1	
2	RAFAEL ANTONIO PEREZ,
З	TAKEN AT CITY HALL EAST, LOS ANGELES STREET, LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA.
4	In Re: People vs. Rafael A. Perez, Case No. BA109900
5	Case NO. BAI09900
6	APPEARANCES BY
7	Richard Rosenthal
8	Deputy District Attorney Los Angeles County District Attorney's Office
9	Special Investigations Division 210 West Temple Street Suite 100
10	Los Angeles, California 90012
11	Brian Schirn Deputy District Atterney
12	Deputy District Attorney Los Angeles County District Attorney's Office
13	Special Investigations Division 210 West Temple Street
14	Suite 100 Los Angeles, California 90012
15	Winston Kevin McKesson
16	Attorney at Law 315 S. Beverly Drive, Suite 305
17	Beverly Hills, California 90212-4309
18	John Neustice Senior Investigator #180
19	Los Angeles County District Attorney's Office Bureau of Investigation
20	210 West Temple Street Los Angeles, California 90012
21	Greg Davis Senior Investigator #144
22	Los Angeles County District Attorney's Office Bureau of Investigation
23	210 West Temple Street Los Angeles, California 90012
24	John Skaggs
25	Detective II Los Angeles Police Department
26	Robbery-Homicide Division Task Force Transit Group
27	1 Gateway Plaza
28	Los Angeles, California 90012 Christopher Barling
	Detective II

	Los Angeles Police Department
1	Robbery-Homicide Division Task Force Transit Group
	1 Gateway Plaza
2	Los Angeles, California 90012
3	Diane Cazares
4	Detective Los Angeles Police Department
5	Internal Affairs Group 150 N. Los Angeles Street
	Los Angeles, California 90012
6	Michael Burditt
7	Detective
8	Los Angeles Police Department Internal Affairs Group
0	150 N. Los Angeles Street
9	Los Angeles, California 90012
10	Michael Perez Sergeant
11	Los Angeles Police Department
12	Internal Affairs Group 150 N. Los Angeles Street
	Los Angeles, California 90012
13	Debbie Orpin
14	Sergeant Los Angeles Police Department
15	Internal Affairs Group
16	150 No. Los Angeles Street Los Angeles, California 90012
17	Diane Burns Sergeant
18	Los Angeles Police Department Internal Affairs Group
19	150 No. Los Angeles Street
20	Los Angeles, California 90012
21	REPORTED BY:
22	Sara A. Mahan Stonggraphig Reportor
23	Stenographic Reporter Los Angeles County District Attorney's Office
24	C.S.R. No. 10647
25	
26	
27	
28	LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA, FRIDAY, JUNE 16, 2000; 11:30 A.M.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 1 1 12 MR. ROSENTHAL: All right. We're on the record. Today's 1: date is June 16th, 2000. These are the continuing interviews 14 of Rafael Perez. It is now 11:30 in the morning. 1 Mr. Perez, if you'll please raise your right hand. 1 "Do you swear to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing 1 but the truth, so help you God?" 1 THE WITNESS: I do. 1 MR. ROSENTHAL: Thank you. And you'll remain under oath 20 as you have been with all the other interviews until the end 2 of the day. 2 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. 2 MR. ROSENTHAL: And we should be going until about five 24 o'clock this evening. 25 All right. Gentlemen, proceed. Have you identified 20 yourselves to the court reporter? 2' MR. NEUSTICE: No, not yet. 2 MR. ROSENTHAL: All right. Why don't you go ahead and do

that. 1 MR. NEUSTICE: Okay. I'm Investigator John, J-o-h-n, 2 Neustice. That's N-e-u-s-t-i-c-e. Badge Number 180. 3 MR. DAVIS: Investigator Greg Davis. Badge Number 144. 4 MR. SCHIRN: And I'm Deputy District Attorney Brian 5 Schirn, S-c-h-i-r-n. And I'm just here to observe. 6 MR. ROSENTHAL: Okay. Go ahead. 7 8 RAFAEL ANTONIO PEREZ, 9 duly sworn and called as a witness, testified as follows: 1(11 EXAMINATION BY MR. NEUSTICE: 12 Mr. Perez --0 1: А Yes, sir. 14 Or do you want me to call you Ray? Q 1! A Ray is fine. 1 Q Or Rafael. Ray is fine? 1' Yes, sir. А 18 All right. I'm going to ask you this question again Q 1 about the taxi-cab situation down at Shatto Place. 20 Yes, sir. А 21 Okay. There is some -- some additional questions. 0 22 We're attempting to locate the cab driver. And, so, in 2 reviewing the transcript, I have a couple more questions. 24 Yes, sir. Α 25 All right. Who was with you that -- that night? And Q 20 what officer was riding with you? 2 А When I picked up the cab? 2 Q Yes.

А Raquel Duarte. 1 And you did a pull-over on that traffic stop on that? Q 2 Yes, sir. А 3 What kind of vehicle were you in? Q 4 A -- I believe it was a Ford Taurus. A blue Ford А 5 Taurus. 6 Q And it had the appropriate lights and whatnot? 7 It had a drop light. But everything else was plain А 8 with the vehicle. 9 Okay. So, let's just go ahead. And we're over at 0 1(the station now. Apparently, this -- this individual, the 11 taxi-cab driver, was cuffed --12 Yes, sir. А 1: Q -- and taken to the station? 14 А That's correct. 1! Q And where exactly was he? 1 А If you walk into Rampart Station, the upper parking 1' lot area, the back entrance, you make an immediate left, as 18 though you were going into what used to be the old Detectives 1 room. 20 As you walk into the threshold of the door, the very 21 first interview room to your right as you walk in, that's where 22 we put him. 2 0 Okay. No record was made of that? 2 No, sir. А 25 Okay. And did anybody make inquiry why you were Q 20 putting him in there? 2' А No, sir. We placed him in there and quickly returned 2 back with the taxi-cab to the location.

Q And were you pretty confident that once you put him in there, that nobody is gonna come and unlock the door, and no one was gonna come in and ask any questions? There was no sign-in log, nothing like that?

A No. We just, you know, it was done quite often. We would have bodies we put them in there and we'd go do a followup somewhere. It was a C.R.A.S.H. body. Nobody was gonna mess with him. So, we placed him in there. I remember the latch to the door itself was like a latch that you slide. And it's outside of the door and it slid shut.

Q Did you guys run the plate prior to this?

A I doubt it. I don't think so. I don't remember doing it, anyway.

Q When you went up -- out on a traffic -- did you go out on a traffic call?

A No, sir.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1

1:

14

1

1

1

18

1

20

21

22

2

25

2

Q You just pulled him over?

A Yes, sir.

Q You drove the cab from the location where you pulled him over back to the station?

A Yes, sir.

Q And then, Officer Duarte and you proceeded in the cab, or did she follow you in another car?

A No, in the cab. We both came back to the scene in the car -- in the taxi-cab.

Q Okay. Where is the Taurus now?

A Back at the station.

Q Okay. When -- when the incident -- and when you went back, did you go to the snoopy-up location? You call it a

	snoopy-up location?
1	A Yes, sir.
2	Q Is that an alpha location?
3	A Yes, sir.
4	Q Where do you come up with "snoopy-up" location?
5	A That's one of the terms that's been used in LAPD for
6	a while. Like Camp Snoopy where we did some of the training.
7	Camp Snoopy. Snoopy-up location. That's just a term that we
8	use, at least in C.R.A.S.H. And I've heard it used several
9	other times by other officers. Snoopy-up meaning meet-up
10	location. It's the same thing as a code alpha. Just snoopy-
11	up. Q And when you returned to the alpha location, or
12	the code alpha or the snoopy-up location
13	A Yes, sir.
14	Q whatever you guys call it, did you put that in a
15	Daily Field Activity Log? In any log?
16	A As far as?
17	Q Well, when you went back. When you went back
18	A Oh, I very much doubt it.
19 20	Q Okay. Did you guys have to keep a Daily Field
20 21	Activity Log?
21 22	A Sure.
	Q And the pull-over, was that logged?
23	A I doubt it.
24 25	Q Was it supposed to be logged?
2.5 2.6	A It well, it was an activity that we did. It was
20 27	a pull-over. And we're supposed to log it, but I'm sure we
28	didn't.
~υ	Q Any particular reason why you didn't?

It wasn't something that we probably wanted recorded, А or, you know, that we made a traffic stop on a cab. I mean, 2 it wasn't something that we were trying to keep a record of. 3 You know, we knew what we had done. We definitely didn't want 4 to have that recorded. So, we just, you know, concealed it 5 from the log. 6 Q Okay. And knowing now that that's something you 7 didn't want recorded, was there any point in time where you -8 - it could have inadvertently recorded in any system at all? 9 Like later on down the road when you put him in the interview 1 room, there was no log-in? When you -- you left the location 1 together 1 1 Α Mmnh-mmnh. 1, Q -- you and Duarte left the location --1 Yes. А 1 Q -- you went back to the snoopy-up location. And 1' we're gonna just go by the incident. The incident's already 18 occurred. You're at Shatto Place. Everything has happened. 1 Somebody tells you to move that taxi-cab out of the area. 2 Α Mmnh-mmnh. 2 Who was that? 0 22 А Sgt. Ortiz. 2 0 And what did he tell you? 2 "Get the cab out of there." He told me to do it. А 25

And I delegated it to Raquel Duarte. "Get it out of there. Get back the Taurus and put it in it's place."

2

2

2

Q And, then, at that point in time, did she do it?A I believe so, yes. I mean, eventually, the car was

switched. You know, I delegated her to do it. The cars were switched. She took the -- the cab back. And she brought back the Taurus and put it where the cab would have been.

Q So, the Taurus is now in the scene? In the crime scene area?

A Yes, sir.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1(

1

1

1

14

1

1

1'

18

1

2

2

22

2

24

25

2

2

2

Q For sure that Taurus was returned to that location? It was the Taurus that was returned?

A I believe it was the Taurus, yes.

Q Now, there's a transcript that -- you've been asked these questions before. And I believe it was the D.A. Carter that was asking the questions at the time. And there is a -toward the end, one of your answers that you gave in response to the question about where the car -- who -- who moved the taxi, who was told to do it, one of them reads -- and this is your answer.

"I think it was a matter of who's going to go. Who's going to go with her, that type of thing, because she had to drive the Taurus and then come back and bring the other vehicle back. So, I think -- I know I talked to her, at least once or twice about it. Because I actually told her to do it. Go do it."

A Right.

Q Now, that -- that led me to believe that you have the taxi at the scene and you have the Taurus at the scene. Now, for sure, you two rode together?

A Oh, yes. That -- a hundred percent. I think when I said -- I think I might have misquoted as far as the vehicle -- which vehicle we had to bring back. We had to bring back the Taurus and take the taxi-cab that was there out of there.

But, we definitely drove the taxi there. And had to get the taxi out of the scene and bring the Taurus back in. And what I think I was talking about as far as the matter of who's gonna go with her -- and I'm not even sure that somebody went with her or not. But it was a matter of, I got told you need to get that -- you know, Ortiz told me to get the taxi out of there.

I told her to do it. And I wasn't sure whether she was gonna go with someone or she went by herself. But I know she -- she was the one that was to do it.

After the incident was over, and you guys cleared the Q location, what -- where did you go after that? After everything was taken care of, you guys cleared, you're leaving, did you get in the Taurus? Which -- what vehicle did you go in? Did you leave in? Do you remember?

Α We were there a long time.

She was your partner that night, right? Q

Α Duarte was my partner, yes, sir.

Would you have left together? Q

А Not necessarily.

Q Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1(

1:

12

1

14

1!

1

1

1

1

2

2

2

24

25

2

2

2

22 There's witnesses. There's, you know, all kind of Α pandemonium going around there. People running every which way. Different assignment -- at that point, really you don't have a partner. You have assignments at the scene. And I know I was

MR. MCKESSON: Can I say something here? For the record,

you haven't been here for a lot of the these. What we've normally done, unless it's for a few quick questions, we give him the transcripts to review, so his memory is -- his recollection is refreshed.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1

1

1

1

14

1

1

1'

18

1

20

21

2

2

2

25

2

2

2

Initially, I thought this was going to be a twominute interview. It seems like it's going indefinitely. And I don't feel comfortable with all these questions being posed to him without the opportunity to review the transcripts.

MR. NEUSTICE: Okay. Let me just finish up. I only have a couple more. So, I'll keep them real general. You okay with that?

MR. MCKESSON: I'm getting more uncomfortable with each question.

MR. NEUSTICE: Okay. We'll -- we'll cut it real quick. Are you okay?

MR. MCKESSON: No. I -- I'm okay with anything. I just want to make sure he gets --

MR. ROSENTHAL: Okay. Well, why don't we go ahead and -

MR. MCKESSON: I want to make sure he has an adequate opportunity to, you know, review his prior testimony, because it's getting kind of -- kind of close in there. And I don't want to say nit-picky, but it's getting very close where he's gonna have to -- it may come down to semantics. And he -- it's better if he -- you know, I don't mean -- it doesn't bother me how long it goes today. Or how long -- you know, it may bother Robbery-Homicide guys. But I don't want him to answering things off the top of his head if he's better suited to review the transcripts and refreshed his recollection on that. Because he has been reviewed on the Shatto Place, I think about four times, including a walk-through.

So, he's probably gone through about a hundred and fifty pages.

Q BY MR. NEUSTICE: Well, I've got a few questions that are very general and very short. We need a detailed description of the taxi-cab driver.

A Detailed? Probably not. I know he was a brownskinned male Hispanic. I know he was an older gentleman. Probably about 45 or so.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1(

11

12

1

14

1!

