant Ortiz, 10 myself, and Officer Lujan. And it also talks about 11 several other officers being there, Officer --Officer Tovar and Officer -- Officer Patel. 12

13 And in my previous interview I had talked

14	about how there was a couple other officers there, I
15	just couldn't think of who they were. But like I
16	said, it is my opinion that this is the case that I
17	was describing.
18	Q Okay. Now, what I'd like you to do is to
19	have a second opportunity to review the
20	photographs. Go ahead.
21	A I'm sorry. There is this is a multi
22	two?
23	Q Yes.
24	A So I don't know if there's, you know, two
25	photos of these guys or not or
3339	
01	Q Let me take a look at that.
02	A Two people were arrested.
03	Q Okay. Andres is A-n-d-r-e-s, Banegas,
04	B-a-n-e-g-a-s, is the first individual. The second
05	one is Samuel, S-a-m-u-e-l, Chavez, C-h-a-v-e-s.
06	And based on the under "Observations"
07	in the arrest report narrative, Ray, are you able to
08	distinguish which of the individuals was involved,
09	in that we do have multiple suspects?
10	A The only based on the report as to who

11 they're describing that's climbing the fence.

12	Because what I do remember is when I'm showing up, I
13	remember them walking one of the guys from behind
14	the driveway or the rear of the driveway to the
15	front towards the police car.
16	I don't remember a second suspect. I mean
17	he may have been already sitting in the car or I
18	don't know. I remember one.
19	Q And is that reflected in the narrative,
20	sir, as to the identity of the involved individual,
21	whether it be defendant 1 or defendant 2?
22	A It talks about, defendant number 2 or,
23	I'm sorry. Yeah, defendant number 2 attempting to
24	jump the fence. "Defendant number 2 tripped,
25	falling into the adjacent property where we were
3340	
01	positioned."
02	MR. ROSENTHAL: Who was defendant 2?
03	THE WITNESS: Chavez.
04	BY SERGEANT SERAFIN:
05	Q Is it my understanding that it's
06	defendant 2 Chavez
07	A Yes, sir.

80 Q -- that is the involved party that you've 09 referred to in your interviews here? 10 Yes, sir. А 11 Q Now, what I'd like you to do is to --12 Richard, did you have something that you wanted to look at? 13 14 MR. ROSENTHAL: No, I just wanted to take a 15 look at the arrest report. 16 BY SERGEANT SERAFIN: 17 What I'd like you to do is take a second Q 18 opportunity to review the photographs again and 19 paying close attention to this particular photograph here on -- which is page 3 identified as booking 20 21 number -- and if I may, sir, because I can't read 22 upside-down, 4881821, with the booking date of 23 6/29/96. 24 Take a look at that photograph again, 25 sir. And if I'm not mistaken --3341 01 Ralph, if you can read that from over 02 yonder there on Samuel Chavez's booking number. 03 MR. ROSENTHAL: That would be 4881786. I'm 04 sorry. No. Samuel Chavez. That was Mr. Banegas.

05 Chavez is 4881821.

06 THE WITNESS: Yeah.

07 BY SERGEANT SERAFIN:

08 Q Okay. I'm making sure we've got the right 09 booking numbers.

10 A Looking at the photo itself, it doesn't 11 help me.

12 Okay. And you had mentioned that there Q 13 was a percentage in which you believe that that 14 incident which is addressed in this particular 15 arrest report, D.R. number 96-02-23679, as being the 16 occurrence which you conveyed to robbery-homicide detectives and the one we're discussing today. You 17 18 had mentioned about 95 percent accurate, sir? 19 That would be about correct, sir. I feel А 20 like I'm about 95 percent sure that that's the 21 incident. 22 DETECTIVE SERAFIN: Okay. Ralph, do you have

23 any questions for Ray concerning this particular 24 occurrence or anything covered in his prior 25 interviews?

3342

01

FURTHER EXAMINATION

03 BY DETECTIVE MC COMB:

Q So the only thing that doesn't really match with your recollection is the appearance of the arrestee.

07 A Yes. And I'll say this: Keeping in mind 08 that this is a driveway in the evening and, you 09 know, I'm seeing this guy just being brought out. 10 It wasn't like I went and examined him.

11 So it would be very difficult for me to 12 say -- I mean I could tell it was a male, I could 13 tell it was a male Hispanic, but, you know, facial features are very difficult for me at this point. 14 15 SERGEANT SERAFIN: And I would just like to take a short break because I need to address an 16 17 issue with Mr. Rosenthal concerning what we 18 discussed earlier, sir.

So if we can, I'll just go ahead and pause my tape. The time now is 1425 hours.

21 MR. ROSENTHAL: And we'll go off the record.22 (Recess)

23 MR. ROSENTHAL: All right. It's 2:30. We're
24 back on the record.

02

25 SERGEANT SERAFIN: Exactly it's 1430 hours. 3343 01 02 FURTHER EXAMINATION 03 BY SERGEANT SERAFIN: 04 0 Ray, you apparently had selected D.R. 05 number 96-0223679 with the defendant 2 as it 06 reflected in the arrest report as Samuel Chavez, 07 booking number 4881821. 80 What I'd like you to do, Ray, is just take 09 a look at defendant 1, which is Mr. Banegas, serial -- or correction, booking number 4881786, and 10 see if you recognize that individual as being one of 11 12 the parties that was at scene. 13 I would've never been in position or I А 14 never had occasion to see who the other defendant 15 was. I never -- as I talked about it in my first 16 interview, after Ortiz said what he had to say on, 17 you know, who was to get booked, I left the scene, 18 don't know what they got booked for later, I don't 19 know what happened with the case. 20 DETECTIVE MC COMB: I think you also said that 21 he was in the car.

22 THE WITNESS: No, I said if he was in a car, I 23 don't even know. I remember seeing one person. So 24 looking at a photo isn't going to help me as far as 25 who the other guy was. 3344 SERGEANT SERAFIN: Okay. That was just done to 01 02 provide you an opportunity. 03 04 FURTHER EXAMINATION 05 BY MR. ROSENTHAL: 06 0 Let me ask a question. With respect to Defendant Chavez, what you're suggesting is that you 07 believe that he may have been framed by Lujan to 08 09 cover up Lujan's beating of Mr. Chavez? 10 А For running, yes. 11 For running. Now, with respect to Q 12 Mr. Banegas, who is defendant number 1, any reason 13 to believe that his arrest was also false? 14 Because I think I noticed -- I noticed on 15 the report -- let me just put this on the record. 16 It says: 17 "On two separate occasions we observed 18 defendant 1 approach vehicles and receive

19 currency from drivers. In exchange defendant 1 20 would remove unknown items from his mouth and 21 hand it to the drivers. Defendant 1 would then 22 return to the sidewalk with defendant 2." 23 They say they also would've observed 24 defendant 2 flag down a passing vehicle and receive 25 currency from its driver, and then he would also 3345 recover an unknown item from his mouth with his 01 02 right hand and hand it to the driver. 03 Obviously, you weren't there, and I don't 04 want you to speculate. But based upon the information, you knew what about defendant 1 05 06 Banegas? 07 Again, you're right. This is a total А 08 speculation. I don't have any knowledge as to what 09 occurred. From the conversation as to who was going 10 to go, I would have to say that narcotics was 11 planted on both of them. Did it say that defendant number 1 also 12 13 ran a short distance and was taken into custody 14 or -- I concentrated on Chavez only. I didn't --15 You want me to take a look at the report? 0

16 I'll take a look at the report.

17	A But from how the conversation was going,
18	it was like you know, it wasn't like, "Hey, we
19	saw this guy dealing," you know, "and this other guy
20	was dealing, too, with him and he ran. But," you
21	know, "we ain't got nothing on him. We thumped
22	him." Nothing like that. It was sort of like, "The
23	guy ran him; we chased him."
24	Q It does say that:
25	"As Officers Patel and Tovar responded to
3346	
01	the location, defendant 1 ran southbound down
02	the driveway, defendant 2 ran into the front
03	yard and westbound towards our location.
04	"Upon reaching the fence dividing the two
05	properties, defendant 2 attempted to jump the
06	fence. Defendant 2 tripped and fell into the
07	adjacent property where we were positioned."
08	They also said they observed defendant 1
09	climbing over a wrought iron fence between the two
10	properties where they had detained defendant 2. And
11	when defendant 1 reached the top of the fence and
12	began to climb over, he observed him with defendant

2 and dropped a foil bindle, then jumped back off 13 the top of the fence and fell backward onto the 14 15 ground and rolled onto his side. 16 So apparently both of them, according to 17 this, fell. 18 Yeah. And, again, it would be a А 19 speculation because I didn't see it. I don't know 20 what their observations were. 21 I can only say that the discussion wasn't 22 like, "Yeah, we saw them dealing and we had an O.P. set up." It was more like, "They ran, we got them 23 24 into custody, and we got nothing," that type of 25 thing. 3347 01 02 FURTHER EXAMINATION BY DETECTIVE MC COMB: 03 You say "them," but in actuality you mean Q 04 05 he? 06 А Exactly. 07 Q In the singular? 80 Right. The one. А 09

10 FURTHER EXAMINATION

11 BY SERGEANT SERAFIN:

12	Q I know it's indicated in the arrest
13	report, Ray, but do you have any independent
14	recollection or does this arrest report help you in
15	refreshing your memory as to who could've been
16	present during this occasion?
17	MR. MC KESSON: Can you read that question
18	back?
19	(Record read)
20	THE WITNESS: At the scene?
21	BY SERGEANT SERAFIN:
22	Q Yes.
23	A I know I was there. I know Sergeant Ortiz
24	was there. I know Officer Lujan was there. And I
25	know there were several other officers. I know what
3348	
01	the report says as to the officers.
02	Does that report refresh my memory as
03	to that it was definitely them? No. Again, this
04	was relatively uneventful. As far as the other
05	officers that were there, once everything was
06	Code 4, I was on my way.