1

1'

1

1

2

21

22

2

24

25

2

2

2

Q BY MR. MCKESSON: Older?

A Older than me. Not old. But older than me. Real mild-mannered type of guy. He never complained about why he's being stopped or why he's being detained. He just, you know, -- he seemed real mild-mannered. That I remember.

Any specific characteristics? I don't remember him having facial hair. I remember him being, you know, like I said, a brown-skinned male Hispanic. Probably Mexican. I know the taxi-cab -- that we specifically picked up this taxi-cab because it had all the tinted windows. And that's one of the things we were looking for -- all tinted windows. It was a yellow cab.

Specifically, he had black hair. He had a -- what I would call, you know, a full head of hair. He wasn't balding or anything like that. Probably -- I'd say probably about 5-7, 5-8. Average weight, probably about 150 pounds.

Q BY MR. NEUSTICE: Okay.

A Specifically, do I remember a tattoo or scar? I don't. Q Did he have any disability? Did he ever walk in a certain manner?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1(

1

1

1:

14

1

1

1

18

1

2

2

22

2

2

25

2

2

2

A I know he was real mild-mannered. Real -- real laid back. Do I remember like a cane or something that he was walking?

Q Cane or one leg shorter than the other? Or maybe walked slumped over?

A It's possible that he was walking gingerly. And maybe that's why I'm remembering the mild-mannered, real laid back, real quiet. It's possible that, you know, without me knowing it, he might have had one leg longer than the other, and that's why he's walking kind of slowly and quietly.

But, I mean, that doesn't jump out at me like something that I remember actually -- that I knew for a fact was the situation.

Q If you had to find that taxi-cab driver today, could you do it?

A Oh, boy. I don't know. You know, unless, I -- I saw him and, you know, let's say they put four pictures -- or six pictures in front of me. I don't know. I would -- it may jump out at me. I may totally be lost.

Q Okay. And what street did you stop on? Can you recall?

A It was somewhere around the MacArthur Park area. Alvarado and 6th area. Somewhere around there. Alvarado and Wilshire area. I know it didn't take us long. I know we headed for the snoopy location out towards the station. And we figured, by the time we from here to the station, we'll -we'll find a good cab that, you know, meets the criteria. Because we even talked about it before we left the snoopy-up that we wanted it all tinted windows.

And right as we're heading that way, there's the taxi-cab perfect for the -- for the thing we were gonna do.

Q And the cab -- the condition of the cab -- what was the condition of the cab, at that time? And I would say -- I'm talking about the mechanical. Mechanically-speaking, do you think that thing would still be on the street today? Is it possible?

A This occurred in 1996. Four years ago. There would be worse cars off than that one still running. Bandit cabs. What we call "abandoned cabs". Back then in 1996, it was probably a 1989, maybe '88 vehicle. It may be twelve years old. But it may still be running. If you're asking me --

Q So, it --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1(

1

1

1

14

1

1

1'

1

1

2

21

22

2

24

25

2

A If you're asking me if it would still be -- be running? Yeah, it's possible. You know, it could still be in the street.

Q Do you feel fairly confident it was a Caprice?

A Well, I can't say, you know, with absolute certainty. But that's what I remember it looking like. I know it was yellow. I know it didn't have any distinguishing marks. It didn't have no dome on it.

I remember it was yellow. It was fairly clean. The tint was that real dark tint. That limo tint which is absolutely dark-tinted.

Q All five windows?

A All the ones -- yeah, all the two sides on each side and the back.

Q Okay. 1 А Other than that, uh --2 At this point in time, I'm deferring to my partner, 0 3 Greg Davis. 4 BY MR. DAVIS: Just one question. Do you know, in 0 5 fact, whether or not Duarte went by herself back? You don't 6 know if somebody went with her, or whether or not she went by 7 herself? 8 I don't know. А 9 Okay. Q 1(MR. ROSENTHAL: All right. It's 11:43, and we'll go off 11 the record. 12 (Off the record at 11:43 a.m.) 1: MR. ROSENTHAL: Okay. We're back on the record. It's 14 12:08 p.m. And Detective Barling and Skaggs are going to 1 continue with the interview. And Mr. Perez, you're still under 1 oath. 1 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. 18 DET. BARLING: I'm Detective Barling, Serial No. 25264, 1 with my partner Detective John Skaggs, Serial No. 25252. We're 2 on audio tape 233713. We're interviewing Rafael Perez with his 21 attorney Kevin McKesson. D.A. Richard Rosenthal is present, 22 and D.A. Brian Schirn. 2 MR. SCHIRN: Brian Schirn. S-c-h-i-r-n. 24 BY DET. BARLING: All right. You had talked 0 25 previously about quote called the loop. What is -- what is the 2 loop? 2 А Well, I'm gonna have to give you the lengthy 2 explanation.

Q Okay.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1

1

1

1

14

1

1

1

1

1

2

2

22

2

24

25

2

2

2

A As I stated before, and in other interviews, the loop is something that categorizes people on specialized units. And on this occasion Rampart C.R.A.S.H. officers that are in the know, that are in the business of putting cases on people. Things like that.

You don't -- there's times that you may be in a different loop. But you don't come into the unit being in the loop. You may be known out in the street as someone who is solid. Who -- and when I say "solid", again, that's one of those terms that we use. They are people that are in the loop. Meaning someone who is willing to plant cases, fabricate -that would fabricate probable cause. But you don't come into the unit already being in the loop. When you come to Rampart C.R.A.S.H. you get voted on.

And, you know, if you're known in the division and people know you and you've made friends with a lot of these guys, they'll vote you in. If you don't -- or if you're not known by some of these guys, but somebody in particular wants you in the unit, they're gonna sponsor you in. Meaning that they're willing to stake their job in Rampart C.R.A.S.H. to say that I am a worthy person to come to this unit.

I'm someone who is willing to, you know, do a good job in this unit and to become -- you know, get into this loop. And if you get sponsored-in, you're going to have to work with the person that you sponsored-in.

The whole loop process really starts sort of like an acclimation period, especially if you get sponsored-in. Because a lot of other guys don't know you. So, when you get there, it's going to be a lot of feeling you out. You know, whether it's destroying some evidence; initially, see how you react to it. Whether it's thumping on somebody and seeing how you react to it; to, you know, until your very first where, you know, they just put a gun on somebody.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1(

1:

11

1

14

1

1

1

1

1

20

21

22

2

24

25

2

2

2

And, you know, they're going to see how you feel about it. And that's the whole acclimation period. Eventually, that's gonna lead to where you write the report, they want you go to court and testify to it. And all of it is just getting you in that loop, knowing that you're gonna be involved in what was being -- happening in this unit and that you can be trusted.

Because once you have, you know, basically, dirt on each other, you're part of this bond. You're not going to turn around and rat each other out and you're not gonna say anything bad about this person. You're gonna protect and defend at any cost. It's the term we use called "taking it to the box". And we mean, literally, whether it's taking it to the box in a jury trial and in court, or taking it to the box in a Board of Rights Hearing.

We use that term frequently in C.R.A.S.H. That means that you are willing to go to court, perjure yourself. So, whatever you have to do to protect that brother officer, that fellow -- that officer that's being accused of something.

You know, even if it means you are looking at jail time or they're talking about firing you, they're talking about arresting you, you will still take it to the box. And still say, "No, I never saw him do anything wrong. I don't know what you're talking about. This is all wrong. This didn't happen." The term that I used "brother officer", this is one of the big things in Rampart C.R.A.S.H. is that we're family. You know, you hear early on in your career that LAPD is a family. It's a big extended family. We're all a big family. You're family. You're our family. We're all a family. Well, once you get, you know, you have this big old capsule, LAPD, and the family.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1(

1

1

1

14

1

1

1

18

1

2

21

22

2

24

25

2

2

2

Once you get into these little specialized units, these are smaller little capsules within the capsule -- within the bubble. And, you know, because your life depends on each other every day, you know, you're out there back-dooring parties, going into these buildings and chasing people, you're life depends on each other. And that bond becomes closer. Now, you really become a family.

And that's what we call each other. You know, we're a family here. There's nothing -- there's nothing that I can tell you about your performance or anything about you that you should be so thin-skinned that's it's gonna hurt your feelings and you're gonna turn around and bad-mouth. I should be able, in front of all us, 'cause we're a family, I should be able to say you, "You screwed up on that search. You didn't cover that door the way you were supposed to. You fucked it up. Don't do it again. You know, think. You got to be thinking." You should be able to go, you know, "You're right. I screwed that up."

That's how close, you know, this close-knit family of Rampart C.R.A.S.H. officers works. There was nothing that we hid from each other. You should be able to talk about everything.

But, getting back to this loop thing, I mean, yes,

it's a process. Once you are a, you know, one of those officers who is looked at as being solid, who is in the loop, you are one of those officers that are out there on a daily basis fighting this -- what I would categorize as a war. You know, we're out there fighting daily battles.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1(

1:

1

1

14

1

1

1'

18

1

20

21

2

2

24

25

20

2

2

And our -- our mentality was, "Well, these guys don't play by the rules, we don't have to play by the rules. They're out here committing murders, and then, they go out and intimidate witnesses, so the witnesses don't show up to court. So, they're getting away with murder every day."

People are getting kicked out. So, it's okay. You know, we had our little mottos. You know, "We intimidate those who intimidate others." And several other mottos. And it was a war and just constant little battles that we were gonna win. We try to target a lot of the high profile, or what I would categorize as active gang members. Guys that are out there who we felt that had prior arrests or they were real busy, real active in the neighborhood.

And our mission was to put as many of them away as we can. Get them off the street. You know, we were this family who we were told that, "You guys are responsible for the gang activity in this division." We have these pep talks with captains and lieutenants. And they come in and tell us how, you know, crime is up with gangs and, you know, things -something needs to be done about it.

And, you know, this was -- you know, the captain's coming to us. And, then, the sergeant's coming to us and saying, you know, we have to go out there and we will bring in people every day. You know, we keep a recap book of everything we do. That's how we rewarded. That's how we're patted on the back. It was just a matter of who's gonna bring the first gun in and who's gonna bring the first body in.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1(

11

1

1

14

1

1

1'

18

1

20

21

22

2

24

25

2

2

2

Every day it was about bringing somebody in. If he was a active gang member and he was out there, he was gonna go. It was just a matter of time. It may not be today. I'll get this one today. But I'll get you tomorrow. I know you're out there dealing and you're out there swallowing rocks when I show up. You know, and you could swallow them. That's okay. Swallow them. You know, one of our mottos was, "Ain't no dope like cop dope." You know, it's okay. Swallow those rocks. I got plenty to put on you. Don't you worry about nothing.

You know, that was the mentality. We were gonna take these guys, no matter what. We were gonna win this battle and we're gonna win the war. And it was -- you know, well, I was there for several years. That's how it was. You know, it was a constant battle. Little battles here and there that we were fighting. And we were gonna win it.

I think the whole thing was that it was, you know, mentally for us, it was us against them. You know, we were a group that -- well, what we were told was we were specially selected, chosen to work this specialized unit for reasons.

And those reasons are because we are willing and able to do certain things that don't happen in patrol. It doesn't occur that way there. This happens here. This is a C.R.A.S.H. thing. And this is why anything that happens here, we don't talk about it with anybody. This is our thing. You discredit it or you dishonor this unit, it's sort of like that military thing. It's, you know, you dishonor this unit and you're out of here.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1(

1

1

1

14

1!

1

1

1

1

2

21

22

2

24

25

2

2

2

You know, we don't want you here. There's no discussion. There's no, -- you know, we hear about you badmouthing this unit, and you're gone. But it was run in a -not just because there were so many military guys in it, but I think that was just the way the whole mentality was a quasimilitary thing. You got to remember just about everybody that was in the unit was, you know, in the Marines or Army, or they were in the military.

So, we had that discipline. We already had the discipline, 'cause we had it before we came in, before we came into the police department. And, so, that discipline was an attractive trait for any -- you know, for the specialized guys that were gonna work in C.R.A.S.H. units.

So, you know, having those type of traits, you know, you're disciplined, you know about the unity. You know about the unit. You know about the esprit de corps, the discipline that takes to run a real tight ship, a tight unit. Most of us had it. We already had it. And it just got stronger because, you know, we had, a power that you can't even -- I can't even put into words. We had -- we had some incredible powers.

I mean, we were able to, you know, be issued a badge, issued a gun, issued a police car, and told to go out there. And you don't have to answer radio calls. You're a specialized unit. You work gangs. Just go out there and do what you want to do. Bring them in. That's it. And if you think about it, that is incredible power.

And to be able to handle something like this and do things like this, it takes a little bit more than your average

guy, 'cause there's a lot involved. There's the constant pressure of something going on. There's a constant pressure of getting caught. You know, it was constant pressure.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1(

1:

1

1

1

1

1

1'

18

1

2

21

22

2

24

25

2

2

2

We're thinking the F.B.I. is following us, you know. Everyday we're having meetings in roll call, "Hey, uh, somebody saw a van with a little red light and they think it's a camera. So, now, we're suspicious of that intersection." You know, we were -- we're constantly -- uhm, I wouldn't say paranoid. But we're in a constant state of keeping aware that something -somebody's out there trying to get us.

But we're fighting this war. And they might not necessarily like the way we're fighting this war. So, you know, all these things. There's so many things that involve this loop that makes you -- or gets you into this loop. You know, that makes you a tight unit because you feel -- you feel like you're -- that this is your unit, this is your little circle.

And you're doing something -- in my eyes, you know, I can -- I can only speak for myself. And I'm sure that's how a lot of the other guys felt. I felt that I was doing the right thing.

Not once when I planted a case on someone did I feel I was doing -- I was committing a crime or I did something bad. Not once did I feel that way. I felt good. I felt that, you know, I'm taking this guy off the street.

And, you know, he may be pissed off, because, you know, he's going to jail today. But how many hundreds of other crimes did he commit that he got away with? How many people has he killed? And you're going to jail for 5 years today. And you should have went away for 25 for that last murder you did.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1(

1:

12

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

20

21

22

2

2

25

2

2'

2

So, I never, not once did I feel -- and I'm trying to be honest here. Not once did I feel bad that I was putting this guy in jail.

I mean, later on, you know, after I was arrested, some things came into perspective. There were certain things that I wanted to get done. But, at the time, when I'm there -- and I'm talking about what I felt while I was there. Not once did I feel, you know, oh, gosh, you know, he didn't do nothing and I arrested him.

That wasn't the mentality of any of us. The mentality was, you know, we got one. You know, we got this one. We broke up the party. There's five guns all stacked up in the corner over there. We're gonna pick out the five that we want that we think, you know, are the hardcore guys that are active, that are out there. You know, we're gonna -- you know, you, you, and you. All you guys are going for guns. That's just the way it was.

And, you know, all these activities, all these different things, going up to the benches and having the little steak-out, steak fries, because we got a, you know, a big 'ole arrest, you know, we broke up the party and arrested a bunch of people, all of that is part of the whole loop thing, you know, getting closer. Every day working together, communicating what we did, getting closer.

It's just -- it's the loop. And it's supposed -- and this loop is supposed to be something that it's not penetrable. You know, in other words, somebody from the outside can't just come in and find out what's going on. There was always this thing in Rampart Patrol, they always -- even when I was on patrol and worked Rampart, there was always this thing where -- about Rampart Patrol and C.R.A.S.H. How Patrol just felt left out of what C.R.A.S.H. was doing.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1(

11

1

11

14

1!

1

1'

18

1

2

2

22

2

24

25

2

2

2

They would never -- C.R.A.S.H. would never communicate with them what they were doing. If there was a search of C.R.A.S.H. one or two or by themselves, they don't need patrol guys. Even if they were shorthanded, no, you guys stay over there. We're gonna do it by ourselves.

And it was always that, you know, why are they so special? You know, what are they different for? You know, what's the purpose?

And, you know, and even in patrol, when I was there, yeah, I felt the same way. You know, why are they, you know, so separated from us? We're all police officers. Until you get there, you know, you start seeing why.

You know, all the things you do you want to corroborate it together. You want to be able to talk about it. You want to formulate a plan. You want to have a plan. You start thinking together. You do searches. You know what you're thinking. You train together. All of this.

All of this stuff that I'm talking about, this is just making that loop stronger. It's making that family thing stronger. Again, the mentality -- the mentality wasn't that we were committing crimes. That was -- the mentality was we were doing a good thing. And we saw the figures. And, you know, we'd have lieutenants and captains come down to our roll call and says, "My goodness. Here it is 1996 and murders are down. You know, we have got 120 less murders this year than we did last year."

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1(

11

1

1

1

1

1

1'

1

1

2

21

22

2

24

25

2

2

2

And to us, you could not tell us that it was just, you know, crime is down all over. To us, that was a direct result of what we did. And, you know, it was our feeling, you know, because you can talk to a gang member, stop him in the street, and ask him, hey, what do you think about Rampart C.R.A.S.H.? Don't fuck with Rampart C.R.A.S.H. I mean, you're not gonna win. You know, you're better off to go hang out in Hollywood. So, damn.

Damn if you're gonna come to our neighborhood and hang out and think that you're not gonna get into some trouble, you know, in 1996 -- '95, '96, '97, you were -- you know, you were fair game. You come to your division, you're gonna get it.

And you're gonna catch a case. And a lot of them knew it. They still risked, you know, coming to the neighborhood, hanging out here and doing this. Trying to, you know, sneak in and do this and do that. If we caught you, you're in trouble. You're in trouble. Especially if you're high profile, all tatted up, had priors, were on probation or parole, you're going to catch a case.

But all of that -- I keep coming back to this. All of that is part of the loop. It's not like you just come to C.R.A.S.H. and okay you're in the loop. It's sort of like I said, an acclimation time. It's a forming period. And it gets stronger and stronger with time that goes by.

You know, I've taken complaints for people. You know, one officer hits the guy with the flashlight and I'm

standing behind the guy. And the officer kind of steps back. And the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1(

1:

12

1:

14

1

1

1

1

1

2

21

22

2

24

25

20

2

2

-- and, you know, the victim turns around and looks around and sees me. I got the complaint. I didn't care. Go ahead and, you know, complain. I'm not gonna say, "No, no, no. Wait. It was him that hit him. It wasn't me."

I'm not gonna to do that. I'm just gonna go, "Well, whatever, you know, complain." I said I didn't do it, he says that he did. And it's just not resolved. We knew that's how it was gonna go. It was gonna be not resolved. And, you know, we knew that we were always gonna be protected.

We were always gonna know what was coming down, as far as complaints, because, you know, we had this loop. And then, people that were formerly in the loop that -- that were working other places, that were in the know, would let us know.

You know, hey, this is coming down. You guys get your story together. You know, all of that was part of that loop, or those people that were in the loop that -- that made that loop a little bit stronger. 'Cause we -- you know, we were strong already. But we had people that it's -- well, we used to say in strategic places.

You know, we had groups working for the captain. You know, we had Byrnes working over here. You know, we -- we had people who would come back to us and tell us, "Hey, this is coming down."

You know, Ortiz working the 181 unit. You know, the complaint unit. We had, you know, -- it was always, you know, we were -- we felt protected. We knew that we can do what we want. And even if a complaint comes down, at the most it's

gonna be, uh, not resolved. You know, they couldn't figure out 1 what happened. 2 So, I mean, you guys may have specific questions 3 about the loop. But I'm just trying to give you a general of 4 how this loop starts and how you get into this loop, how it 5 becomes stronger and stronger with time. 6 Q Okay. More specifically, this term "the loop", where 7 does that come from? Is that -- when you describe, is that 8 your word for this? 9 А No. 1(Q Was this a word that came upon you from someone else 11 previously that got you into this loop? 1 That's just a phrase that's used within Rampart Α 1 C.R.A.S.H. Where it started I don't know. 14 Q Okay. 1 But it's just a term that's used as far as being in А 1 the loop. 1' Now, some of the characteristics you described in the Q 18 loop, you described -- which would be considered, I would call 1 them positive characteristics -- discipline, tightness with 2 other people, willing to consider other people a family. Can 2 someone have all those characteristics and not be part of the 22 loop? 2 А Yes, absolutely. 24 Some of the characteristics you described, like I 0 25 said, are positive characteristics? 2 А Yes. 2' Q So, if you had those characteristics, or another 2 unit, hypothetically, let's call it Unit B. It's tight, close,

dressed sharp, family-oriented, considered themselves all a family, backed each other up, was willing to do all that, does that mean they'd have to have this -- well, you also talked about some criminal allegations of planting evidence and putting people in jail just because, you know, -- just because you know they're a gang member, or they deserve to go to jail, can you have those characteristics and still function and not be part of this criminal loop?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1(

1

1

1

14

1!

1

1'

18

1

2

21

22

2

2

25

2

2

2

A You know, it's just how -- how you use your traits. All the things that you talked about, it's sort of like a nuclear scientist. And he's doing it for whatever reasons he may have. And, then, say he takes -- they take his chemistry and all of his information, and they turn it into nuclear weapons and nuclear war, and nuclear -- you know, he may have not intended it to go that way, but that's the way it went.

Same thing with here. You can have, you know, discipline, a family-oriented unit, the closeness, and that's great. And I'm sure a lot of people have those traits. But do you take it and use it in a different way, whereas this is the family, these officers that you are working with, and you have this mission.

And the mission may be an illegal mission, a criminal mission. And are you willing to go and commit those criminal crimes even though, in your mind, they're not criminal? It's just a mission. And you're willing to use it in those -- in that way.

Q Well, it's like that term "solid", solid for you was solid for somebody who was in the loop, for willing to put dope on someone, or put a case on someone. Where someone else, solid may mean he's a good guy. He's straight and up front.

But those characteristics that you described, they're argumentatively maybe good characteristics for you and the people in Rampart. What you're saying, that helped fester you to stay close and continue the criminal part of the loop?

> А Yeah.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1(

1

1

1

14

1

1

1

18

1

20

21

22

2

24

20

2'

2

Q

Q Of putting the cases on each other, willing to go to the box, the term that you used meaning you're going to go testify on behalf, or stick to the report that you wrote.

So, those characteristics that could be arguably, one way or another, helped kept you in the loop in -- in your perception, and probably some of the other officers in that loop?

А Right. One of the things that we -- we would have these round table meetings, as far as voting on people that were gonna come into the unit. And one of the questions were always, you know, go out and talk to people they worked with. And, then, we'd come back and find out, you know, well, is he solid? That's one of the questions that was always asked.

Is he a solid guy? And, again, it wasn't in that he's squared-away, he's a good guy. Is he solid? Does he seem solid? Does he seem like somebody who's gonna be solid? Someone who's willing to take it to the box. Someone who will perjure themselves if they have to. Someone who would be willing to put a case. That's the solid we were talking about. 25

So, yes, there is two differences. And, yes, when I use it I'm talking about the other side -- the criminal side.

Okay. And you were in this loop, correct?

Absolutely, yes. А

Was Liddy in this loop? Officer Liddy? Q 1 Α Yes. 2 Was Officer Harper in this loop? Q 3 Α Yes. 4 Was Sgt. Ortiz in this loop? Q 5 Α Yes, definitely. 6 Q Okay. Could someone be in the loop who did everything 7 right by the book, so to speak? And I mean, by the book, follow 8 the department manual and arrest people with probable cause? 9 MR. MCKESSON: You mean arrest exclusively? 1(BY DET. BARLING: With probable cause, you know, 0 1: follow the letter of the law, can someone like that be in your 1 loop? 1 Α I don't think so. I think you can be in the loop, 14 be in the unit, but, at some point, you've compromised a lot 1 of things. You've compromised the department manual. You may 1 not necessarily be out there putting cases on people, that may 1 not be your thing. But you're aware of what's going on. You 1 see it every -- you know, you see it around you. You just see 1 -- you know, you see it around you. So, you know what's going 2 on. 21 There were -- there was a couple of people like that, 22 that were there, that were definitely in the loop. They were 2 solid guys that were, you know, good guys in the unit. But 24 they just didn't go with that, you know, wanting to put cases. 25 For them, it was just easier to go and find someone 2

dirty and do it, you know, the best way they knew how, or the way they wanted to do it, which was, you know, get the legal arrest. But impossible to be in the loop and not, at some

2

2

point, compromise most of your morals, most of the department 1 manual, because you've seen a lot of this stuff going on around 2 you. 3 So, somebody could be in the loop if they're Q 4 compromising their principles. They know, for example, that 5 you -- and we'll just use you -- 'cause you were in the loop, 6 that you'd put a case on someone. And someone else who goes 7 by the book, he may be aware -- he's aware that you put a case, 8 and he just doesn't say anything. 9 А Right. 1(Q And that, to you, is a person in the loop? 1 A Absolutely. 12 They're not doing anything criminally, so to speak. Q 1: And they're not actually doing the criminal act. But they're 14 being -- but they're part of the loop because they're not 1 reporting the criminal act? 1 MR. MCKESSON: Well, I don't think he said that. I don't 1' think he said --18 DET. BARLING: And there's -- okay. 1 MR. MCKESSON: -- that that puts you in the loop. I think 20 what he's saying is that people who may not be putting cases 21 could be in the loop. But I don't think he's saying just 22 because you witness something and don't say anything, that 2 necessarily puts you in the loop. 24 DET. BARLING: No, but I'm using that -- that example 25 added on to what he was saying about that person. Because what 2 -- and correct me if I'm wrong -- someone could follow the 2 letter of the law, make all appropriate arrests, not put a case 2 or plant evidence or bring evidence, but you would still

consider them in the loop, if on multiple occasions they're aware of either department misconduct or criminal misconduct, and it tends to

-- they intend to not report it, because they're gonna back their brother officer who is in the loop?

MR. MCKESSON: I don't think he said that.

DET. BARLING: Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1

1

1

1

14

1

1

1

18

1

20

21

22

2

2

25

2

2

2

MR. MCKESSON: 'Cause I think what you're -- and I don't think it's -- I think it's more than semantics. I think what you're saying is we'll consider that person part of the loop. And I think what he's saying is it takes more. I think he --I think what he's saying is they have officers who are in the loop --

DET. BARLING: Okay. What -- then, my question is --

MR. MCKESSON: -- who are outside the loop who are -- I think what he's -- what he's testified before, they have officers who are outside the loop who also fit the characterization you just gave. That doesn't necessarily put you inside the loop, just because you do that.

I think he's saying it's more to get you in the loop. Q BY DET. BARLING: Then, my question is what more does it take from there to get the guy in the loop?

A What Kevin was saying earlier was absolutely correct. Just because you're, you know, solid and do everything through departmental procedure, and you follow everything to the letter, just because of that doesn't necessarily get you into the loop. And I have to use an officer's name. I don't know if that's okay or not. But I'm gonna use Jeff Graham. Jeff Graham was what we would characterize as a solid guy. He didn't put cases on people. But he was -- I mean, you know, I'm very certain that he knew everything that's going around. I'm sure no one would hide anything from him. You know, he knew about people catching cases. He knew what was going on.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1(

11

1

1

14

1

1

1'

1

1

2

21

22

2

24

25

20

2

2

But he just felt -- and him and Sammy Martin were real close. They just -- and I think they had worked together before. They just weren't in the putting cases, you know. They were okay with it. And they probably laugh about it. But they just did their own thing.