07 Q Okay. And, lastly, does this help you in 80 refreshing your memory as to who your partner may 09 have been that day? 10 А It does not refresh my memory. If I read 11 it, I know who it was. But as to me independently 12 remembering? No. 13 SERGEANT SERAFIN: Okay. I don't have anything further. Ralph, do you have anything further for 14 Mr. Perez? 15 16 DETECTIVE MC COMB: No. 17 MR. ROSENTHAL: Okay. 18 SERGEANT SERAFIN: Richard? MR. ROSENTHAL: No. 19 SERGEANT SERAFIN: Okay. This concludes my 20 21 interview with Mr. Rafael Perez. The time now is 22 1437 hours. Thank you. 23 MR. ROSENTHAL: We're off the record. 24 (Recess) DETECTIVE BURDITT: Okay. This is a 25 3349 01 tape-recorded interview for an Internal Affairs 02 investigation. The C.F. number is 99-4624. Today 03 is May 10 of the year 2000, and the time is 1452

04 hours. The location of this interview is Internal 05 Affairs Group South Section.

06 Present to be interviewed is Rafael
07 Perez. The interview is being recorded on tape
08 number 229298, side A.
09 The interview is being conducted by
10 Detective II Michael Burditt -- my serial number is
11 24454. I'm assigned to Internal Affairs -- and by

12 Sergeant I Debora Orpin, serial number 27432, also 13 of Internal Affairs.

Also present is Rafael Perez's attorney,
Kevin Mc Kesson, Deputy District Attorney Richard
Rosenthal, and Court Reporter Lynden Glover.

- 17 EXAMINATION
- 18 BY DETECTIVE BURDITT:

19 Q Rafael, prior to us going on tape, I 20 presented you with some documents and gave you time 21 to review them.

Those included a summary of your prior interview regarding this incident dated November 5th of 1999, also the transcript of that interview, and an arrest report for a Meldrano Vergara,

3350

01 V-e-r-g-a-r-a.

02 This individual was arrested on it appears 03 June 5th of 1996. He was arrested for assault with 04 a deadly weapon. 05 And I also gave you for your review the 06 use of force report regarding this incident. And 07 for the record, the D.R. number --80 MR. ROSENTHAL: The D.R. number is 96-0221086 09 and the district attorney case number is 10 BA132884. It appears the defendant's true name may have been Henry Martillaro, M-a-r-t-i-l-l-a-r-o. 11 12 BY DETECTIVE BURDITT: 13 Q Did you have sufficient time to review 14 these --15 A I have. 16 Q -- documents? 17 А Yes. 18 Would it be correct to say that the --Q 19 as far as addressing misconduct involving this 20 case, the main issues here are the fact that the 21 firearms in question in this arrest were not in 22 plain view?

23 A That's one of the issues, yes.

Q And the other main issue would be the 25 use of force upon this arrestee involving his 3351

01 elbow?

02 A That's correct.

MR. ROSENTHAL: You know what, there's one other thing we just need to put on the record. I apologize.

In this case, it does turn out I've been informed that Officer Veloz, who's one of the suspect officers, was compelled by an Internal Affairs investigator.

10 I have been informed, however, that Detective Burditt, who is conducting the questioning 11 12 today, has not had any exposure to that compelled 13 statement, did not participate in taking the 14 compelled statement, has not seen the compelled 15 statement, and has not discussed the compelled 16 statement with any of the officers who, in fact, 17 took that statement. 18 Is that true?

19 DETECTIVE BURDITT: That's true.

20 MR. ROSENTHAL: Okay. Thank you.

21 BY DETECTIVE BURDITT:

Q Okay. What I'd like to do, Ray, is go through your recollection of this incident. Do you recall, after reviewing these documents, who your partner was that night?

3352

A I didn't review the report for the purpose
of seeing who my partner was. I can assume, but I'm
not going to assume. I'll read it.

Q That's fine. Now, this -- this defendant Vergara was involved in an incident. And this defendant Vergara was involved in an incident in which the arrest report indicates that he repeatedly rammed the back of the police car of Officers Veloz and Lujan, which then led to a use of force incident and his arrest at a location being Valley and

11 Crandall.

12 A Yes, sir.

13 Q Which is in Rampart Division?

14 A Yes, sir.

15 Q What was your first involvement in this 16 case?

17 You obviously arrived at the arrest

18 location. When you arrived what was occurring? 19 A The suspect was ramming his vehicle to 20 the -- the vehicle in front of him, the police car. 21 Q And which police vehicle would that have 22 been? 23 A It was Officer Lujan's vehicle. 24 Were you summoned to this location by 0 25 Officers Lujan and Veloz? 3353 Yes, sir. 01 А 02 Q And do you recall the nature of that 03 summons? It was "request for additional units for a 04 А 05 415 man with a vehicle ramming police car," something to that effect. 06 07 Q When you arrived at scene, were there 80 other police cars there besides Veloz and Lujan? 09 Yes, sir. А 10 Q And do you know which officers those might 11 have been? 12 A Not off the top of my head. Eventually there was probably a whole lot of officers. There 13 14 was probably 30 vehicles there. There was a lot of

15 people there.

16	Q Now, prior to or leading up to the point
17	where this suspect Vergara was taken into custody
18	and he was handcuffed, were there were there
19	additional officers there besides CRASH?
20	A Yes. There was patrol patrol officers
21	there, yes.
22	Q Do you know what patrol officers?
23	A Right off the top of my head, for some
24	reason, there's a tall male white that works
25	Rampart. And if you I know. That doesn't help.
3354	
01	But if you told me the name, I'd say yes, or showed
02	me a picture. He became a P-III.
03	And the reason I remember him is because
04	when we started to approach the car and the guy
05	started kind of ramming the cars back and forth, he
06	pulled his gun out and he was pointing it at the
07	car. But his cross-fire was so bad that Ortiz had
08	to tell him, "Put your gun down. Watch your
09	cross-fire." I can't remember his name but
10	

11 EXAMINATION

12 BY SERGEANT ORPIN:

13	Q Can you describe him?	
14	A Tall, male white, hair combed to t	he
15	side.	
16	Q Color hair?	
17	A Like a brownish-blondish hair. No	
18	mustache, kind of big eyes. He has sort of 1	big
19	eyes. You know who I'm talking about?	
20	Q No. I'm trying.	
21	A You know him. He became a training	g
22	officer. He worked patrol training, training	g
23	officer.	
24		
25	FURTHER EXAMINATION	
3355		
01	BY DETECTIVE BURDITT:	
02	Q Okay. Well, we'll get back to the	
03	incident here. You arrived and you indicate	d that
04	you observed the suspect vehicle ramming the	police
05	vehicle?	
06	A Well, there was very limited space	between
07	the two. So he was spinning his tires going	back
08	and forth and trying to, I guess, make ge	t enough

09 room between the two cars to get out. And he was --10 yeah, he was ramming back and forth, going back and 11 forth. 12 Q Was the police car in front of or behind 13 the suspect vehicle? 14 I believe there was one in front. I'm not А 15 sure who the car behind him was, but there was 16 definitely one in front. 17 Q You had mentioned Sergeant Ortiz --Yes, sir. 18 А 19 Q -- being there? 20 А Yes, sir. At what point during this incident did 21 0 22 Sergeant Ortiz arrive? 23 I think he was there as early as I was. А 24 Q You were there prior to the use of force, 25 correct? 3356 01 А Yes, sir. 02 Q Prior to the suspect being pulled from the 03 vehicle? 04 Yes, sir. А 05 Was there a passenger in that vehicle? Q

06 A He was taken out early on. He jumped out 07 of the car, I think. Another male. He jumped out 8 0 of the car and was taken into custody way before 09 some of this other stuff occurred. 10 Okay. Now, were you present when the 0 11 passenger exited the vehicle? 12 No. He was already out when I got there, А 13 already in custody. 14 Q Do you know who had him in custody? 15 He was sitting in a vehicle. I know А 16 that. Who actually took him into custody, I don't 17 know. 18 Did you have any contact with this Q 19 passenger at all? 20 Afterwards. After, you know, we were А 21 talking to him about his friend, what was his 22 problem. And he was saying something like he just 23 wigged out, they didn't know what's wrong with 24 him, or he didn't know what was wrong with him. 25 Q When you reviewed -- I know I'm jumping a 3357 01 little bit forward, but I'm going to hit this 02 question before I forget it.

In the arrest report there was a statement that was written out by this witness relative to the actions of his friend. And it was actually page 8 on the arrest report. It indicates, according to the arrest report, that Montoya and Veloz obtained this statement.

09 Were you present when this statement was 10 taken?

11 A No, sir.

12 Q Do you know if there's any misconduct at 13 all related to the statement that this defendant 14 gave or this witness gave?

15 A This statement appears to be correct from 16 what I remember him telling us. The only part that 17 I don't see correct is about, "I don't care. I'm 18 going to kill them. I'm going to kill the cops," or 19 whatever. I don't remember him ever saying that.

The part about the suspect hitting him, I do remember him saying that. But, like I said, that other part I don't.

23 Q So, now, you're there and this defendant 24 is sandwiched between two vehicles, correct?

25 A Yes.

3358

01 The one vehicle would be a police 0 02 vehicle. Was the other vehicle a parked car or 03 another patrol car, if you know? I'm not sure. I know one of them was a 04 А police car. I'm not sure what the other car was. 05 06 I'm not sure. 07 Okay. What Rampart CRASH officers were Q 08 present that you recall that were there at this 09 incident besides, obviously, we know Veloz, Lujan, 10 and yourself? 11 I remember seeing Officer Hewitt there, А 12 Officer Montoya, Officer Lujan, myself, Sergeant 13 Ortiz, and there were several more, you know. And I'm just not remembering their names, but there was 14 15 definitely several more. 16 Okay. The arrest report mentioned an 0 17 Officer Buchanan --18 А Yes. 19 Q -- being there? 20 А Yes. 21 Do you recall him being there? 0 22 А Yes, sir.