You know, Jeff Graham, you know, and Sammy Martin, they had the same gang. They worked the La Mirada gangs. Sammy Martin grew up up there. I mean, he knows everybody up there. So, it was easy for him to, you know, pick up informants and just make real good arrests off the information.

Whereas, I go to 18th Street neighborhood, I didn't -- I wasn't raised there. I don't know nobody. You know, it was difficult to, you know, just go in there and, you know, just start establishing a rapport with people. You go in there and you bogart. You start taking people left and right. You start threatening people with cases, and then, you know, maybe they'll give you information, and maybe they won't.

But Jeff Graham was a guy that didn't see the need to have to put cases on people. He just did it his way, the right way. And he was still in the loop.

Not just because he was by the book. But because not only did he know what was going on -- and he knew very well what was going on -- he didn't verbally or in any other way disagree with it or try to talk us out of it. He was a natural leader. So, he was in the loop because of just -- not just because of these reasons, but other reasons. You know, he was just a good guy. Very intelligent. Knew a lot about police work. And he wanted to be C.R.A.S.H. He was a C.R.A.S.H. guy. He was the type of guy who would get a C.R.A.S.H. tattoo, and did get a C.R.A.S.H. tattoo.

He was a really good guy to have around. A lot of good, you know, good experience, good knowledge. But just wasn't into the thing about putting cases. And there was just a couple of those while I was in C.R.A.S.H., that I knew, like that.

Q So, what I'm trying to understand is, because you said that Graham would go by the book. And I take it that means that he would follow the letter of the law and not plant cases.

A Mmnh-mmnh.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1

1:

1

1

14

1

1

1

18

1

2

2

22

2

2

25

2

2

2

Q But what kind of got in the loop, not only being a good guy and being a buddy, and doing those other things, what got him in the loops, per se, is he's aware of misconduct and doesn't report it and would back the officer. And what else would take him over the top?

MR. MCKESSON: Can we go off the record for a second? DET. BARLING: Sure.

(Off the record at 12:35 p.m.)

(Back on the record at 12:36 p.m.)

DET. BARLING: We're back on the record. It's 12:36.

THE WITNESS: I don't think I answered your very last question.

Q BY DET. BARLING: And let me rephrase it, so I get

to where -- where I'm trying to get. There are certain traits that you talk about that good people could have and people in the loop could have. Just because somebody's aware of this misconduct doesn't make them in the loop. But you have to, at least, have that to be part of the loop.

You have to have the qualities of either planting a case on someone, falsifying -- planting cases from the gamut. From falsifying a report, to putting things on it, or you have to have knowledge that someone's doing it. You have to, at least, have those two criteria to be in the loop. It doesn't mean you do it, but you, at least, have to have those criteria. And there may be some people who under- -- have knowledge of it, but still aren't in the loop; is that a fair statement?

A Absolutely. You know, to have a functioning working car, you can build the car. You can make the frame. But if it doesn't have an engine, it's ain't gonna go anywhere. Q

Right. 'Cause there's some -- there's some of those fringe people that are aware of the misconduct, that are in the loop, because they have all these traits, all this trust type, etc, etc. -- family.

And then, there's other people who may be aware of the conduct, but they don't have those traits. So, you -- so, they're not part of the loop.

A Exactly.

Q Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1

1

1

1

14

1

1

1

18

1

20

21

22

2

2

25

2

2

2

A You can be deficient in one side or deficient on the other side.

Q Okay.

A And it may or may not get you into this loop, yes.

Q What was Sgt. Ortiz' function in this loop? Did he have a function in this loop? What did he do, as a sergeant, that might be different than the guys who are actually doing, or more than what the actual guys were doing, that were in this loop?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1(

11

1

1

14

1!

1

1

1

1

20

21

22

2

24

25

2

2

2

A Ortiz was the heart. And we were the arteries. Ortiz was the heart of the operation. And he made it clear, early on. You know, one of the first speeches he gave to us when we came to the unit, and I remember that he -- he had like something wrong with his finger. And it was always kind of bent. And he'd always raise his hand. He's a -- you know, he was in the Marine Corps. And we thought that maybe he -- he misspoke. But he -- he'd go -- you know, he'd give the speech. And he goes, "Am I right? Or am I right?"

Instead of saying, "Am I right or am I wrong" it was always, "Am I right or am I right?" And, you know, he'd give stern speeches. You know, that we're gonna run a real tight ship. And, you know, we're gonna have a lot of fun. And we're gonna get a lot of accolades and we're gonna get awards and accommodations. And we're gonna have a lot of fun doing it.

You know, we're gonna -- we're gonna play hard, -- I mean, we're gonna work hard, but we're gonna play hard. And, you know, this unit is gonna be known throughout the city. Everybody is gonna know about Rampart C.R.A.S.H.

He -- like I said, he was the heart. And we were the arteries of this heart. He was the one who would pat us on the back. He was the one who would encourage us. He was the one that would sort of antagonize us a little bit. "Well, hey, wait a minute. We only got two arrests last night. What's going on with everybody else? You guys getting tired, or what? What's up? You know, you guys are losing the war. What's going on?" He was the one that would just, you know, let us know that anything that comes up we're gonna be covered. We're gonna be okay. He was -- and I hate to use this word, because it was in the paper, I think, somewhere. He was like the quarterback. And the rest of us were the team. And we did the play-by-play, or the play that we were gonna be run today. And we'd go and do it.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1(

1:

1

1:

14

1!

1

1'

1

1

20

21

22

2

24

25

2

2

2

So, I don't know how better to describe him, or I don't know if you have any other questions. But he was -- he was the -- he was Rampart C.R.A.S.H.

Q Well, specifically, if -- how -- if you were to, for example, write a report, and give a report to Sgt. Ortiz, what would be the protocol? Would he read it? How would that work?

How would -- if you gave him a report, how would he -- how would his role of being in the loop and you brought a report, or you came or have an arrest or something, what was his function or his role, in this loop process, other than what you just described?

A If it was something -- let's say it was something major. Let's say somebody got thumped. Or I keep using these terms. Let's say somebody got beat, or something in a foot pursuit. He would -- he would be at the scene.

He would show up at the scene. And you don't lie to -- you don't lie to these guys. You don't lie to Paul Byrnes. You don't lie to Sgt. Ortiz. You don't lie to those kind of guys, 'cause you're insulting them.

But let's say you just had to beat somebody because

you thought they might have had something, you explain to him what actually happened. Two -- two -- there's two stories told at the scene, what actually happened -- and then, he's gonna tell you, "How you gonna write it?" And then, you explain to him how you're gonna write it. So, you go through the whole thing. Well, here's how I was thinking about writing it. There's times that he'll tell you, well, no, put this. He may give you some clue.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1(

1

12

1:

14

1

1

1

18

1

20

21

22

2

24

25

2

2

2

And Ortiz was very, very articulate. I think he even might have went to college. He was really good at -- not just as a field officer, but as an administrator. A very intelligent man.

He always, you know, a lot of times he would have to -- especially, for the younger officers, he'd have suggestions. He'd even have suggestions for me at times. He was always into -- he always wanted to know everything that was going on. He wanted to know. Because all it took was one time for a report to go through that was not the way it was told to him, and, now, here comes a beef. And here comes these questions that he couldn't answer. And he would not tolerate that.

He will be in the know about everything that's going on in this unit. This is his unit. And, you know, he's running this unit. And -- and, so, everything that occurred, you know, it was very much spelled out, you know, how it actually happened and how it's gonna be written.

Q And when you say that, on how it's gonna happen and actually written, and in describing what you said about Ortiz, what's the difference? If you can, explain the difference between him being a sergeant, a senior officer, helping younger officers articulating something that they may not have understand or what they may not have saw.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1(

11

1

1:

1,

1

1

1

18

1

20

21

22

2

2

25

2

2

2

For example, I don't know, there is a common thing in police work would be a senior officer is with a pro- -- with a probationer. And the senior officer actually observes somebody drop a weapon. The probationer, because he's only been out a week or two, has not been trained to see those observations. That senior officer or sergeant helps that probationer begin to articulate things that they saw because they don't have the life experience.

Explain the difference between Sgt. Ortiz, if there is, of him just helping you as a sergeant to articulate things because he had more experience, or is there more to that?

A And it was just that. It was more of, not necessarily training. It was more articulation. For example, let me see here. I'm chasing -- I'm writing a report that I'm chasing a defendant down an alleyway. The defendant turns to the right and started running in a easterly direction. I catch up to him, push him, and he falls to the ground. I handcuff him. And we bring him back to the station.

And that's exactly how -- or let's say I write it like that in the report. That's how I write it in the report, I'm sorry. But what actually happened was, you know, get him, throw him on the ground, I thump him. You know, I had to beat him up for whatever reason.

On the report, I wrote that I pushed him, he landed on the ground. As he was turning to his right, that means he would fall on his left side of his body.

However, he has a major contusion on the right side

of his face. Or he's got a major abrasion on the right side. He would find those things. He would see those. All the little things that we're not looking for, he would see them.

Not only because he would have time to sit there and really articulate the report, and -- and see it in his head, but because he had the experience. And it wasn't necessarily training. Some of it was training, too. But when it came down to the reports, it was more about articulation and how you have to write these things. It was all about how you write the report. It was all about how, -- you know, do you want a filing? Or are you just writing a chicken-shit report that ain't gonna even get filed?

It was always about writing a good report that covers your ass and you're gonna get a filing.

Q So, he would articulate, he would help you in not normal articulating to help you get to where you may not be able to get in training, he would articulate it if you had beaten a suspect, for whatever reason, he would help you articulate how to write it another way to where it didn't seem like you beat up the suspect, and cover up for whatever injuries the suspect had, to coincide with the proper report?

A That's correct.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1(

1

1

1:

14

1

1

1

18

1

2

21

22

2

24

25

2

2

2

Q And I think you said earlier -- correct me if I'm wrong -- you would give Sgt. Ortiz maybe at -- at a certain level, two -- two versions? The actual beating version, and maybe your version of articulating it to clean it up. And then, he may help more in articulating to clean it up. Is that a fair statement?

A He's gonna get the -- what actually occurred out in

the scene. And, then, he's gonna get the fabricated report that I'm gonna write. And, after -- you know, and even at the scene, he may suggest, well, say this or say that. That will cover, you know, this problem.

And, then, when you write the report, and he reads it, he may say change that or change this.

And that was done quite often. He was -- I hate using certain words. But he would choreograph a lot of the stuff that was going on in the reports. Especially, like I said, a lot of young officers who didn't have the experience writing certain reports, he, you know, -- my reports he would look at. But he knew, I could write a report. Younger officers, he would really scrutinize their reports a lot more to try and cover them.

And he always said that. You know, we -- we'd have roll call -- roll calls and -- and we'd talk about, you know, how important the reports are. Because it ain't just, you know, the sergeant reading the report. This report may go all the way up to, who knows where. The Supreme Court. All kinds of people are gonna read this report. And they're gonna be scrutinized every which way.

Q And would Ortiz back you if that report was questioned? If the veracity or the truthfulness of a fabricated report that you would write, if somebody were to question it later down the line, whether it be departmentally or outside, would he back you and the officers that that's a true report?

A Absolutely.

Q Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1(

1

1

1

14

1!

1

1'

1

1

2

21

22

2

24

25

2

2

2

A If that was -- you know, one of the responsibilities

as a supervisor, that was it. That he's gonna back you and he's got your back.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1(

1

1

1

14

1

1

1'

18

1

2

21

22

2

24

25

2

2

2

Q And if an officer in the loop wrote a fabricated report, would other officers in the loop do the same thing?

A That's what this whole loop thing is about.

Q And would Sgt. Ortiz, in these reports in -- in the Rampart C.R.A.S.H., specifically, if he didn't sign the report, how did it get signed?

A Well, at times, we would just either get a hold of him via the radio, via the telephone, explain to him what he had, what was going on. We may have talked to him out at the scene. And he'd give us the okay to book. And, you know, you can't fill out the booking recommendation or the face sheet until you print them out. But he knew all about the case already because he was either at the scene or you talked to him already about it.

And a lot of times, we'd get the bodies, you know, we'd write the reports at the station. But we still had to take it to the Jail Division. And while we're at Jail Division, we have to book evidence. So, we would sign the name for him, so we can make copies of the face-sheet to attach to the evidence that we're booking.

But, you know, he always knew. I mean, he always knew about every arrest that we had. He had to do a sergeant's log every day. You know, he would have to do a sergeant's log. And, you know, he had to know about each arrest, who was arrested, what the quantity was, because all of this stuff goes into a sergeant's lot. So, Sgt. Ortiz was very much, you know, running the unit. He had the unit running real smoothly. And he was very much in charge.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1

1

1

1

14

1!

1

1

1

1

2

2

22

2

2

25

2

2

2

Q Okay. Did he read the reports himself, though?

A Oh, yeah. You have to read the reports, because in the sergeant's log -- well, I mean, first of all, on his desk there's a little box -- an in-box. It was all current arrests, you know, things for that day.

And, at the end of the day, he'd take them all and go through them. But, before then, you discussed what happened. And all of the stuff has already been discussed. But when he goes to sit down and turns the computer on to do his sergeant's log, he takes each report and goes through them, you know, at 10:20 -- 10:20 p.m. Perez, Durden, observed a male in the alley. Foot pursuit ensued. Recovered a -- recovered one Beretta. Uh, arrested one for, you know, whatever the charge was.

So, he'd go through every report, so he could do his sergeant's log. So, he read, you know, all the reports.

Q And, in the hypothetical we talked earlier about, Sgt. Ortiz, about beating somebody up, we used that kind of as a hypothetical or an example that he would back you on, would it be the same with the narcotic plant or gun plant or a fabricated report like that, the same things would happen?

A Yeah. A lot of times it was attitude checks. It was, you know, this guy's going. He's real active in the neighborhood. He's going.

Q Right. But, I mean, as far as Sgt. Ortiz, would he know that you, -- for example, if you found dope in a bush, and didn't see the guy put dope in the bush, and you found a gun in the bush, but you didn't see the guy put the gun in the bush, and you never saw it, would you tell him that you didn't see it, and the way you're gonna write it, and would he be aware of that also?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1(

1

1

1

14

1

1

1'

18

1

2

21

22

2

24

25

2

2

2

A I would have to say that on some occasions, I may not have, necessarily, brought it to his attention that this was in the bush, or -- or that -- but he, you know, -- when I talked to him, if you're getting the true story, if I'm telling you the true story, I'm gonna to tell you how it actually occurred.

If I'm telling you what actually occurred, you know, we're up here doing a O.P. And the guy is standing here, and we found a gun, he's gonna know about that. Because if it -if he actually had it on him, I'm gonna tell him, "Look, he had it on him. You know, I -- I was getting close to shooting this guy."

I mean, he's gonna know that. If it wasn't on him, he's gonna know, because, you know -- you know, the gun was over here.

But we know it's his. You know, and that's fine. All that's perfectly fine. We know how they're, you know, they're doing this. They're getting the transients to hold the guns for them. And, you know, we catch a transient with a gun, we know it actually really belongs to this gang member, because our informants have told us, you know, they're getting -- the gang members are getting the transients to hold the guns for them.

Because the cops are coming in and swooping up on people. And that, you know -- so, that's what they're doing. So, to us, it's just another part of the game. They're playing unfair, and we'll equal it out.

Q And, likewise, if they were five gang bangers

standing there, like you said earlier, and one has to go because 1 there's a gun over here in the bush, someone has to go, and the 2 officer makes a decision who to pick --3 А You're gonna pick --4 -- would the sergeant be aware of that also? Q 5 А Absolutely. 6 Q Sqt. Ortiz? 7 А Sure. 8 BY DET. SKAGGS: Did Sgt. Ortiz ever participate in Q 9 picking somebody, like who was the baddest guy? 1(He was -- it was -- I'm not going to say never. But А 11 it was always up to whoever was in charge of that gang, 'cause 1 they knew the gang members before. They would select who they 1 wanted. Just like I say. 14 BY DET. BARLING: So, if Officer A is in charge of Q 1! 18th Street, he decides who goes to jail? 1 А He's gonna figure out -- he's gonna, you know, either 1 figure out who's on probation, on parole, who's the most active. 18 He's gonna figure out which one he wants to go to jail. He's 1 gonna figure that out. That's his responsibility. 20 Someone's gonna go. It's just a matter of who he 21 wants to go. The same thing with like parties. Let's say 22 there's twenty officers there, but it's primarily a Diamond 2 Street party. We're gonna get the guys that are in charge of 2 Diamond Street. "Hey, listen, this is primarily Diamond Street 25 here. Which ones do you want to go? We've got three guns over 2 here. Two guns over there. There's five guns total. You 2 know, you tell me which five you want to go. And we'll all 2 have a story for each one."

Q Did he ever suggest to you, or at a roll call, or anyone --

MR. MCKESSON: He being Ortiz?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1(

1

1

1

14

1

1

1'

18

1

20

21

22

2

24

25

2

2

2

Q BY DET. BARLING: He being Ortiz. Had you ever heard him suggest that he had a problem with a certain gang banger because of attitude or that they were the baddest, or the head of Temple Street or whatever gang? And did he ever suggest, you know what, if we stop these -- we stop that turkey today, he needs to go to jail?

A There was one thing that -- when you -- as soon as you said that, that came into my mind. Sgt. Ortiz -- Sgt. Ortiz had us do a top five -- top five gangsters, active gangsters in your gang, and write a little story, a little history about the gang and a little history about each gang member and their involvement. What kind of crimes they're involved in.

And one of the things -- you know, everybody had to do that for their own gang. And one of the things that was discussed in roll call was there's no reason why these guys who are the most active in this gang should be out in the street.

You know, our goal should be to -- if we have the O.P., if we have to sit under their porch every day, you know, these guys should be getting out of the street. There's no way these guys should be -- are the top five in the gang and they're still walking around and hanging out in the neighborhood.

So, to us, we knew that, you know, we had to do anything we had to get these, you know, most active guys off the street. That was part of the whole -- whole process. You know, go out there and catch -- you know, get them, bring them in.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1

1

1

1

14

1

1

1

18

1

2

21

22

21

24

25

2

2

2

Q So, Sgt. Ortiz, who would normally -- if it was a normal unit, would say, "Look, you got your top five Temple Streeters. A, B, C, D, E, F here -- or six. You got you're top five guys there. And they need to go to jail, because they're the -- they're the top guys. And we need to control this problem", which is probably an innocent thing to say.

What made it to the loop then, is you knew Sgt. Ortiz was in the loop, so, therefore, it means whatever means necessary, quoting a different term. But they had to go to jail. And you had a plan or do whatever they had to go. That's how you guys took that?

A By any means necessary. There is no reason why these guys shouldn't be in jail. You know, we know they're out there. They're, obviously, active. They're, obviously, dirty. Get them in here. What is the hold up? You know, why aren't we putting these people in jail?

Q BY MR. SCHIRN: Can I say a couple of questions real quick? Just so things are clear that I think are kind of vague. This is Brian Schirn, for the record, asking a couple of questions. When we talked about the loop, I want to ask a couple of specific questions about Liddy, and, then, Harper.

Liddy was someone who was willing to write a false arrest report, is that correct?

A Liddy? I never personally --

MR. MCKESSON: Answer the question yes or no.

THE WITNESS: Well, it's not that simple. It's not just yes or no. I mean, I don't know if that's what you want, a yes or no answer. But --

_	Q	BY MR. SCHIRN: I would like that if you could answer
1	that way.	
2 3	А	Yes or no?
	Q	Yeah.
4 5	A	Was Liddy someone that would fabricate a report?
6	Q	Yes.
7	A	Yes.
8	Q	And is Harper someone who would fabricate a report?
9	А	Yes.
10	Q	And is Liddy someone who would go to the box and
11	testify f	alsely?
$^{-1}$ 12	А	Yes.
13	Q	And is Harper someone that would go to the box and
14	testify f	alsely?
15	А	Yes.
16	Q	Now, you talked about Sgt. Ortiz. I'm going to ask
- P 17	you about	two specific questions. Did you personally ever tell
18	Sgt. Orti	z, you know, "This is what really happened, but this
19	is what I	'm going to write?" Did that happen personally?
20	A	Many times.
21	Q	Many times. All right.
22	Q	BY MR. MCKESSON: What you're saying, you're using
23	in essenc	e?
24	A	Yeah, in essence.
25	Q	BY MR. SCHIRN: And did you witness other people tell
26	Sgt. Orti	z, in your presence, "This is what happened, I beat
27	some guy	, but this is how I'm going to write it?"
28	A	This occurred, you know,
	Q	I want to know what you witnessed personally.

Right. And that's what I'm going to do -- say. А Each time, if it was -- if it wasn't just a narcotics arrest, and, 2 you know, you just grabbed somebody off the corner and brought 3 them into the station, if it was something that was a scene, 4 or, you know, you went Code 6 or something, Ortiz was gonna 5 show up. 'Cause he's one of those guys that he didn't stay in 6 the office. He was out in the field. There is something we 7 called other interviews. We would huddle up. 8 DET. BARLING: It's 12:35. My tape ended and I flipped 9 And, Mr. Perez, was in the middle of answering the it over. 1 D.A.'s question. And we're back on the record. 1: BY MR. SCHIRN: I'll just repeat the question since Q 12 we changed sides on the tape. Did you witness other officers 1 tell Sgt. Ortiz -- not yourself, other officers tell Ortiz 14 -- look, this is what happened. I beat so and so. But I'm 1! gonna write it like this? 1 А Yes, sir. 1' And you witnessed it on several occasions? Q 1 А Many occasions, yes. 1 And was this several different officers? А 2 Yes, sir. А 2 And did you witness Sgt. Ortiz affirmatively help Q 22 those officers write a different report after hearing what the 2 truth was? 2 Yes, sir. Α 25 Okay. I have no other questions. Thank you. Q 2 BY DET. SKAGGS: Just a couple of questions. If you 0 2 sponsored someone to come into Rampart C.R.A.S.H. did you 2 expect him to eventually get into the loop?

We hoped. Not necessarily, you know. If I sponsored А 1 you, I fully believed that you were gonna be, you know, 2 acclimated and would get into the loop. 3 Q Okay. 4 It didn't always happen that way. But, yeah. А 5 And, then, while Ortiz was there, who had the final Q 6 say so on who came into the C.R.A.S.H. unit? 7 Sgt. Ortiz had final say so on everything. Α 8 BY DET. BARLING: The captain of the division didn't 0 9 have a final -- if Sqt. Ortiz wanted Officer A, didn't he have 1(to go through the captain to approve Officer A? 11 No, whatever -- we had incredible amount of support Α 1 from lieutenants and captains. If Sgt. Ortiz said it, or Sgt. 1 Byrnes said it, or Sgt. Hoopes said it, it was gonna happen. 14 Well, your perception is while you worked in the Q 1 unit, if those sergeants said this guy's coming in, they came 1 in? 1 That's right. But that the final approval always А 18 goes to the captain. 1 MR. SCHIRN: For the record, Mr. Perez was nodding his 20 head to the negative during both of those questions. To the 21 last two questions, during the entire questions, he was nodding 22 his head no, side-to-side when asked --2 DET. BARLING: If a captain would approve --24 MR. SCHIRN: -- if the captain's approval was necessary 25 to bring a officer into the unit. You were nodding your head. 2 THE WITNESS: Right. Like I said, I was not -- I'm sure 2 that the final approval always goes to the captain. But, as 2 far as the loop, people, you know, we're talking about the

loop, Sgt. Ortiz had the final say so in that loop as to what 1 happened, who came and who went. 2 BY DET. BARLING: Okay. Now, I'm going to go to a 0 3 different line of questioning. Have you ever been -- real 4 quick and we'll take a break for that. And it should be five 5 questions, about. 6 Have you been convicted of felonies? 7 Yes. About eight felonies. А 8 Okay. And you have a leniency agreement in this Q 9 matter to reduce the sentence? 1(I have a limited use immunity, yes. Α 11 And part of that is to reduce a possible ten years Q 12 to a five-year sentence? 11 Actually, I believe --Α 14 Just in general? Q 1 I believe I was -- my exposure was about twelve years. А 1 That was the maximum that I could receive. I signed a plea 1' agreement to receive five years. 18 Q Okay. 1 MR. ROSENTHAL: Just -- actually, I think this is 20 important. The prosecution's position, in court, on the 21 record, was that it was a twelve-year exposure. The judge's 22 position, for the record, in court, was that he had a ten-year 2 exposure. 24 MR. MCKESSON: That's the defense's position also. 25 BY DET. BARLING: And part of the agreement is Q 20 required to tell the truth or the agreement is off, correct? 2 А Absolutely, yes, sir. 2 DET. BARLING: Is part of that he was required to tell the

truth or the agreement was off?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1(

11

1

1

14

1!

1

1'

18

1

20

21

22

2

24

25

2

2

2

MR. MCKESSON: Well, --

MR. ROSENTHAL: Well, wait. I made a mistake when I interrupted. This has to be from Perez' point of view.

DET. BARLING: Right.

MR. ROSENTHAL: So, in fact, let me take a step back.

Q Mr. Perez, was it your understanding that the prosecution's position was that it was a ten-year mandatory, or a ten-year maximum sentence, and the court's position was that it was a -- let me say that again.

Your understanding is that the prosecution's position was that you were exposed to a twelve-year maximum sentence, and the court's position was that you were actually exposed to a ten-year maximum sentence?

A As indicated by Judge Perry, and I remember hearing him say that, I do remember that.

Q And you heard that at the time of your guilty plea?A Yes, sir.

Q Now, I'm sorry. So, okay. The next question. MR. MCKESSON: Could we go off the record for a second? DET. BARLING: Sure. Let's go off the record now.

(Off the record at 1:00 p.m.)

(Back on the record at 1:04 p.m.)

MR. ROSENTHAL: We're back on the record. It's 1:04.

Q BY DET. BARLING: 1:04. You received a leniency agreement, at one point. After you'd been sentenced, it -your sentencing can't be changed, not unless you perjure yourself; is that your understanding? Or --

A You want me to explain the terms?

Q Okay. Why don't you explain it to me?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1(

1

1

1:

14

1

1

1

1

1

20

21

22

2

2

25

2

2

2

A The terms and conditions of my, what's called limited use immunity agreement is that I am to talk about all and any administrative and criminal activities that I am aware of, or was involved in. I received a five-year sentence for my agreement or my immunity, instead of the -- what the defense -- or prosecution thought was twelve years. And the judge believed it was ten years. And we believe it was ten years' maximum.

The way the immunity was set up is that I'm to receive this five years. Now, I am to talk about all and any misconduct, criminal misconduct and administrative misconduct.

If it was learned that, while I was making all of these statements and bringing all of these cases forward, it was learned that I falsified the information or I lied about the information, or I was not truthful about the information, it is my understanding that I could have been charged, or I can be charged with perjury for every time that I lied about a particular case.