23 0 And the arrest report also mentioned an 24 Officer Harper? 25 Yes, sir. Α 3359 01 Do you recall him being there? Q 02 Yes, sir. А Now, this defendant is bouncing back and 03 Q forth between these cars. At that point what action 04 05 did you or any of the other officers take? 06 We were trying to figure out how we were А 07 going to get him out. Sergeant Ortiz -- we sort of 08 get in a little circle. We tell him -- you know, we discuss what we were going to do. 09 Now, when you say "we," who are "we"? 10 Q 11 Sergeant Ortiz, myself, Hewitt, Lujan, А 12 Buchanan. There was about five of us, five or six 13 of us. 14 We decided we're going to break -- we were 15 going to try and open the door. If the door doesn't 16 open, we're going to smash the windshield, O.C. him, 17 try and get the door open to get him out, and then 18 we'll go from there. Somehow we're going to have to

19 extract him from the car.

20 Q Was -- what was this defendant doing 21 during this meeting? I presume this was a rather 22 quick meeting. 23 А Yes, right there as everything is going 24 on. 25 Real quick? Q 3360 01 Yeah. А 02 Q "This is -- this is our game plan"? Yes, sir. 03 А 04 Was the defendant still ramming vehicles Q 05 at that point? 06 He was going back and forth. You know how А 07 the tires screech, you know, trying to get some momentum. But he's just not -- doesn't have enough 08 09 momentum to really bounce the cars out of their --10 out of the way. 11 So, you know, we're having this little 12 meeting. And I call it a meeting, but it's 13 basically a quick, you know, "hey, here's what we're 14 going to have to do," da-da-da. And we decide that 15 this is what we're going to do, and we approach the 16 car.

17 0 Now, who formulated that plan? Ortiz. 18 А 19 The sergeant did? Q 20 А Yes. 21 22 FURTHER EXAMINATION 23 BY SERGEANT ORPIN: 24 And what was that plan? Q 25 А We were going to go up to the car, smash 3361 01 the windshield, spray him with O.C., try and get 02 that door open to pull him out. 03 If that didn't work, we were going to figure out from there how we were going to extract 04 05 him. We were going to do something. 06 And what we ended up doing was I'm pretty 07 sure I punched him in the face and that kind of put 80 his face forward, and we just pulled him right out 09 of the car. 10 11 FURTHER EXAMINATION 12 BY DETECTIVE BURDITT: 13 Okay. Now, how did you get to the point Q

14 of approaching him?

A We went right up to the car. We went --16 walked up to the car.

17 Q Now, which officers?

18 A All of us: Hewitt, myself, Lujan,

19 Buchanan, Ortiz. I think there was one more. There 20 was about five or six of us that approached the car.

21

22 FURTHER EXAMINATION

23 BY SERGEANT ORPIN:

24 Q Did you approach from one side only or did 25 you --

```
3362
```

A Yeah, we were all on one side. We did not
like, you know, there was two or three officers on
one side. No, we were all on the same side, the
driver's side.
Q The driver's side?
A Yes, ma'am
FURTHER EXAMINATION
BY DETECTIVE BURDITT:

10 Q Do you specifically remember who did what

11 when you guys approached that car?

12 A I know what I specifically did. I know 13 once he was on the ground, I know what was basically 14 done to him. I know we searched. I mean I know I 15 searched.

16 You got to remember, you know, we're being 17 real cautious. I know I'm one of the officers -- I 18 know Buchanan's right up front, too. I think 19 Buchanan O.C.'s him.

At one point Buchanan is right up with me. Because I'm right by the door. Buchanan comes right up to the door, sprays him. We were trying to get the door open. We couldn't get the door open.

At some point -- before Buchanan actually 25 sprays him, somebody comes up and breaks the window. 3363

01 And then Buchanan comes up. I'm still right there.
02 He O.C.'s him.

And at that point he's still not coming out. And that's when I decided -- I just punched him and grabbed him by the collar and the shirt, and I started pulling him out and we all just pulled him or out.

08		
09		FURTHER EXAMINATION
10	BY SERGEA	NT ORPIN:
11	Q	You pulled him out through the windshield?
12	A	Yes. Through the window. You said
13	windshiel	d?
14	Q	Windshield.
15	A	The window. The windshield is the front
16	one.	
17	Q	Right. And you pulled him out through
18	that	
19	А	Window.
20	Q	side?
21	A	Side window, yeah.
22	Q	Okay.
23		
24		
25		FURTHER EXAMINATION
3364		
01	BY DETECT	IVE BURDITT:
02	Q	Now, prior to you guys when you were
03	formulati	ng this plan, how close were you guys to
04	the car?	

05 A While it was still moving and he was 06 acting silly or crazy, about 25, 30 feet back away 07 from him.

Q Did you at any time observe this defendant of reaching toward the back of the vehicle as if he was attempting to grab something?

11 A No. That was a fabricated story. That 12 was after the fact. As I happened to be searching 13 the car, I feel a couple weapons in the back seat. 14 They were around the armrest. But I couldn't get to 15 them. There was no way to get to them. 16 I had to actually cut the leather in

17 the -- I don't know how to describe it. But you 18 know how the -- the armrest comes down so it leaves 19 like a little leather flap on the side. I had to 20 cut that little --

21

22 FURTHER EXAMINATION

23 BY SERGEANT ORPIN:

24 Q In the middle or on the side?25 A In the middle.

3365

01 Q Like a console?

02 А Right. You know how an arm rests in the 03 middle in the back of the car in the back seats --04 Q Okay. 05 А -- comes down on the side, you know, just to make it -- for looks, it gives it like a little 06 07 leather covering so that it doesn't look like you 80 can see right -- well, I had to cut that open to get

09 the weapons out.

But that was well after the fact, you know, and that was added to the story just to add to the story. We had no idea that there was any weapons in the car.

14 MR. ROSENTHAL: How did the weapons get in that 15 spot then?

16 THE WITNESS: I still don't know. We were

17 trying to figure that out.

18 BY SERGEANT ORPIN:

19 Q Did you ask him?

20 A I never did.

21 Q Do you know if anybody ever asked him how 22 they were able to --

A I don't know. I know that it waspretty -- because I -- when I first felt them in

25 there, I said, well, maybe it's through the trunk. 3366

01 I went to the trunk.

In fact, I remember Sergeant Ortiz was telling everybody, "Back away from the car. Back away," because we didn't know if it was a booby trap or something. So I remember Ortiz telling everybody to back away from the car.

07 But there was no way to get in it through 08 the back either. So I went back to the front and I 09 just said, "Give me a knife." And I just cut the 10 material on the side, cut it and just removed the 11 weapons.

12

13 FURTHER EXAMINATION

14 BY DETECTIVE BURDITT:

15 Q Now, in the -- in the arrest report 16 Lujan -- you already indicated to me that it was 17 fabricated.

But Lujan wrote that he observed the defendant reaching between the front seats as though to get something and illuminated the interior of the vehicle with his flashlight, and in plain view he 22 saw two semiautomatic pistols lying in the back seat 23 of his vehicle.

And that would've been fabricated?
A Yes. There was a lot of people out
3367

01 there. Believe me, if we would've saw or if Lujan 02 would've saw a weapon, that information would've 03 been communicated to us and everyone that was there. 04 You know, "Back up. Take cover. He's reaching for 05 a weapon," something.

06 Believe me, that was fabricated. That 07 never happened. That was just to add to the story. 08 Q Would it be fair to say that the tactical 09 plan that Sergeant Ortiz developed would have 10 been --

11 A Completely different.

12 Q -- completely different if, in fact, you 13 guys had information that this guy had loaded guns 14 in the car?

15 A Absolutely. Or if we're in the middle of 16 the operation and we see him reaching for weapons 17 and we look in the car and we see weapons, we're 18 going to back up, redeploy in a totally different 19 manner.

20 Were his hands on the steering wheel while Q 21 he was going back and forth? 22 А Not on the steering wheel the whole time, 23 but he was never reaching for -- for the back. 24 Q Do you remember what kind of car it 25 was --3368 01 А I do not. -- that this guy was in? 02 Q 03 А I don't. 04 Back to the use of force, do you recall 0 who it was that -- which officers extracted Vergara 05 06 from the car? 07 Myself, Hewitt, Lujan, Buchanan, and I А 80 believe either Ortiz or another officer was sort of 09 trying to keep his legs down, like stepping on 10 the -- on the ankles type thing, but there was at 11 least four of us on him. 12 Was he resisting at the point where you Q 13 pulled him from the vehicle? 14 When we were pulling him out of the А vehicle, yes. 15

16 Q In what way was he resisting?

17 Going on the opposite direction as to А where we're trying to pull him. He's trying to pull 18 19 away from us. As we were trying to pull him out, 20 he's trying to get away. 21 Once we get him out of the car, he's still kind of struggling up until the point once he's down 22 on the ground. 23 24 In fact, there was glass on the ground. I 25 remember his -- you know, him being -- laying right 3369 01 on top of that glass. But once he was down, he was -- he was down. I mean he was -- he had pretty 02 03 much given up. Now, when he -- you indicated -- you just 04 Q 05 said that once he was on the ground he -- he gave 06 up? 07 Α Yes. 80 Q Did he stop resisting at that point? 09 А Pretty much, yes, sir. Okay. What happened after he was on the 10 Q ground facedown? 11 12 That was the point -- at that point that's А

13 when Lujan sort of straddled him from the side, 14 picked his wrist up, had him in sort of in a 15 wristlock twist and -- you know, as though you're 16 going to put his hands behind his back, but then he 17 lifted up.

I mean we were all sitting right there looking right at it. Hewitt's -- I remember me being towards the lower left leg as he's facedown. Hewitt's right in front -- or Lujan is right in front of me to his upper left arm. Hewitt is on the right arm. And Buchanan is on the right lower leg area, right lower leg area.

25 And Hewitt -- I mean or Lujan is grabbing 3370

01 that wrist and is lifting it up. And it's sort of a 02 technique you've got to use. But he lifted up, and 03 all of a sudden you could just hear -- you know, you 04 could see it. The elbow's out, totally dislocated 05 at that point.

06 Q Where was Sergeant Ortiz when that 07 occurred?

08 A He was right there.

09 Q When you say "right there" --

10 А Two or three feet from us watching us 11 taking him into custody. 12 13 FURTHER EXAMINATION 14 BY SERGEANT ORPIN: 15 Can you back up for just a second? 0 16 When you said that you thought Ortiz might have been putting his foot on the leg --17 18 А Yeah. 19 -- when he was being extracted -- you Q 20 know, as they were pulling him out of the car? 21 А After he's extracted and is on the 22 ground. You know how -- when somebody lays on the ground, we kind of step on their ankles so that 23 24 their feet aren't moving around. That type of 25 thing. 3371 01 And after that he backed up? Q 02 А He stayed right there. Once, you know, 03 the guy was pretty -- pretty mellow and, you know, 04 we were doing our thing, I know Ortiz is right 05 there.