And those charges can be upheld, as far as being consecutive, not concurrent. So, it's like approximately six months for each time I lied.

Q I think that's clear.

A Do you need anything more than that?

Q I just think that's clear to me. And part of it is, you also have immunity. You had immunity for everything, except acts involving great bodily harm or injury? Or how does that work in the scheme of things?

A The way the immunity was designed was that it

absolutely -- it helps me if I'm absolutely honest and I talk about everything that I know. And it hurts me if I sit up here and I lie. The agreement covered me for everything except, I believe, murder.

Q Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1(

1

1

1

14

1

1

1'

18

1

20

21

22

2

2

25

2

2'

2

A The way it was designed, it was that if I talked truthfully, it wasn't a design where the more you talk the less time you get -- you get taken off. It was whatever I talk about, I had to talk about it truthfully. I'm not supposed to -- I'm not to make up stories or make up cases that occurred when it actually didn't.

Q Okay. And, now, away from that to two other areas. You've admitted that you've lied under oath on prior occasions, prior to this; right?

A Yes, sir.

Q Okay. And you also admit you falsely arrested certain individuals, also prior to your arrest?

A Yes, sir.

Q And I think that concludes this. And it's about --Q BY DET. SKAGGS: I just want to throw in one question, because we'll probably get asked. Have you reported to Internal Affairs or the Robbery-Homicide Task Force anything that's false?

A No, sir.

Q BY DET. BARLING: I think that ends the tape. It's about ten after 3:00.

(Off the record at 1:10 p.m.)

(Back on the record at 1:58 p.m.)

MR. ROSENTHAL: We're back on the record. It's 1:58. Go

ahead. And, Mr. Perez, you're still under oath. 1 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. 2 DET. BARLING: It's 1:58. We're on Tape No. 233714. I'm 3 Detective Barling, 25264, with my partner, Detective John 4 Skaggs, 25252. 5 And we're here with D.A. Richard Rosenthal, Rafael 6 Perez, and his attorney Kevin McKesson. 7 I'm going to show you a photograph that I'm going to 8 mark with my name, Barling; my serial number 25264; today's 9 date 6/16/00. And I'm going to put 1400 hours for two o'clock. 1(Do you recognize that woman? Q 1 А Yes. 12 Okay. And -- and let's just -- we're going to go off Q 1: the record for a second. 14 BY DET. BARLING: We're back on tape. Do you Q 1! recognize that photo? 1 А Yes. 1' Q Okay. 18 I don't recognize the photo. I recognize the person А 1 in the photo, yes. 20 You recognize the person in the photo? Q 21 А Yes. 22 When did you first meet that person, if you recall? Q 2 It was the night of a murder that occurred at a А 24 McDonalds on Temple and Alvarado. The exact date I couldn't 25 tell you. But that's where I met her. 2 If I said around February of 1996, does that sound Q 2 about right? 2 Yes, sir. А

Q Okay. And what was your relationship with her beyond her being a witness?

A We had a sexual -- what I would call a sexual relationship, at one point.

Q Okay. And at what time did that begin, in relationship to this first meeting at McDonalds?

A It -- the date that it occurred? It only occurred once. We slept -- I believe we slept together once. The date that it occurred, I couldn't tell you. She was at a hotel. But I couldn't tell you the date.

Q How did that relationship facilitate beyond her -she was a witness. Obviously, you came in contact with her. When did you come in contact with her again and begin to formulate this personal relationship, as opposed to a professional one?

A I'd say later on in the investigation, she began flirting with me a little bit. She knows I was Puerto Rican. She told me she was half Puerto Rican, or something like that.

And it was a lot of -- you know, she would flirt a lot with me. And there was nothing that ever occurred, until on one occasion when we took her -- or she was at a hotel or something. And she was going to stay there for a few days or something. And she had my pager number. She called me and asked me to come by. And I did.

Q Okay. And was that at a point after she had been relocated because of intimidation against her?

A Yes, sir.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1(

11

1

1:

14

1

1

1'

18

1

2

21

22

2

24

25

2

2

2

Q Okay. Do you recall what hotel that was?

A I believe it's the -- I think it's the Holiday Inn.

I think the city is Burbank off the 101 freeway. Maybe off of 1 Olive or something like that. 2 Okay. Q 3 I think it's a Holiday Inn. А 4 And how long did your personal relationship maintain Q 5 with her? How long did you become -- stay personal? I mean, 6 you clearly said it was a one-time encounter sexually. But how 7 long did, you know, that dating relationship occur? 8 It never did. Α 9 It never did? 0 1(А Never. We never went anywhere in public. Never went 1: to a movie. Never went to a -- we never went anywhere together. 1 This was just a -- one of those things. She was flirting a lot 1 and I just went along with it on this particular day. 14 And, you know -- but you're asking me how long did 15 it last? It started and it ended right there. 1 Q Okay. 1' We never --Α 1 Q Okay. So, it was a one-time encounter? 1 Well, I mean --А 2 As far as the sexual relationship? Q 21 А Yes. 22 BY MR. ROSENTHAL: Let me, if I may, just because I Q 2 want to make sure I understand this. Did you first meet her 24 after she was identified as a witness in this homicide? 25 She was at the scene of a homicide. And when we Α 2 responded, she was there. She was a witness. We transported 2 her. She identified some people that were at the scene. She 2 identified the shooters.

And, you know, she -- that's how I met her, yeah. 1 BY DET. BARLING: On that day, do you remember who 0 2 your partner was? 3 I want to say Sammy Martin. Α 4 In '96? 0 5 А I believe it was. 6 Q If this occurred in February 1996, were you and 7 Detective Martin partners then? 8 I want to say that it was Sammy Martin. But I'm not Α 9 a hundred percent sure. I believe it was Sammy Martin and I 1(that responded to the McDonalds. It was a Temple Street murder. 1 They had killed a -- a Diamond Street gang member who was a 1 soldier. 1 Q And we're -- yeah, we're aware of that. And we don't 14 have to discuss the details of the incident, I don't think. 1 I believe Sammy Martin. А 1 We don't need to discuss the incident itself as 0 1' opposed to your dealings with her at the incident. 18 I believe it was -- I believe it was Sammy Martin А 1 that was with me. 20 MR. ROSENTHAL: I do want to discuss with the detectives 21 real briefly, off the record, the security concerns. 22 (Off the record at 2:04 p.m.) 2 (Back on the record at 2:05 p.m.) 24 MR. ROSENTHAL: Okay. We're back on the record. It's 25 2:05. Let me just point out that the homicide that we're 2 referring to relates to Defendant Anthony Adams, Case Number 2 BA151844, D.R. Number is 96-02-07976. 2 And I do want to ask just, Mr. Perez, if you could Q

let us know from the time you met this witness if you could explain your relationship, up to the point in which you had the sexual relationship with her?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1(

11

1

1

1

1

1

1

18

1

2

21

22

2

2

25

2

2'

2

A Like I said, I met her at the McDonalds sometime around February of '96. She was a witness to a crime. She was transported by me, and I believe it was Sammy Martin who was my partner, to Rampart Detectives as a witness. I was going to be involved in the investigation of the crime because I was the Temple Street expert.

So, I was going to be working this gang, or this particular homicide.

Q BY DET. BARLING: What do you mean working? Excuse me. Were you working or assisting the homicide detectives because of your Temple Street --

A Right, assisting the homicide detectives, as far as the identification of certain suspects. She helped identify several suspects. And that's how I met her. I talked to her a few times. I think the detectives might have needed her to be picked up to go to court a couple of times. Things like that. I assisted with that.

It wasn't until that she was threatened or something in some other city outside L.A. that the detectives decided that they wanted to move her. And they contacted me and were wondering if I would be nice enough to pick her up and take her to this hotel and they could put her up. I did that.

Q Is that the same hotel where this affair took place? A I believe it's the Holiday Inn, yes, in Burbank. Now, that -- when she's being moved, that's several months later.

Was that at a point that preliminary hearing had been Q 1 completed? Or was it a point at the trial time? Or do you 2 recall? 3 I think that the trial was coming up. I think she А 4 had already testified or something. 5 Q Okay. 6 Α That's why they wanted to intimidate her or 7 something. And I'm not a hundred percent sure why they came 8 to intimidate her, or at what point. But I know it was long 9 after she had been the witness and made her statements and all 1 that. 11 Right. You know why they intimidated her? Q 1 Because she was a witness to the --А 1 Q Right. I mean you know that. 14 А Yeah. 1! You just don't -- all right. You said why, but I 0 1 don't think you meant that. 1' MR. ROSENTHAL: Now, remember, we have to let each person 18 finishing answering a question or ask a question before you 1 talk over. 2 BY DET. BARLING: When you responded to the scene, 0 21 did you respond in uniform or --22 Yeah, I was in uniform. Α 2 Okay. And you said Officer Martin responded with 0 24 you. If this occurred in February of '96, was Officer Martin 25 still working uniform C.R.A.S.H. in February of '96? 2 I'm not a hundred percent positive. А 2 Q Okay. If I were to tell you -- I'm trying to refresh 2 your recollection is what I'm trying to do here. When you

first came into C.R.A.S.H. was when? Was it 1994? 1 Α '95. 2 **'**95? Ο 3 А '95. 4 And, at that point, you were Sammy's partner in 0 5 C.R.A.S.H.? 6 Α Yes, mmnh-mmnh. 7 Okay. And Sammy stayed how much longer? Q 8 'Til about January, February of '96. А 9 And, then, who became your next partner after Officer 0 1(Martin? 11 А I believe it was Tovar. 12 Okay. So, at the scene, your recollection is Martin 0 1 because you think you're still partners with Martin. But it's 14 also kind of maybe the time frame that Tovar might have been 1 your partner? So, it would have been one of the two of them? 1 Α Yeah, if he wasn't the one -- hold on a second. Let 1 me think here. Yeah. I mean, I can't -- I can't be a hundred 18 percent positive. But I thought it was Martin. 1 Okay. Who knew about that relationship with her, or Q 20 the sexual affair other than yourself? Any other officers know 21 about that, or detectives? 22 I think Benjamin. And what's the other detective Α 2 involved in the case -- the homicide case? Benjamin's partner. 24 I think that she was -- she might have -- before it even 25 occurred, I think she would -- she would say little comments 2 about, "Yeah, this witness is all in love with you, Perez." 2 And things like that. She would make comments like that. 2 And, I think, later on, she suspected that something

,	might have happened.		
1	Q But they didn't		
2	A No, I never told I never told nobody. No.		
3	Q Did anyone know about that? And I mean you're saying		
4 5	suspected of what you think they by their comments maybe,		
6	you who knew?		
7	A I'm not sure.		
8	Q What I'm asking you is if I had an affair my		
9	partner, he may know.		
10	A I I am I'm not a hundred percent certain. But,		
11	I believe I believe I might have Sammy Martin might have		
12	known. And I'm not too sure that I told anybody else. Because		
13	truly let me see. I just don't remember telling anybody		
14	else.		
15	Q You didn't first meet her with Officer Martin at the		
16	Pan American Club near Rampart Station prior to this homicide?		
17	Q BY DET. SKAGGS: About a year prior?		
1 8	Q BY DET. BARLING: Maybe a year prior? Six months		
19	prior?		
20	A That's possible. Wait a minute. What were the		
21	circumstances in the meeting at the		
22	Q At the Pan American Club?		
23	A Oh, I know what you're talking about. You're talking		
24	about when we did a big raid in there. Well, she told me that		
25	I had met her. I I don't remember seeing her then. But she		
26	I remember her telling me that she had saw me before raiding		
27	the club. I'm actually starting to think about another club		
28	now. But you're talking about the club right there on Temple		
Ī	Street?		

Mmnh-mmnh. Right down from the station. Q 1 Right. I remember after I had met her, she said that Α 2 she remembers us one time raiding the Pan America Club. And 3 we brought everybody outside. But I never met her. I mean, 4 in other words, I never talked to her directly or knew who she 5 was. Q So, you didn't start a relationship shortly 6 after meeting her at the Pan American Club? 7 А Absolutely not. 8 That's not when your relationship began? Q 9 I don't remember -- I don't even remember meeting her А 1(then. 11 You never took her out to dinner, to the movies? Q 12 Α No. 1: Q You never took her out to the C.R.A.S.H. pad? That's 14 the C.R.A.S.H. pad that officers would sometimes sleep at, 1 close to Rampart Station on Marathon? You know what apartment 1 I'm referring to? 1 Yeah, I know the apartment you're talking about. Did Α 18 I ever take her there? I don't -- I don't believe so. I don't 1 remember taking her there. 20 She never like cooked maybe a luncheon or Puerto 0 21 Rican luncheon or some Caribbean food there --22 Absolutely not. А 2 -- with other officers after a softball game or 0 24 wrestling or a weight-lifting competition? 25 MR. MCKESSON: He didn't say you were there. 20 THE WITNESS: You're saying that was I there and I 2'

witnessed this?