06 I mean I don't know if he still had his

07 legs -- or his foot on his legs or not, but he's, 80 you know, right there the whole time. 09 10 FURTHER EXAMINATION 11 BY DETECTIVE BURDITT: 12 Was anyone giving this arrestee any 0 13 commands on what to do? Do you recall what anyone 14 said to him? 15 А I don't think we were giving him commands. Do you know if Vergara spoke English? 16 Q I don't know. 17 А 18 And after his -- was it apparent to you 0 that his shoulder was dislocated? 19 His elbow. 20 А 21 His elbow? Q 22 А There was no doubt. 23 And that was by the sound? 0 24 Well, the way he did it. Sort of like a А 25 lifting up, twisting and lifting up at the same 3372 01 time, and it just dislocates your elbow. 02 0 And it is -- it's your opinion that this 03 was excessive force on the part of Lujan because of

04 the fact that the defendant at that point during

05 this arrest was not resisting?

06 A Yes, sir. We had four or five people on 07 him. This wasn't a big guy. We had him pretty much 08 contained already. All we had to do was handcuff 09 him.

10 Q Now, he was sprayed with O.C. prior to the 11 extraction, correct?

12 A Yes, sir, a couple of times.

13 Q What was the effect on him? What effect 14 did the O.C. have on him?

15 A It bothered his eyes, but it didn't make 16 him comply.

Q After Lujan lifted his arm up causing the dislocation of the elbow, what occurred after that? A I remember one word from Lujan, "shit," sort of like a giggly, "Shit," that type of thing. And he brought his arm back down, handcuffed him, and we got him out of there.

Q Just so I'm clear, because it's very important, this one issue, the officers that would have been present and would've had knowledge of the 3373 01 actions of Lujan and the dislocation of the elbow 02 would have been who?

03 I am very certain that Officer Hewitt, Α 04 Officer Buchanan, myself, Sergeant Ortiz, and 05 obviously Officer Lujan knew that -- that the arm thing was a little -- a little added -- a little 06 07 added punishment to the defendant for what he did, 08 ramming police cars or whatever. That was sort of 09 like a little exclamation point on his arrest. 10 Okay. Now, when this occurred, the report 0 11 indicated that Officer Brehm was present. The 12 arrest actually indicated that Brehm took the 13 passenger into custody. 14 Where was Brehm when this occurred, if you 15 know? 16 I don't know. He may have been watching А 17 the other defendant. But he did not approach the 18 vehicle with the rest of us, so he may have been 19 watching the first defendant that got out of the 20 car. 21 Q Okay. But you're saying "may," so you

23 A I don't know.

don't know?

22

don!t

24 Q Okay. There was mention that Officer 25 Montoya was present.

3374

01 А Montoya was present, yes, sir. 02 Q Do you know where Montoya was when the use 03 of force occurred? 04 A Montoya was one of the ones that was 05 also -- remember I said there was Ortiz and somebody 06 else and I just can't remember? He was one of the 07 ones that was not involved in the actual, you know, 08 struggle, but he was right there. He would've seen 09 it. I mean we -- he knew it as well. 10 Q I think that covers the officers. Now, when he was -- was he handcuffed after that 11 12 occurred? 13 A Yes, right there -- or immediately after 14 that. 15 Q Immediately? 16 А Yes. 17 Q Was there any further struggle or were 18 there any problems with this defendant after that 19 occurred? 20 A No. He was mostly moaning and groaning

21 that, that type of thing. I know that we -- I don't
22 know if I told somebody or I said something about,
23 "He's laying on glass. Let's pick him up," or
24 something.

25 Because the more he moved on the glass on 3375

01 the cement, it was just putting little cuts on him.
02 And I remember I said, "Let's put him in the car,"
03 or something. But other than that, I don't remember
04 any other struggles from him.

Q Now, after he was taken into custody, the vehicle that -- just for the record, it was an Audi, it was a 1985 Audi -- who conducted the search of the vehicle?

09 A I did.

10 Q And how soon after this Vergara was taken 11 into custody did that occur?

12 A Probably five minutes after he was taken13 into custody.

14 Q Did anyone assist you in the search? 15 A Ortiz assisted me a little bit in the 16 trunk area. Because I told him, "I think there's 17 something in between the console, in the back 18 console."

19 And he -- he went back there with me when 20 I first opened it and then decided to tell all these 21 other officers that were hanging around now just 22 talking to get out of the way and go across the 23 street. 24 We didn't know whether it was some type of booby trap or -- I know I felt some kind of metal 25 3376 object that might be some type of weapon. And, you 01 02 know, we didn't want it to be some kind of shotgun pointed outward and it goes off as we were trying to 03 open it or whatever. 04 05 Q Okay. And just for clarification, these -- these two firearms that you recovered from 06 07 inside the vehicle, they were in -- they were secreted in the armrest of the driver's seat? 08 09 No, sir. А 10 Q No? Okay. I want to make sure. 11 Let me see your pen, sir. I'm going to Α 12 draw you, to the best of my ability, what would be a 13 This will be an aerial picture. diagram. 14 Looking down on the vehicle with no --Q

15 A This is the front of the vehicle.

16 Q I got it.

A Already we're starting off wrong, aren't we? Here's the front seats, here's the back seat, and here -- here would be the trunk. Okay. Now, you know, these are two seats and these are the backrests.

22 Right here in this vehicle, just like a 23 little, small armrest that comes down, that pivots 24 down, up or down.

25 Q In the back seat?

3377

A In the back seat. On the side -- while it's up, you know, it's just flush with the seat. When it's down, you know, if it comes down you'd be able to see it through the side. But there's like a little flap there that covers it.

I had to cut that flap open with a knife To get to those weapons because there was no other way for me to get to them.

09 I tried going underneath. I thought maybe 10 he went up underneath the backing of the seat. 11 There was just -- I couldn't figure out how he got 12 it in there unless he removed this whole thing and 13 then put it back in.

14 So would it be correct to say that those Q 15 guns were not accessible to the driver? 16 No, sir. It's impossible. Α Did anyone -- did any of the other 17 0 officers witness you recover those guns? 18 19 Several. There were -- you know, sort of А 20 anticipation what's in there because, you know, 21 everybody now knowing that there's something in there and we're trying to figure out how to get it 22 23 out. So when I removed the weapons, everybody saw 24 them. Q Okay. What involvement after this -- this 25 3378 01 case was wrapped up -- obviously, the officers that handled the case were Lujan and Veloz? 02 03 Did you have any involvement in the 04 writing of the arrest report? 05 А No, sir. 06 Okay. Do you recall if you read this Q 07 arrest report before it was submitted? I doubt it. I don't think so. 80 А

09 Q Now, Sergeant Ortiz completed a use of 10 force report regarding this incident. And you 11 reviewed this before we started this interview, 12 correct? 13 A Yes, sir. 14 Q Are parts of this use of force report 15 incorrect? A Well, I mean it's all in the wording, you 16 know. We say "firm grip" -- or Sergeant Ortiz says 17 18 "firm grip." I say --19 MR. MC KESSON: "Choke." 20 THE WITNESS: I say, you know, techniques used 21 known to us to dislocate bones, dislocate shoulders, 22 wrists, ankles. 23 24 FURTHER EXAMINATION 25 BY SERGEANT ORPIN: 3379 01 Q But would you have said that if you were 02 writing the report to describe it? 03 What words would you use if you were 04 describing it in your arrest report? 05 MR. MC KESSON: You mean if he's trying to

06 fabricate or if he's trying to be accurate?

07 THE WITNESS: I would fabricate it. Of course. 08 BY SERGEANT ORPIN:

Q And so you would use -- what you're saying you would use the terms you were taught as our -- in that report?

12 A "Firm grip" --

13 Q Okay.

14 A -- you know. That covers just about every
15 spectrum of how firm was the grip.

16

3380

17 FURTHER EXAMINATION

18 BY DETECTIVE BURDITT:

19 Q Okay. We'll cut right to the chase on the 20 main issue here. The arrest report doesn't indicate 21 any use of force relative to the separation of the 22 elbow; is that correct? 23 MR. MC KESSON: You mean the arrest report

24 doesn't?

25 DETECTIVE BURDITT: The arrest report.

01 THE WITNESS: Does it say that the elbow was 02 dislocated? MR. MC KESSON: No, no. He just wants to know did they describe any activity in the arrest report that's consistent with producing a dislocated shoulder.

THE WITNESS: I think if you read one of the paragraphs in the arrest report, I believe it's page number 3, or maybe number -- number 2, you will notice that they talk a little bit about -- well, under the use of force. I'm sorry. Page 3, it says, "The defendant became combative by kicking and punching at officers with his free hand."

14 BY DETECTIVE BURDITT:

15 Q Is that true?

16 A It's impossible. There was four or five 17 of us on him. He doesn't have a free hand. And 18 we're talking about big officers, you know.

19 Q Let me ask you this, Ray --

20 A Let me -- let me -- I haven't answered 21 your question yet.

22 Q Okay.

A What I wanted to say was anytime that we know something happened that we need to cover up, we write a little bit extra in our report because 3381

01 we want to sort of cover that in case it comes up. 02 And, you know, I believe that this is what 03 he was trying to -- here by using that we used a 04 modified swarm technique, then placed him facedown 05 on the ground and attempted to handcuff him -- or 06 handcuff him with his hands behind his back. 07 And then he talks about how, "At that time 08 we ordered defendant to submit to arrest, to no avail. The defendant continued to resist by rolling 09 over from his stomach and his back. 10 11 "After repeated attempts, officers were 12 able to handcuff the defendant and apply the Rip hobble without further incident." 13 14 In my opinion -- I mean I know how I wrote 15 my reports. All that is is trying to explain that 16 during that -- if it comes up, during that procedure 17 of trying to handcuff him, he was trying to move 18 around and being combative, and that's when his 19 elbow was dislocated, if that's what the finding 20 will be of the doctor or whoever looks at him. 21 Was a hobble, in fact, placed on him? 0 22 I believe so, yes, sir. You know, and it А

23 says here under "Injuries" that a Dr. Kelsey treated 24 him and diagnosed him with a dislocated left elbow. 25 Q Does it in that arrest report indicate how 3382

01 that injury occurred?

A I don't think so other than what I read.
Q Now I have a question for you regarding
the use of force report, if I can just see that for
just a second.

I highlighted a statement that -- Sergeant Ortiz completed this arrest report. And he indicated that -- under "Injuries" it says, "Vergara also sustained a dislocated left elbow possibly injured during the ramming of parked vehicles."

12Would that be an incorrect statement?13AAbsolutely. I mean that's --

14 Q And, in fact, from what you've told me, 15 Sergeant Ortiz was present when Lujan popped his 16 elbow.

17 A Dislocated -- yes, sir.

18 Q Let me ask you this: The witness, the 19 passenger, and I'm not sure of the proper 20 pronunciation, Saclolo. Sergeant Ortiz in --

21 MR. ROSENTHAL: Want to spell that?

22 DETECTIVE BURDITT: S-a-c-l-o-l-o.

23 BY DETECTIVE BURDITT:

24 Q Sergeant Ortiz, in his use of force

25 report, indicated that Saclolo was placed in Officer 3383

01 Brehm's vehicle and did not see the use of force.

Do you know where this witness was, where 03 the passenger was, when the driver was extracted?

04 A I do not.

05 Q So it's possible that he may have not been 06 in a position?

07 A He may, he may have not.

Q Okay. Do you know that if after this of incident occurred, after this guy was arrested, did you continue patrol activities or were you back at the station?

12 A I don't have an independent recollection13 on that.

14 Q Do you have any knowledge as to which 15 officers, if any, reviewed this arrest report and 16 would've had knowledge of what was contained within 17 it?

18 A I do not -- I know quite often, I mean, what the procedure was. Sergeant Ortiz would do his 19 20 logs or his reports off of that report. You know, he would attribute some certain statements that the 21 22 witnesses would make off of the arrest reports. 23 Whatever the officers wrote, he would take 24 that, lift it right off the report, and put it in 25 his reports so that they jived. 3384 01 0 After this guy was taken into custody, you 02 indicated there were additional units that showed up, other patrol cars. 03 04 А Before he was taken into custody. 05 Okay. Before and after there were a whole Q 06 lot of --Yes, sir. 07 А 80 -- cars that showed up. Q 09 Was there any discussion between the CRASH 10 officers relative to how this was going to be written, how this arrest report was going to be 11 12 documented? 13 A I think there was. And I did not partake

14 in it, but I think that was done at the station on 15 this particular incident. I think there might have 16 been some talk a little bit there.

You're not asking me was it -- the conversation held in front of the other patrol officers?

20 Q No.

21 A Okay.

Q I'm just asking do you have any knowledge as to if there was any discussion between the officers as to this is the way that this report is going to be written.

3385

I know there was some discussion at the 01 А station, and I don't know if there was some at the 02 03 scene. If there was, I wasn't involved in it. 04 MR. ROSENTHAL: Can we just go off the record 05 for one quick moment? 06 DETECTIVE BURDITT: Sure. 07 (Recess) 08 SERGEANT ORPIN: We're back on tape and 09 1540 hours we're back on tape. 10

11 FURTHER EXAMINATION

12 BY SERGEANT ORPIN:

13	Q Okay. I have a couple questions before we
14	finish up on this. Ray, were there any other
15	supervisors present that day other than Ortiz?
16	A I don't remember any other.
17	Q Okay. Do you think that any of the patrol
18	officers were in a position to have seen the use of
19	force occur?
20	A I would say no.
21	Q Why?
22	A Because I got like I had said, Ortiz
23	had ordered all the other officers that were there
24	to go on the opposite side of the street that we
25	were on. In other words, on the sidewalk that would
3386	
01	be on the passenger side of the vehicle.
02	Q Was that prior to the extraction?
03	A Prior to the extraction. There was a
04	problem with cross-fire. Ortiz got upset. I
05	believe it was Officer Stewart who unholstered his
06	weapon and pointed it towards the car, towards the
07	defendant, and we were on the other side of the

08 defendant.

09	Ortiz was very pissed off because there
10	was in fact, I think he chewed him out, from what
11	I remember, that he went and talked to that officer,
12	Stewart. And he had all of those people that were
13	on that side, patrol officers, get up on the
14	sidewalk while we handled the situation.
15	
16	FURTHER EXAMINATION
17	BY DETECTIVE BURDITT:
18	Q Was Vergara the use of force on
19	Vergara, was that directly outside the car on the
20	ground?
21	A Right outside the car right next to the
22	door.
23	Q So the view of the officers, if they were
24	across the street, the car would've been in the way?
25	A Yes, sir.
3387	
01	Q How long did it take to get him into
02	custody from the time that he was extracted from the
03	vehicle to the point he was handcuffed?
04	A From the time I had to break the window,

05 everything, I'd say two minutes, two and a half 06 minutes.

Q What I'd like to do just in summary is go down the name of each officer that you've identified as being at the scene and just a quick summary on your part as to what knowledge of misconduct these officers would've had regarding this incident. The first being Officer Brehm.

13 A Officer Brehm would have had knowledge 14 that this person was not reaching for a gun. I'm 15 not sure whether he would've had knowledge or 16 would've seen the use of force. I don't remember 17 him at that point.

18 Q How would Brehm have known that this 19 defendant was not reaching for the guns?

20 A Based on the conversations, based on our 21 actions, based on everything that we did.

I mean anybody out there while we were doing -- trying to take him into custody, if anybody would've been told, "He's reaching for a gun," or, "We can see a gun," believe me, everything would've 3388

01 been completely different.

02 We have an armed, barricaded suspect now 03 reaching for a gun. I'm not breaking a window trying to pull him out or anything like that. We're 04 05 going to handle it different. 06 We'll probably have to call SWAT out. I'm 07 not sure what we're going to have to do, but it 08 wouldn't have been handled that way. 09 In other words, while he's being taken 10 into custody and after he was taken into custody, no 11 one at that point had mentioned about any gun. There was no talk of any gun, nothing. 12 13 All we talked about was get him into custody. We got him in custody. After five or 14 15 10 minutes after he's in custody, I started searching the car and finding guns, that's the first 16 17 anybody -- or the first we ever talked about a gun. 18 So for him to say that that's what 19 happened or to verify that that's what happened, 20 that definitely would've been wrong. 21 MR. ROSENTHAL: Let me just ask you. Because 22 this is just based upon all the information that I've heard over the course of the last 25 23 24 interviews.

25 It seems to me that if this guy had --3389 01 and, apparently, he did. He ran into the car of a 02 patrol officer numerous times. If he had reached 03 for a gun, you guys would've shot him. 04 THE WITNESS: You know, I didn't want to say 05 that, but absolutely. I mean that's about as easy 06 as they get. 07 MR. ROSENTHAL: Okay. THE WITNESS: That's about as easy as they get. 08 BY DETECTIVE BURDITT: 09 10 Q Next in line would be Lujan. Oh, Lujan would've had knowledge that 11 А 12 there was no weapons being reached for in the back 13 seat. 14 He would've had knowledge as to the 15 excessive force that was used while taking him into 16 custody, as far as the elbow dislocation. And, of 17 course, he would've known that the report was 18 fabricated. 19 0 And Veloz? 20 A Veloz also -- I believe Omar Veloz was his

21 partner?

22 Q Correct.

23 I believe Veloz, again, would've been --Α 24 he would've had knowledge as to everything that 25 happened, as Officer Lujan would've. 3390 01 And Buchanan? 0 Officer Buchanan also would've had 02 А knowledge as to the excessive use of force as well 03 as reaching into the vehicle. I'm not sure if he 04 05 would've read the report or not. 06 Q And Montoya? 07 Montoya would've known that there was no А reaching for a weapon in the back of the car. 08 He would've also known about the use of force. 09 10 And Hewitt? Ο 11 А Same thing with Hewitt. He would've known about the excessive use of force as well as the fact 12 13 that he was not reaching for any weapons in the back 14 of the car. I'm not sure if he would've read the 15 report or not. 16 Q And Sergeant Ortiz? 17 Sergeant Ortiz would've been -- or Α 18 would've had knowledge to the fabrication of the

19 report. He would've had knowledge of the excessive 20 use of force as well as the fact that he was not 21 reaching for a weapon in the back of the vehicle. 22 Q And based on what you have told us, would 23 it be a correct statement that the use of force 24 report that Sergeant Ortiz completed regarding this 25 incident was -- portions of this were fabricated? 3391

A Well, yes. I mean there's no -- there's no doubt in my mind that Sergeant Ortiz read the report, used some of the statements that were in the report to put it on his -- on his use of force report.

There was something that I read on here about the defendant stating that, "I want to kill officers." I'm sure he took that statement out of the police report.

10 In other words, how would he have known 11 that he made that statement unless he read the 12 report? If you can follow me.

13 Q When you found those guns --

14 A Yes, sir.

15 Q -- was Vergara questioned about the guns?

16 A Vergara, the defendant?

17 Q The defendant.

A I don't know -- if he was, I don't know who did it later. I think he was going to be M.T.'d. I'm sure somebody talked to him. It wasn't me.

Q Do you have any information as to whether those guns were stolen?

A I don't remember that.

25 SERGEANT ORPIN: I don't have anything else. 3392

01 BY DETECTIVE BURDITT:

Q Okay. I don't have any other questions.
Before we wrap it up, is there anything
else you can think of that is -- would be pertinent
to this investigation we may have missed?