2

Q BY DET. BARLING: I'm saying she's there with you -

- 11	-		
1	A	Right.	
2	Q	at that location, and you took her there.	
3	A	Cooking after a softball game? Absolutely not.	
4	Q	Okay.	
5	А	I definitely don't remember that.	
6	Q	Oh, okay. So, you never took her to the Marathon	
7	apartment	that Officer Contreras is it Contreras?	
8	DET.	SKAGGS: Covarrubias.	
9	THE (WITNESS: Covarrubias.	
10	Q	BY DET. BARLING: Covarrubias rented?	
11	A	I certainly don't remember taking her there.	
12	Q	Do you ever remember having sex with her there at	
13	that apartment?		
14	A	I remember having sex with her at the hotel at a	
15	hotel in 1	Burbank. I just do not remember having sex with her	
16	at the -	- there was definitely an apartment. There's a	
17	C.R.A.S.H	. pad. I don't remember having sex with her there,	
18	though.		
19	Q	Okay. Do you ever remember her taking taking her	
20	in your E	xplorer truck, I believe it is, type	
21	A	Expedition?	
22	Q	Expedition?	
23	DET.	SKAGGS: They didn't have an Expedition in '96.	
24	Q	BY DET. BARLING: Yeah, I think it's an Explorer.	
25	Some type	of truck that you owned. I can't recall exactly what	
26 27	it is.		
28	DET.	SKAGGS: Either a Blazer or an Explorer.	
∠ 0	THE V	WITNESS: An Explorer? Yeah, an Explorer. I didn't	

	have	an E	xplorer.
1		MR.	ROSENTHAL: Let me interrupt. One at a time.
2		Q	BY DET. BARLING: Do you ever remember taking her in
3	your	Expl	orer to your residence out in the area of Diamond Bar
4	or Chino?		
5		A	Taking her?
6		Q	Taking her.
7		A	Absolutely not.
8		Q	Okay.
9		A	To where I really lived?
10		Q	Correct. And having her wait outside in the in
11	that	car	when you went to go
12		DET.	SKAGGS: Get something.
13		Q	BY DET. BARLING: do an errand and then come back.
14		A	Absolutely not.
15		Q	Okay. Do you ever remember taking her in a black BMW
16	to t	che Ç	Quatelinda Club located on Hollywood Boulevard in
17	Holly	ywood	just outside of Rampart Division?
18		А	A black BMW? I at that time, I had a white BMW.
19	But 3	I don	't even know I told her about it. But I didn't have
20	a	I do	n't know anybody who had a black BMW.
21		Q	A black BMW that maybe you borrowed from Officer
22	Mart	in?	
23		A	Martin doesn't have a BMW.
24		Q	Okay. Do you ever remember taking her or
25		MR.	MCKESSON: Could I ask a question, now? Because you
26	said	it w	ould be plain to me why why it was relative.
27		DET.	BARLING: Let's go off the record for a second.
28			(Off the record at 2:20 p.m.)

(Back on the record at 2:22 p.m.)

MR. ROSENTHAL: All right. We're back on the record. It's 2:22. Let me just point out, for the record, that the -- the reporter's tape apparently ended before we realized it. So, there is a portion of the interview that will be transcribed that is not on her tape. However, it is on LAPD Tape No. 233714.

Okay. Go ahead.

DET. BARLING: Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1(

1

1

1

14

1

1

1

18

1

20

21

22

2

2

2

2

2

And I think -- uh, going back maybe a step. In your 0 relationship with her, had you ever taken her to the Marathon apartment?

And, again, I just don't remember taking her to the Α Marathon apartment. It exists. She's talked about. She, obviously, knew about it. I just don't remember her -- uh, me taking her there. I just don't.

And do you recall taking her there with Officer 0 Martin to the Marathon apartment? Do you recall having sex with her at the Marathon apartment?

I really don't remember having sex at the Marathon А apartment with her. I just don't.

Do you recall smoking a marijuana cigarette prior to Q having sex with her at the Marathon apartment?

Absolutely not. А

Okay. Do you recall --Q

25 DET. SKAGGS: Uh, on the night of a party, evidently, there was a celebration at the Marathon apartment in response to some kind of a sporting event that Rampart C.R.A.S.H. or Rampart Division participated in. I don't know if that might help your memory at all. Something happened. Possibly a weight-lifting contest. Some athletic event. And, uh, there was a celebration afterwards with food and drink at the apartment.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1(

1

1

1

14

1

1

1'

18

1

2

21

22

2

24

25

Q BY DET. BARLING: Or maybe Rampart beat the Sheriffs in a weight-lifting contest or had something to do with maybe a weight-lifting contest.

A And we had a party at the -- I remember of one party that we had. But it was like a bachelor party. It wasn't a -- and she wasn't there. I think it was before her. I mean, before her -- me meeting her. I do not remember. I mean, maybe she -- is she maybe getting it confused with the benches, at one point, or something? Maybe I took her to the benches once. It -- it might have been a, -- you know, a barbecue or something there. I don't remember having a party at the apartment.

Q When would you think you took her to the benches?

A I don't even know. I'm just saying that it's possible that maybe I might have taken her, at one point, I picked her up or something, or -- I don't even know. But I just, you know

Q BY DET. SKAGGS: Was there -- did you guys ever have more than one pad there at the Marathon apartments? Was there ever two apartments rented at one time?

A No, just the one. I don't know the apartment number. But it faces the back alley or something.

Q BY DET. BARLING: Do you remember what floor it was on?

A Maybe the second or third floor. It wasn't that high

up. 1 Okay. In that apartment -- adjacent to that Q 2 apartment there's like a field or a library with park? 3 There's a park across the street in front of the Α 4 building. 5 Q Right. 6 А Yeah. 7 Okay. Do you remember ever having like a softball Q 8 game there with the La Mirada Locos, or some ex-gang members, 9 like Martin putting together a softball game of officers versus 1 neighborhood kids type thing? 1 А I'm really lost here. 1 Do you know what I'm saying? Like sometimes we have 0 1 that --14 A softball game. А 1 You know, with the -- against the community, the 0 1 local station has like a softball type -- you don't remember 1 anything like that? 1 Α I --1 Q Okay. 20 -- you know, I just don't remember. Especially, what А 21 -- I took her or brought her there with us or something? Ι 22 definitely don't remember that. 2 Do you recall anything -- do you recall discussing 0 2 your relationship with her with Officer Martin, and maybe 25 Officer Martin referring to her as Little Girl, calling her a 2 little girl? 2 I mean, again, not that it may have not happened, it А 2 just wouldn't stand out in my mind that he called her Little

Girl. 1 You don't remember Officer Martin walking in on the 0 2 two of you while you guys were just performed, or were about 3 to be in the act of sex at the apartment? 4 I -- I just don't recall. А 5 Do you remember having a discussion with her about Q 6 her being pregnant by you? 7 А do remember a conversation about her being Ι 8 pregnant, yes. 9 And when do you think that conversation occurred? Q 1(А Probably three -- two to three to four months later 11 after. 1 Okay. Do you recall any of that conversation? Q 1: It was a telephonic conversation. А 14 She called you up? You called her up? Q Okay. How 1! did that happen? 1 А No, she called. She might have paged me and I called 1' her back. 18 Q Okay. 1 And I think she might have said something about being Α 20 pregnant or something like that. 21 Okay. And what would be your response to that then, Q 22 if you recall? 2 I knew she had been lying. Α 2 Okay. Why do you say she would be lying? Q 25 I don't know if I told her that, but I knew she was Α 20 -- I had a vasectomy three or four years before that. It was 2 impossible for her to get pregnant. 2 Would you have told her that, that --Q

A I don't know deep the conversation -- I don't remember how deep the conversation got. I don't know if she was telling me, "I'm pregnant," and then -- I remember it came up. I remember she said something about being pregnant. But I don't know how it got resolved or what she did, because it was like I wasn't seeing her any more.

She just happened to page me out of the blue. I called her back and she goes -- I think she might have said that I think I'm pregnant or something like that.

Q You don't recall telling her that you'd been clipped? A It's possible that maybe I told her that. And it's probably true. I don't remember telling her. But I was clipped -- or clipped for a lack of a word. I was -- I had a vasectomy.

Q And when did that happen, if you recall?

A Early in 1995.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1

11

1

1

1

1

1

1'

18

1

2

2

2

2

2

25

2

2

2

Q Okay. Do you recall that that -- that conversation, obviously occurred after her being a witness in a homicide. Do you recall, prior to that, at a period of time between the stop at the Pan American Club where you guys raided and maybe checked I.D.'s or whatever, do you recall between that period and the homicide getting her pregnant and having to take her to get an abortion?

A Absolutely not. I never, even at the Pan America, I never really met her. I think she saw me. But I had no recollection of seeing her there, no recollection of talking to her there. And before the homicide, I never saw her again, or never saw, or remember seeing her at all, ever before that. Never.

Q Do you recall ever taking her to any of these clubs

-- the Guatelinda Club, Club Baja, --1 Α Bahia. 2 Bahia. B-a-h-i-a. A- -- B-a-h-i-a. Or Luminaries? Ο 3 Do you recall taking her to any of those clubs? 4 I believe those are all like Rampart clubs. А 5 With the exception of Luminaries? Q 6 Α That I walked in to a club with her to one of these 7 in Rampart, I really doubt it. I don't remember any of that. 8 I certainly -- I am not gonna walk into Rampart where probably 9 half the gang members, or who knows who else, may be there. 1(Especially the Pan America, that's a Temple Street 1 hang out. I just -- I'm positive that I -- I never took her 1 to no club. 1 Q And I didn't say the Pan America. I said the 14 Guatelinda. 1 Or the Guatelinda. Aren't those all --А 1 0 The Guatelinda is in -- is, I think, in Hollywood. 1' Just on Hollywood Boulevard, just outside of Rampart. Club 18 Bahia is on Sunset down from the Shortstop. Luminaries is in 1 ___ 20 East L.A. А 21 0 -- East L.A. 22 Α No. Never. 2 Okay. Do you recall ever doing a money drop or a 0 24 narcotics exchange in her presence, at any of these locations? 25 No. And I see where this is all going. You guys are Α 2 going to have to investigate a little bit more. I think she's 2 really feeding you guys a bunch of junk. I would never, in her 2 presence, or anything else, am I gonna commit any kind of crime,

other than maybe a moral crime, as far as having a sexual relationship with her.

But, number one, I'm not gonna be going to any club with her. I don't remember even ever taking her to a movie, let alone to go to a club and be seeing in public with her. I've never any money drop or any narcotics anything with a woman who was an informant for the -- for the police. I -- I don't know what to say. But, you know, she might have fed you guys a bunch of stuff. But it's not correct.

Do you recall on maybe your first date taking her out 0 1(to dinner at the Red Lion Hotel and then to the movies in Glendale?

I don't remember taking her to no movie. I don't А remember a Red Lion.

Do you recall, at any time, any bandit-type taxi-cab Q pulling up to the apartment on Marathon and you making a narcotics exchange at the apartment building?

А No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1

1

1

14

1!

1

1

18

1

20

21

22

2

2

2

2

Okay. Did you ever -- did you and Sammy Martin ever Q snort cocaine in her presence, at the apartment building?

А Absolutely not.

Did you ever take her to a salsa dance at the Q Coliseum?

No, sir. Α

Q Okay.

25 BY DET. SKAGGS: Do you know anybody that works at Q 20 the Quatelinda?

> А The Quatelinda? This is the one on --

On Hollywood. Q

A Which street though?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1(

11

12

1:

14

1

1

1'

18

1

2

21

22

2

24

25

2

2

2

Q It's maybe a quarter mile west of where Hollywood and Sunset intersect. The south side of the street. It's got a large parking lot. It's got an upstairs and a downstairs dance floor.

DET. BARLING: Two separate clubs. Quatelinda and another name on the one on the bottom. And a two-story apartment building catty-corner.

THE WITNESS: When you go in there, is there a parking lot up front, and, then, you go in, and there's like a building? DET. BARLING: Yes.

THE WITNESS: I've been there. I went there with Sammy Martin once. We walked into the -- into the club itself.

Q BY DET. SKAGGS: On duty or off duty?

A On duty.

Q Did you make contact with anybody in there?

A I remember there was a Hispanic-looking type of a guy in the deejay -- there's like a glass -- an enclosed glass area. The deejay. I remember we walked up in there and talked to him. Who I made contact with, I really don't remember. I know we were there to see somebody, or we knew somebody, or something like that.

It might have been like we were patrolling and somebody says, "Oh, yeah, we're going to there tonight." And we might have went by there to see if the girls were there or not. But I don't remember who it was that we talked to or even made contact to.

Q You don't know the owner or any of the employees there?

Personally? Α 1 Yes. Ο 2 Α No. 3 Q Okay. 4 BY DET. BARLING: Did you ever -- did David Mack ever Q 5 go to that club too, at one time, with your or Martin? 6 А No, never. 7 MR. MCKESSON: Excuse me. Can you give me the date of the 8 murder, again? 9 DET. BARLING: February of '96. We may have the exact 1(date somewhere. 1: MR. MCKESSON: That's -- can you give me the date of the 12 statement that she gave you? Just the date. 1: DET. BARLING: I don't have it in front of me. But I can 14 get that for you. 1! MR. MCKESSON: Do you have -- do you know what year? 1 DET. BARLING: This year, 2000. 1' MR. MCKESSON: 2000? 18 DET. BARLING: Yeah. 1 BY DET. SKAGGS: Did you ever take her, the witness, Q 20 in your cruiser and just go on patrol for a period of time? 21 А You know, it seemed like I picked her up several 22 times for the detectives. For some reason they wanted me to 2 re-interview her, or take her to court. It was always me who 2 had to go pick her up. I want to say that, at one point, we 25 took her out for her to show us some location or something. 2 She wanted to show us some places that she thought 2' she might have saw a defendant or one of the suspects. It's 2 kind of vague in my mind when it occurred or where we even

went. But if you're asking me did I just put her in the car 1 and drive around, I don't remember that. 2 Do you -- without giving exact locations, after this Q 3 homicide, you moved -- you facilitated a move, and moved her? 4 The detectives did. They just told me where to take А 5 her. 6 Q But you took her somewhere? You physically took her? 7 They told you where? 8 Yes. А 9 Was that -- do you want to take a quick break? 0 1 (Off the record at 2:36 p.m. to change paper.) 11 (Back on the record at 2:38 p.m.) 12 MR. ROSENTHAL: It's 2:38. We're back on the record. Go 1 ahead. 14 BY DET. BARLING: Do you -- do you recall, when you Q 1 transported her, do you remember who went with you to physically 1 move her when you took her? What officer? 1 Or was it different officers? Did you do it more 18 than once? 1 Well, I picked her up several times, and --А 2 Not picking her up, but putting her -- not picking 0 21 her up. 22 It was just once that we picked her up and took her А 2 to the hotel. 24 Q Okay. 25 А I believe that was just once. 2 Do you ever recall, initially, after the crime Q 2' occurred, taking her to a residence somewhere in South L.A. and 2 putting her there, or maybe a time from transporting her to and from court taking her to safe house her in maybe a house, or a friend's house or friend's house in South Los Angeles?