06 A Hold on a second.

07 (Attorney-client conference)

08 THE WITNESS: No, that's about it.

09 DETECTIVE BURDITT: Okay. We'll conclude this 10 interview at 1544 hours.

11 MR. ROSENTHAL: Off the record.

12 (Discussion off the record)

13 SERGEANT ORPIN: We're on tape. 14 This is a tape-recorded interview of 15 Internal Affairs investigation 00-1221. Today's 16 date is May the 10th, the year 2000, and the time is 1555 hours. The location of this interview is 17 Internal Affairs Group South Section. 18 19 Present to be interviewed is Rafael 20 Perez. Present as his attorney is Kevin Mc Kesson, 21 from the D.A.'s office Richard Rosenthal, and the 22 court reporter is Lynden Glover. 23 It's being conducted by Sergeant Debbie Orpin, serial 27432, of Internal Affairs Group, and 24 25 Detective Mike Burditt, serial 24454, also of 3393 Internal Affairs Group. And it's being recorded on 01 02 tape 228026, side A. 03 04 FURTHER EXAMINATION 05 BY SERGEANT ORPIN: 06 Okay, Ray. This is just a follow-up Q 07 of an interview that we previously discussed on 80 an arrest that was made July the 10th, 1997, at 742 South Westlake. 09

10 When I first talked to you, I didn't have photos of the location. And now I provided you with 11 some photos. And one of the things that the report 12 13 reflected was that there was an O.P. set up. 14 In your original interview, you said that 15 there was no O.P. because of high gang activity and 16 there was just no good locations for an O.P. in that 17 area. 18 MR. ROSENTHAL: Let me -- I'm sorry. Let me get the case number. Did you --19 20 SERGEANT ORPIN: Oh, I'm sorry. I did not get 21 that on. 2.2 MR. ROSENTHAL: This would relate to Oscar 23 Ochoa, O-c-h-o-a, case number BA153235. Go ahead. BY SERGEANT ORPIN: 24 25 Q Okay. Now, after having a chance to look 3394 at the photographs and the area around, are you 01 02 absolutely sure that there was not an O.P. set up 03 prior to this? 04 There was definitely not an O.P. set up in А 05 this location. We went there with a direct 06 purpose. Also, when I think I was describing the

07 location, I said there was a pizzeria shop across 08 the street.

09 Q Correct.

10 A And if you look at the photos that you 11 provided me, page number D, the center photo, across 12 the street from the location you'll see that there's 13 an advertisement for a pizza shop there.

14 Q Right.

15 A This area right here -- again, I'm 16 referring to photo -- the center photo on page D. 17 This is -- I don't know if you remember this, 18 Sergeant Orpin.

19 MR. MC KESSON: Slow down.

THE WITNESS: But this is a real heavy gang activity area. They hang out in that little driveway back there. This place is flooded with gang members. There's a real problem there.

24 BY SERGEANT ORPIN:

25 Q Okay. When you -- when you did approach 3395

01 the house, like the front yard up through the gate, 02 can you show on one of these photographs from what 03 direction you approached and how you did that? 04 A We approached from the south.

05 This one is south right here. And on what Q 06 side of the street? This would be south of the 07 location, photo E. I think there might be a better 80 one than that. 09 А I'm going to use this one. 10 Q Okay. I'm going to use photo B, the bottom 11 А 12 photo. We approached from the south. I believe 13 it's Wilshire. No. It would be Eighth. The location is 14 0 15 742. And if you approached from the south, meaning you walked northbound --16 17 А Uh-huh. 18 -- you would've approached from Eighth Q 19 Street. 20 MR. MC KESSON: What? Wait a minute. 21 THE WITNESS: It's either -- okay. I'll go 22 with Wilshire or Eighth Street, one of those two. 23 BY SERGEANT ORPIN: 24 Q Okay. Now, I need to clarify that only 25 because that's pretty -- Wilshire is between Sixth 3396

01 and Seventh.

02	A You know, when you get to between Sixth
03	and Seventh it cuts off. I know what you're
04	thinking but and it may very well be Eighth
05	Street. But I thought it was Wilshire, but
06	Q Okay. Here's Eighth.
07	A Uh-huh.
08	Q The photos were taken Westlake and Eighth.
09	A Okay.
10	Q And at 742.
11	A And this down here would've been Eighth?
12	Q This down here would be Eighth, correct.
13	A Okay. Then we would approach from Eighth
14	coming northbound on the east side of the street, on
15	the side of the street that the house is located.
16	Q Okay. I just walked this location just
17	the other day. And if I and so it would be right
18	here if you're looking at it. It would be right
19	from here coming up.
20	A Okay.
21	Q From ground level or from sidewalk level,
22	because of this white fence right here next to your
23	location, you actually probably weren't seen until

24 you got right up to the gate.

25 Would you agree with that? 3397 01 А Right. 02 Okay. All right. So where -- if you can Q look at the photo where -- the front of the house, 03 04 where did you see Mr. Ochoa when you first 05 approached? 06 А He was on the porch area on this house right here. I'm referring to --07

08 Q Okay. This little ledge?

09 A -- referring to photo sheet number B, the 10 bottom photo. There's like a little porch area, 11 ledge area, in front of a window. That's the area 12 that he was standing.

13 Q Okay. If you look at the photo on "B," 14 the front gate, there is no lock there right now. 15 Was there at the time?

16 A Yes.

17 Q Do you recall there being a time --18 A We actually called out to him and asked 19 him if he can open the door for us, and he came 20 down.

21	Q And on this photo, next to the gate
22	there's one wrought iron piece of the fence is
23	missing. Was that missing at the time?
24	A It was not.
25	Q It was not.
3398	
01	A It's sitting on the stairs now.
02	Q Okay. The report indicates that Ochoa
03	came to the gate, which would've been right here on
04	photo B, and then that he went back into the house
05	for three to five minutes and then came back out
06	again before he let you in the gate.
07	Do you recall that happening?
08	A No, ma'am.
09	Q Okay. Is there any reason you can think
10	of that you would allow somebody to go back into the
11	house if you were conducting a narcotics
12	investigation?
13	A I don't know why that was written. I know
14	that once he came down we took him into custody.
15	Q Okay.
16	A We definitely wouldn't have let him back
17	in the house.

18 Q Do you recall if this gate opened from the 19 inside without a key or if it needed a key? And 20 this is the gate from the street.

A Well, you know, I don't know how he opened it. I don't know if he just had to turn it. I'm assuming you need a key because, otherwise, you can just stick your hand in there and open it, so -- but I'm assuming.

3399

01 Q Okay.

02 A But it wasn't the case -- you know, we 03 tried to get it open and we couldn't. So I'm 04 assuming it had to be a key.

Q Okay. Now, these questions I just need to ask real quick are just clarifying from the last interview.

08 Once you were inside the house, which 09 would've been inside the blue door on the photos, 10 was there times when you and Coronado were

11 separated?

12 A There was a time that he went upstairs, 13 came back down, and then I went upstairs and looked 14 and I came back down. So there was a couple times 15 that we were separated, yes.

16 Q And can you approximate how much time do you think you were separated? 17 18 А Oh, we're talking minutes, you know, five 19 minutes maybe. 20 Q Do you remember who went to court and 21 testified on this case? 22 I do not. А Okay. And this is regarding the closet 23 Q 24 which is upstairs where Coronado recovered the 25 evidence that was booked. 3400 01 Did you hear him get permission from Ochoa 02 to search that closet? 03 A I did not. 04 Q You did not hear? 05 I'm not saying it didn't happen, but I А 06 didn't hear it. 07 Q Okay. Did Coronado ever tell you that he 80 got permission to search that closet? 09 А No, he never told me that. 10 Q Okay. Last time we talked about threats 11 made against Ochoa about deportation, arrest of his

wife, and taking the children. And your statement 12 13 was you very well could've said that, that was quite 14 probable that you did. 15 Did you ever hear Coronado make that type 16 of a threat to Ochoa to get him to cooperate? 17 A I don't recall. 18 Q Okay. I mean I'm saying, again, I don't 19 А 20 remember -- I can't say that it didn't happen. 21 There may have been a time that he may have, but I 22 don't -- I just don't remember it.

23 SERGEANT ORPIN: Okay.

Okay. That's the only thing I need toclarify from talking to everybody else.

3401

01 Mike, did you have anything?

02 DETECTIVE BURDITT: Nothing.

03 BY SERGEANT ORPIN:

Q Ray, is there anything else that you want to let me know about this investigation that you might have remembered or that the pictures brought back any memories?

08 A Regarding this investigation?

09 Q Regarding this investigation.

10 A No.

11 SERGEANT ORPIN: Okay. Thank you.

12 Okay. That's going to conclude this13 interview, and it's 1604 hours.

14 (Recess)

MR. ROSENTHAL: We're on the record. Thisrelates to Charles Harris. D.A. case number

17 BA157278. D.R is 97-1227596.

SERGEANT ORPIN: All right. This investigation is for Internal Affairs investigation number 99-4628. Today's date is 5/10, the year 2000. It's 1617 hours.

22 The location of the interview is Internal 23 Affairs Group South Section. Present to be 24 interviewed is Rafael Perez. The court reporter is 25 Lynden Glover. From the D.A.'s office is Richard 3402

01 Rosenthal, and Mr. Perez's attorney, Kevin
02 Mc Kesson.
03 It's being conducted by Sergeant Debbie

04 Orpin, 27432, and Detective Mike Burditt, 24454,

05 both of Internal Affairs, on tape number 228029,

06 side A.

07 BY SERGEANT ORPIN:

08 Okay, Ray. You've been given an Q 09 opportunity to look at your original statement given 10 to RHD and a copy of the arrest report of Charles 11 Harris. 12 Do you recall the incident? Yes, ma'am. 13 А Your original statement does not indicate 14 Q 15 how you became aware that Harris would be selling 16 narcotics at the Mc Donald's. Can you explain that? [***** CI # 40 Information Redacted *******] 17 А 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 3403 01 02

03	[**************************************
04	[**************************************
05	[**************************************
06	[**************************************
07	[**************************************
08	[**************************************
09	[**************************************
10	And we responded to that location and
11	waited for this truck to show up with Mr. Harris.
12	Q Do you recall the name of the person you
13	spoke to that gave you this information?
14	A No. [**** CI # 40 Information Redacted *****]
15	[**************************************
16	[**************************************
17	[**************************************
18	[**************************************
19	[**************************************
20	[**************************************
21	[**************************************
22	[**************************************
23	[**************************************
24	[**************************************
25	Q [***** CI # 40 Information Redacted *******]

3404

[***** CI # 40 Information Redacted *******] 01 А 02 [****** CI # 40 Information Redacted *******] 0 03 04 А Yes. 05 A Mc Donald's? 0 06 А Yes, ma'am. 07 All right. You stated that Cannister Q 80 wrote a false arrest report regarding this arrest. 09 And in there it says that Harris was read his 10 Miranda rights. 11 Did you advise Cannister that you gave the 12 Miranda rights or did Cannister just assume that you 13 had? 14 That section or that part I think was just А 15 written up that way. In other words, I never told him and he never told me or -- it was never done. 16 17 Okay. It was never done? Q 18 А No, it was never done. 19 Okay. And you never gave that information Q 20 to Cannister? 21 А No. 22 Okay. Do you think that Cannister Q

23 knowingly wrote a false arrest report?

A I do not suspect that Cannister is in the 25 habit of writing false police reports. Like I said 3405

01 before, sometimes officers to better their case or 02 their arrest will write certain things.

But, you know, the bulk of the arrest
report is correct. I mean other than some of
these, you know, Miranda rights issues.

Q Well, the events that occurred that day --07 I know you and Cannister were partners that day; is 08 that correct?

09 A Yes, ma'am.

10 Q The events that occurred in this arrest, 11 was Cannister -- was he able to see and hear almost 12 everything that happened that day?

13 A Yes, ma'am.

14 Q Or were there large amounts of time where 15 you guys were separated?

A Well, there was definitely times when we were separated. Not a large amount of times. We were together. We were partners. And like I said, most -- primarily most of the report is correct.

20 Did he assume that I read him his Miranda rights? I don't know. Did I tell him? I don't 21 22 remember telling him that. Is it possible? 23 Anything's possible. I don't remember telling him. 24 Okay. Q 25 But I can tell you that Officer Cannister А 3406 is not in the habit of writing false police reports. 01 02 Q Okay. When you were at the Mc Donald's, and you came upon Mr. Harris, where was he at? 03 04 А He was getting out of his truck, walking 05 towards the Mc Donald's, the entrance of the 06 Mc Donald's. 07 Q And what did you do? We detained him. 08 А 09 Did you tell him anything? Q 10 We told him we were police officers and we А 11 needed to talk to him. 12 Q Okay. What happened then? 13 А We immediately -- or actually Cannister 14 handcuffed him and we began our investigation. 15 How was Mr. Harris toward you when you 0 16 said that you were conducting an investigation?

17 Well, he was -- well, he was fine, pretty А 18 low-key. 19 Did you conduct a pat-down at that point? Q 20 А Of Mr. Harris? 21 Of Mr. Harris. Q 22 А Yes. 23 And did you recover any narcotics off his Q 24 person? You know, I don't recall if we actually 25 А 3407 01 recovered off of his person. I know we recovered, 02 you know, the larger amount. But off of his person, 0.3 I don't remember. Q Okay. Did you ever conduct a strip search 04 05 of Harris at the Mc Donald's? 06 A A strip search? 07 MR. MC KESSON: Where? THE WITNESS: I don't think so. 80 09 BY SERGEANT ORPIN: 10 Q In the bathroom at the Mc Donald's? 11 А A strip search, like a complete strip 12 search? 13 Q Yes.

14 A Boy, I would remember that. I just -- I 15 don't think so.

16 Q Okay. You don't think so?

17 A I do not think so.

18 Q Okay. Okay. When you patted him down 19 then, where were you located?

A In the parking lot of the Mc Donald's.
Q Okay. So you never actually went into the
Mc Donald's?

A At one point we did go back inside the Mc Donald's. We were waiting for a couple of things.

3408

01 We didn't want to tip anybody off that we 02 had taken Mr. Harris into custody. In other words, 03 you know, police are out in the parking lot with 04 him.

Because he decided that he was going to help us out. Or we were hoping that he was going to help us out. Later it turned out that he said he was going to help us out, but he didn't.

09 Q So you went back in the Mc Donald's for 10 what reason?

11 А Because we wanted to talk to him, see if 12 he would help us out, give us information as to who 13 he gets it from. 14 Q So you brought him back in? 15 Inside the Mc Donald's. А 16 Was he handcuffed still? 0 17 А Yes. Okay. And you had a conversation with him 18 Q 19 there? 20 Cannister was mostly talking to him, yes. А 21 Q Okay. Did you search his truck prior to 22 that point? Had you searched his truck? 23 Yes, ma'am. I'm searching his truck. I'm А trying to find anything. I couldn't find anything. 24 25 How did you get into his truck? Q 3409 01 I think I just took his keys. А 02 Did you ask him if you could go look in Q 03 his truck? 04 А I don't think so. 05 Q Okay. So he didn't give you permission; 06 you just took his keys and went to his truck? 07 А Yes, ma'am.

80 0 And your initial search, you did -- you 09 did or you did not recover anything? 10 I didn't recover anything. А 11 Q Do you recall anything specific about any 12 kind of jewelry that Harris was wearing that day? 13 Oh, he had some type of Narcotics А Anonymous type necklace, like N.A., like initials 14 N.A. on a necklace. 15 16 Q Did he tell you that's what it stood for? 17 Yeah. He said it was Narcotics А 18 Anonymous. I don't know if that's true or not. 19 How did that conversation come about? Ο We had found some paper or he was trying 20 А 21 to tell us that he works for N.A. and -- oh, you 22 know what? Or somebody else drove by or something, 23 somebody stopped by and said what was going on, that 24 they knew him, and that he was from Narcotics 25 Anonymous or something like that. 3410 01 And I think he was trying to tell us that, 02 and he said that's what the necklace was for. It had like an "N" and an "A." 03

04 Q Did this other person have a necklace, the

05 same kind?

06 А That, I'm not certain, but I know there was a conversation about the necklace and it being 07 8 0 from Narcotics Anonymous or something like that. 09 0 Did you do any type of investigation with 10 that person that drove by or talked to you or 11 Mr. Harris? 12 A For some reason, I want to say that there 13 was like a 280Z or some small, little compact car that drove by as we were taking him into custody or 14 at some point while we were doing this 15 16 investigation. 17 And they asked us what's going on, that they knew Mr. Harris, and that he was a or --18 19 involved in Narcotics Anonymous or something like 20 that. 21 But nothing -- nothing more than that? 0 22 Not that I can recall. Unless you refresh А 23 my memory with something, that's --24 MR. MC KESSON: Excuse me. Off the record. 25 (Discussion off the record) 3411 01 BY SERGEANT ORPIN:

02 Q Okay. So you searched Harris's truck, and 03 you were by yourself when you searched that truck? 04 Part of the time, yes. А 05 Q Okay. When you first got to the 06 Mc Donald's, was it just you and Cannister? 07 А I know Mc Gee eventually was with us. I don't know how he got there. I think on the report 08 it says that he was with us, but --09 10 Q What about Detective Lusby? See, I think they were together. I don't 11 А 12 think -- I know on the report it said that he was with us, Mc Gee; I just don't remember him being 13 14 with us. 15 I remember, you know, we were setting up on the parking lot waiting for the car to show up 16 17 where we can all move in, but -- that's not fresh in 18 my memory either. 19 Q Were there any other officers working that day that assisted you in this arrest? 20 21 А No. 22 Q No. 23 Α No. 24 And you're sure of that? Q

25 A Yes.

3412

01 Q Okay. Do you know during the time you 02 were at the Mc Donald's, did you ever use any force 03 on Harris? 04 А No. He was very cooperative. 05 Very? Q 06 Yeah. Α 07 Q Okay. Did Cannister ever use any force on 80 Harris? 09 А No. 10 Did Harris ever complain to Lusby or Q 11 Mc Gee -- if they were there. I don't think you are 12 quite sure. 13 Well, Lusby was definitely there, you А 14 know --15 At some point --Q 16 -- at some point. А 17 Q -- at the Mc Donald's? 18 А But I thought you were asking me like when 19 we took him into custody. 20 0 Yeah. Well, I'm going to eventually want 21 to know if they were at the Mc Donald's at all. But

22 if you can remember when, that would be very helpful23 as to when they got there.

24 MR. ROSENTHAL: Remember, question, answer. 25 You guys are talking on top of each other. 3413

01 THE WITNESS: The question was?

02 BY SERGEANT ORPIN:

03 Q Did Harris ever make a complaint at the 04 Mc Donald's to either Lusby or Mc Gee?

A No. Like I said, the whole -- the whole incident was pretty, you know, a cooperative-type thing. In fact, when we went and did a follow-up to his house, a large quantity of money was recovered. And Lusby even gave him six, \$7,000 back.

10 Q Okay. I want to get to that, but if you
11 could hold up.

12 A Okay.

DETECTIVE BURDITT: When you asked him did Harris complain, were you asking did he complain relative to use of force?

SERGEANT ORPIN: About anything at that point.
THE WITNESS: I don't remember hearing him.
Like I said, he was pretty mild-mannered. I don't

19 remember any -- any complaint that he made.

20 BY SERGEANT ORPIN:

21 Q How did you come to find narcotics in that 22 truck if you were not able to find anything when you 23 searched?

A We knew there was narcotics there. He was 25 delivering narcotics. You know, we were told that 3414

01 this guy is definitely -- and I just knew there was 02 narcotics there. So we decided to get a canine to 03 come and sniff it out.

04 Q Okay. And did one come?

05 A Yes, ma'am. Narcotics -- a FES canine.

06 Q Did the dog make a hit?

07 A Big time hit, yes.

08 Q Okay. Now, were you the only officer that 09 searched that truck prior to the dog searching it?

10 A No. I'm pretty -- I'm pretty positive 11 that Cannister also searched it while we were 12 waiting.

13 Q And he didn't find anything?

14 A (No audible response)

15 Q Where did the dog hit?

16 A No, he didn't find anything.

17 Q Where did the dog --

18 He hit in the -- under the driver's seat. А He hit real hard. The handler said, "There's 19 definitely something inside that seat." 20 Q So then what occurred? 21 22 We decided that we were going to either --А 23 we were going to do something. We were going to cut 24 the seat open or we were going to do something up 25 under the seat.

3415

And Harris said something like, "Man, I'll tell you. You got to turn the key and push that button under -- in the seat, and the whole thing slides out."

05 Q And who was present to hear that statement 06 made by Harris?

07 A Both myself and Cannister.

08 Q Okay. Can you describe what it was that 09 this was contained in?

10 A What it was contained in? It's a -- it's 11 a false equalizer. It's under the seat. You turn 12 the key and push a button that's inside the cushion

13 of the thing, and it slides up. And it's just like 14 a false bottom. And, you know, there was a bunch of narcotics there and a gun sitting right there. 15 16 Q And it worked exactly as he said it would? 17 Exactly how it worked. А 18 Q Did he tell you what you were going to find prior to you opening it? 19 20 A Yes, he did. He said there was going to 21 be a gun there. 22 Q And did you ask him that or did he 23 volunteer that? 24 A He volunteered that. Q And everything he said was there was 25 3416 01 there? 02 A Yes. There was a little chrome gun, if I remember correctly, and several baggies of rocked up 03 04 cocaine. 05 Q Okay. Then you recovered that. Then what 06 did you do? 07 A We recovered it, talked to him some more, 08 and I think we decided that we were going to do a 09 follow-up to his residence.

10 Q Prior to you doing that follow-up, do you know -- do you know how long you were at the 11 12 Mc Donald's? 13 А Quite some time. We ended up having to wait for the canine and -- I'm going to be guessing. 14 15 Q Can you estimate? One hour, two, three, 16 four? 17 А Yeah. 18 Q One, two, three, four? Yeah. If I had to take a guess, about two hours. 19 А 20 Q Okay. You said you conducted a follow-up to his house. What was the reason for doing that 21 22 follow-up? 23 А To his house? 24 Uh-huh. Q 25 A To find more fruits of the crime, more 3417 01 narcotics, more money. 02 Q Okay. Did he tell you there were more 03 fruits of the crime at his house? 04 I'm not a hundred percent sure, but I А 05 believe he made mention of some money in a safe. 06 Q Okay. Do you know if you went directly

80 back to Rampart? 09 I don't recall. I know that eventually we А 10 got to his house further down, a small, little 11 house, and there was a bunch of people there. 12 Q Did you impound his truck at the 13 Mc Donald's? A I don't recall whether we impounded his 14 15 car. I'm assuming we did, but I'll be assuming. 16 Q Okay. 17 А If I could look at the report, I could tell you yea or nay, but --18 19 Okay. The report indicates that you did 0 20 not. 21 А Okay. 22 Q Now, why do you think you wouldn't impound 23 his truck? 24 Probably going to release it to somebody А 25 or tell them where to pick it up or something like 3418 01 that. 02 Q Okay. Explain to me -- I would think if I 03 was doing an investigation where I made an arrest

07 from the Mc Donald's to his home or did you first go

04 and got a substantial amount of anything out of a 05 car, I would impound that car or the truck. 06 Is there something that comes to your mind 07 that would tell you different why you wouldn't do 08 that? 09 Α The only reason we wouldn't do it is because if he's going to help us out. 10 11 Q Okay. 12 А If he says -- and he did say he was going 13 to help us out. He knew a guy that worked in a 14 garage who it was basically a fake business. It 15 looked like it was supposed to be a garage. They were fixing cars. All they'd do is sell birds. 16 17 That's how he called it, selling kilos. And that's 18 where he got his stuff from. 19 And he was going to help us out. We met 20 with him a couple of times and --21 A bird is a kilo? Q 22 Α Yes. 23 Okay. So you've made deals in the past Q 24 before where you maybe haven't taken somebody's car 25 in exchange for information? 3419

01 A Right.

02 Okay. Now, you said you don't recall if Q you went back to Rampart first before going to his 03 04 residence. Did you get a consent to search? 05 А His home? 06 0 Yes. I believe so, 07 А Okay. Now, there is a form attached to 08 Q 09 the arrest report that you've looked at, and there 10 is a consent to search there and it is signed. 11 Do you know who -- who got that from him? 12 I got the consent to search from him. А Was it given willingly or was there a 13 Q 14 threat that went along if he didn't something would 15 happen? 16 А We had nothing else on him other than the narcotics that he had and -- or the gun. Detective 17 18 Lusby and Detective Mc Gee were there. 19 This was going to go by the books as far 20 as this follow-up went. We had the consent to 21 search before we got there. 22 As far as anything after the Mc Donald's, 23 going to -- as far as consent to search to go into

24 his house, all that is legitimate.

01

25 Q Did Mc Gee go to the house with you, too? 3420

А I don't remember him there. I remember 02 specifically Lusby. 03 Q Okay. You remember Lusby being there. Do you remember Cannister being at the house? 04 05 А Yes. 06 Q And you were at the house? 07 А Yes. 8 0 Q Do you remember any other officers being 09 at the house? 10 There was more officers there. And I А 11 can't remember who they were. 12 Q Were they uniformed? 13 A No. It would've been our people. 14 Okay. Because that day there weren't any Q 15 other officers working FES. 16 A No one else, just me and Cannister working 17 that day? 18 Q And Lusby and Mc Gee. 19 A Are you sure? 20 Q Uh-huh. Well, the time books show that.

21 So it would be very helpful if you did remember who22 else was there.

23 There was somebody else there. And I А 24 don't remember --25 One other officer, two other officers? Q 3421 01 At least two other officers. When we got А 02 there, there was probably five or six gang 03 member-looking types at the house. 04 I certainly -- I mean I can't be 05 positive. I just don't see that day -- just me and Cannister working that day, just me and him, and 06 07 we're off at 77th Division handling this. Okay. Five more minutes? 08 Q All right. What did you expect to find at 09 10 the house when you got there? 11 Money, guns, more dope. А 12 Now, money, guns, more dope. Is that what Q 13 you expected or -- let me rephrase that. 14 What did Harris tell you you would find at 15 that house? 16 I think he had made mention of money. А

17 Q Okay. Money only?

18 A I remember the talk of money in a safe or 19 something like that.

20 Okay. Did you make any agreement as to Q what you would search inside that house? 21 22 А Actually, I think Lusby made an agreement 23 with him. 24 And what do you think that agreement was? Q That we were not going to ransack the 25 А 3422 house, "Tell us where things were and we'll just go 01 02 get it and we'll be out of there." 03 Okay. So do you recall Harris telling you Q how much money he thought he had in this safe? 04 He told Lusby. I did not know. 05 А Okay. So you had no idea. Do you 06 Q 07 remember where the safe was, if there was one? 80 It's in the bedroom. А 09 Q Okay. 10 А I actually opened it. 11 You did. When you got to the house, you Q 12 said there were four to six gang member-types inside 13 the house?

14 A Yes, ma'am.

15 Q Did they remain in the house?

16 A Yes, ma'am.

17 Q And where at in the house?

18 A On a sofa.

19 Q And who watched over them?

20 A Lusby is sitting on the dining room21 table. He's counting the money. I know

22 Cannister --

23 Q No, prior to you getting the money. You24 walk in the house.

25 A There's at least five of us there. Now, 3423

01 who the other two were, I don't even remember. I
02 know that Lusby is there, I'm there, Cannister is
03 there, and there's several other officers there.
04 And I don't think I would've gotten a
05 Rampart unit to come all the way to 77th. And I'm
06 not sure that we called the 77th unit. I don't
07 remember that.

08 Q Okay. Did Harris give you the combination 09 to the safe?

10 A His wife did.

11 Q And where did you find that safe?

12 A In the bedroom. As you open the door, 13 immediately to your left up against a wall. 14 Q Were you alone when you went in and opened 15 up the safe? 16 A No. I had her come with me to give me the combination to open it, you know, whatever the 17 number was to the right and to the left and back to 18 the right, that type of thing. And then once I got 19 20 it open, I told her to go back to the living room. 21 Q Okay. Did you remove all the money from 22 the safe? 23 А Yes. 24 Was there any narcotics in the safe? Q 25 А No. 3424 01 Q How much money do you think was in the 02 safe? A About \$20,000. 03 04 Q Okay. And what makes you think there was 05 \$20,000? 06 A There was a lot of money. I remember 07 seeing it. 08 Q Did you count it?

09 A Lusby sat there and counted it on the 10 kitchen table.

11 Q Okay. And how much did he count out?
12 A I think he -- what I remember or based on
13 the report?

14 Q What you remember.

15 A I remember it was like \$15,000. I think 16 the report says 18. I thought he had said like 15. 17 And I know he gave him some money back because she 18 was saying that that was her disability money or 19 some baloney story they gave him but he decided to 20 believe her.

21 Q Well, you said in your statement to RHD 22 that you took four or \$500.

23 A Yes, ma'am.

Q Do you know what denominations of that?A Hundred dollar bills.

3425

01 Q Okay.

02 A Four or five of them.

Q Was there a lot of hundred dollars bills
1 in that amount -- in that money or was it smaller?
2 A I didn't understand.

06 0 Not in the amount that you took. In the 07 overall amount of money that was in the safe, was it 08 mostly large bills? 09 А Yes. So --10 0 11 А There was -- I'm sorry. 12 So easily you could peel off four or five Q 13 100's and --14 А Exactly. 15 -- and that was not a problem? Q 16 А And they didn't even know -- they really 17 didn't know the exact amount that they had there. There was one area where there was money and then 18 there was like another -- like a billfold that had 19 20 more money in it and like another envelope that had 21 more money in it. So I just don't think they even 22 knew exactly how much they had in there. 23 Were you able to bring it all out in one 0 24 trip to the table? 25 А I took out four or five of the bills, and 3426 01 then I called Lusby over and got it all out and 02 handed it to him.

MR. ROSENTHAL: Okay. It's 4:40. MR. MC KESSON: Yeah. I'm sorry. The only problem is they're going to kick him out of here. MR. ROSENTHAL: Okay. So it's 4:40. We're going to have to go off the record. SERGEANT ORPIN: We're going to have to conclude the interview and come back for a follow-up 10 at 1640 hours. MR. MC KESSON: Okay. (Interview concluded at 4:40 p.m.)