A It's possible that after court we might have transported her to some house in South L.A. But I'm not exactly sure where it was.

Q Do you recall ever transporting her out of Los Angeles to another city and taking her to a house or apartment?

MR. MCKESSON: When you say "city" what do you mean?

Q BY DET. BARLING: I mean out of L.A. South Gate, Huntington Park? That's what I'm talking about. Downey? Nearby cities.

A When she got threatened, it was outside the city. It was some city.

Q But it was outside of the city?

A Outside of the city.

Q Did you actually --

A I'm not even sure if I went -- if I was the one that went and picked her up from there. I'm not sure if I picked her up from there. I know she had to be picked up and brought to the station and a report had to be taken. And that's Benjamin and the other detective made arrangements for her to be at a home or something.

Q Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1(

1:

12

1

14

1

1

1

18

1

2

21

22

2

24

25

2

2

2

A But, as far as me picking her up from court, and taking her to -- like I said, she had been to court several times.

Q Mmnh-mmnh.

A Probably because there we so many times, it was refiled or whatever. But I know that she needed to be picked up. We'd pick her up and drop her back off. Where was it her apartment was, at the time? I thought it was somewhere in South L.A. I think it was maybe a house of a friend or a cousin or hers stayed or something. That's where we dropped her off at.

Q Do you recall, at the time of the murder prior to, back when you might have first met her, at the Pan America Club, that you don't recall, but you remember her mentioning that to you -- her possibly mentioning it to you -- do you recall where she lived at that time? And do you recall ever responding to that house or apartment, which would have been within the Rampart area?

Q Do you know who she resided with, at that time?

A No clue.

Q Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1(

1

1

1

14

1

1

1'

18

1

20

21

22

2

24

25

2

2'

2

A Oh, wait a minute. Did she have a brother or something like that? The only way I would know, is if she told me. And I believe -- I thought she said something about having a brother.

Q And -- and she was clearly a witness to a gang that you were assigned to. [********* Information Redacted *****] [*** redacted ****]. You don't recall ever having contact with

her prior to this homicide? 1 I've never seen her. Well, other than the time she Α 2 says she saw me at one of the bars raiding it, I had never seen 3 her before. 4 Q Okay. 5 Q BY DET. SKAGGS: Did you ever ask her to exchange a 6 brown paper bag or anything? 7 Never. I have no idea what that's all about. А 8 BY DET. BARLING: In any of these times that you 0 9 moved her and took her to court, could Officer Tovar have been 1(with you? 11 А It's possible. He was probably my partner around 12 that time. Yeah, it's possible. 1 Q Do you -- do you recall where Officer Tovar's father 14 works at? 1! Officer Tovar's father? А 1 Q Right. 1 I have no idea who Officer Tovar's father is at all. А 18 Okay. Do you recall maybe going up to the Academy Q 1 picnic benches and meeting a classmate of yours, or I believe 2 a classmate of yours that was also in the Marines that you 21 might have referred to that you were in the Corps. with, who 2 maybe might been in the Corps. at different platoons at 2 different times, but maybe he's a classmate, a male White 2 officer, and introducing her to him? 25 There is a male White at Rampart Division that he was Α 2 my classmate in the Police Academy and was in the Marine Corps. 2 We weren't in the Marine Corps. together. Do you want his 2 name?

Mmnh-mmnh. Q 1 Α It's Morrison. Joe Morrison. Do I remember 2 introducing her to Joe Morrison? I don't remember that. 3 Q Okay. 4 But that was true that, you know, who he is, as far Α 5 as Marines, my classmate, that's true. 6 Q Is there anyone else that you would refer to as like 7 maybe your buddy from the Army or buddy from the Marines, or 8 from the Corps.? 9 Joe's the only one that just happened to be in the А 1(Marine Corps. and we both happened to come in at the same time. 1: Did you ever exchange 4x4 zip-lock baggies with a Q 1 male in a black vehicle with her out in front of the Guatelinda 1 Club? 14 А No. 1 I can't think of anything else to beat it to death. 0 1 All right. I'm -- we're done. It's about 2:45. And we'll go 1' off tape. 18 MR. ROSENTHAL: We're off the record while we bring in the 1 Internal Affairs investigators. 20 DET. BARLING: One more curiosity -- can you guys --21 MR. ROSENTHAL: We just were off the record for just a 22 moment. Back on the record. 2 BY DET. BARLING: Have you seen the infamous picture 0 24 of you, Sammy, and David wearing the suits? You know what 25 picture I'm referring to? It's been in the paper. 2 In Las Vegas? А 2 Q Yeah. 2 Yes, sir. А

Q Where did you get those suits? Did you come with the suits? Did you buy suits there?

A We brought the suits there.

3

Q

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

1(

1

1

1:

14

1

1

1'

18

1

20

2

22

2

24

25

2

2

2

So, there were -- you brought the suits with you?

A My suit I bought. And it may look like an expensive whatever suit. I bought it downtown like 5th and Hill, 6th and Hill. One of those, you know, tailors. They do everything. Throw in the tie and shirt with it for, you know, 2- -- 215 bucks. That's where I got mine. I didn't know about that suit that David had until he came out of his room that day and he was wearing it. And we were a little bit shocked with all the red, and the red hat and all that.

But, my suit, they made -- people talk about, oh, an Armani suit or whatever. I think it's probably still at home. It's like a no-frills, no-brand suit.

Q So, you know where you got your suit. You're not sure where David got his?

A I have no idea.

Q What about Sammy? Did you ever see Sammy in that one before?

A Was he wearing black?

Q I think so.

MR. ROSENTHAL: You guys have to stop talking on top of each other. One at time, please.

THE WITNESS: I -- I think that was just one of his normal typical suits he wore to court or whatever. I don't know what the thing is with the suits, but --

DET. BARLING: Okay.

THE WITNESS: I don't know where he got it from, though.

DET. BARLING: Okay. Thank you. We're done.

(Off the record at 2:45 p.m.)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1(

1

1

1

14

1

1

1'

18

1

2

2

22

2

24

25

2

2

2

(Back on the record at 2:48 p.m.)

MR. ROSENTHAL: All right. It's 2:48. We're back on the record. Mr. Perez, during the course of the questioning, I think it was mentioned that there was a Writ of Habeas Corpus that had been filed, actually last November, I believe, by Anthony Adams.

And there were allegations of witness tampering that you had, in fact, influenced testimony of this witness against defendants. And after we went off the record, you said that there was something you wanted to make comment about that allegation. So, why don't you go ahead?

THE WITNESS: Well, I just wanted to make it perfectly clear the allegation is that the witness was intimidated or, one way or another, to maybe testify or -- or identify someone or some people as to their involvement.

And I want to make it clear, for the record, that at no time on the date that the homicide occurred or any other interviews with the witness, was she asked or coerced or intimidated, in any way, by me or anybody that I know, to identify someone or to pick out someone that was involved, in any way.

And, at no time was she intimidated. The relationship that occurred, occurred long after I.D.'s were made and statements were made to Homicide detectives, and so on.

So, no time was she intimidated, in any way, as far as police officers, or as far as myself goes, to make any statement that was not her own true statement.

Q BY DET. BARLING: And, in fact, you never showed her six-packs of who were involved in this, the detectives did?

A The detectives showed her six-packs. I -- I did show her a I-card -- uh, I-cards, which is just a plain one card.

Q And she never -- and she never made an I.D. from that?

A Well, I think she had -- she was -- when -- when she was going through the I-cards, the detectives showed up. And they said, "Fine, let her show the card -- I-cards." Pulled the ones that she wants to talk about to the side. And, then, they went and interviewed her.

And she -- she mentioned everyone's involvement to them. Not to me. She talked to the detective and told him, this guy did this, and this guy did that, uh, as far as everything that went down.

Q And you were present for when the detectives interviewed regarding those cases?

A No, sir.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1(

1

1

1

14

1

1

1'

18

1

2

2

22

2

24

25

2

2

2

Q The detectives handled it?

A That's right. Yes, sir.

Q BY MR. ROSENTHAL: And, so, with respect to the Anthony Adams' murder case, or the prosecution of the McDonalds' murder, the only misconduct that you were aware of, that occurred with respect to that case, was simply your establishing a sexual relationship with this witness on one occasion?

A Yes, sir.

Q All right. Okay. That should do it. It's 2:50 and

we're back off the record.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1(

1:

1

1

14

1

1

1

1

1

2

21

22

2

2

25

2

2

2

(Off the record at 2:50 p.m.)

(Back on the record at 3:13 p.m.)

MR. ROSENTHAL: All right. We're on the record. It's 3:13. We're back on the record. Mr. Perez, you're still under oath. Before we begin the questioning on this case, this relates to the arrest of Julio Hernandez and Walfrido LaMotte. There is no filing, or there is no record on Mr. LaMotte. But on Mr. Hernandez we have D.A. Case No. Juvenile Case PJ21266. D.R. No. 9602-26976.

Before the questioning begins, I want to put, on the record, that this case involves Officer Patel. Officer Patel was, in fact, compelled by the interviewing officer on March 28th of 2000.

I have reviewed the questions that are going to be asked of Mr. Perez. And from the review of these questions, it appears that these questions are clearly not based upon the compelled testimony of Officer Patel, but rather come from independent sources, and as such it should be fine for those questions to be asked.

Why don't you go ahead, Sgt. -- I'm sorry, Detective. DET. CAZARES: This is a tape-recorded investigation Complaint Form No. 000572. Today is June 16th, 2000. And the time is 3:14 hours. The location of the interview is confidential. Present to be interviewed is former police officer Rafael Perez. The interview is being recorded on Tape No. 234411, Side A.

The interview is being conducted by Detective II, Diane Cazares, Serial 25267; and Detective II, Mike Burditt, 24454; both assigned to Internal Affairs Group.

1 Also present is Mr. Perez' attorney, Mr. Kevin 2 McKesson, and Deputy District Attorney Richard Rosenthal. And 3 as an observer is Sgt. II Mike Perez, Serial No. 21965, also 4 assigned to Internal Affairs. And the last present is 5 Stenographer Miss Sara Mahan. 6 Q Mr. Perez? Or Rafael? Or Ray? 7 Ray is fine. А 8 Great. Q 9 MR. MCKESSON: Call him Rafael. 1(THE WITNESS: No, don't call me Rafael. 11 BY DET. CAZARES: Ray, you had an opportunity to Q 12 review the arrest 96-02-026976, and also the transcripts of 1: November the 5th. Did you have an opportunity to review those 14 two photos? 1 А Yes, I have. 1 Q Okay. Do you recognize Defendant Julio Hernandez by 1' his booking photo attached to the face-sheet of the arrest 18 report? 1 Yes, I do. А 2 Okay. Showing you a photograph of that specific Q 21 D.R., or rather booking, I'm now showing you a booking 22 photograph 4983035 of Walfrido LaMotte. 2 Yes, I recognize the photo. And I recognize that А 24 it's Walfrido LaMotte. 25 Okay. I'm now showing you two Polaroid photos of 110 Q 20 South Dillon Street. Do you recognize the residence? 2 А Yes. Yes, I do. It's the white house. 2 Q Yes.

A Yes.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

1

14

1!

1

1'

18

1

2

21

22

2

24

25

2

2

2

Q Okay. And, for the record, I'm also showing you a DMV photograph of Raquel LaMotte. Do you recognize this individual?

A That appears to be the mother of Wilfredo LaMotte.

Q BY MR. ROSENTHAL: Why don't you read off the DMV license number, for the record?

A The driver's license number is C3524431, with the name of Raquel LaMotte.

Q BY DET. CAZARES: With regards to the first question, did you personally see Officer Patel read this arrest report?

A Can I see the arrest report again? I'm sorry.

Q I'm going to need that first face-sheet of the arrest report.

A Do I have an independent recollection of him actually reading the report? No, I do not.

Q Okay. So, you don't remember actually seeing him reading the arrest report?

A Not independently. Not -- no.

Q Okay.

A I mean, if you -- the question is direct. And I'm going to direct it directly. I won't go any further than what your question is asking.

Q When you spoke to the mother of Wilfredo, Raquel LaMotte, did you talk to her in Spanish?

A I remember talking to her. And I remember, most of the time, I was talking in English. And, then, a large portion of the time, her daughter was like translating or wanting to be -- interceder. She wanted to be the one who was talked to. So, I don't see a photo of his sister there. But there was another female, a sister, who I primarily talked to.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1(

1

1

1

14

1!

1

1'

18

1

2

21

22

2

2

25

2

2

2

Q Did you talk to the sister in Spanish or English?A In English.

Q Did you explain the Consent form to the mother, the Consent to Search form?

A I believe I did. The daughter -- I want to say that she started reciting some law to me, or maybe she was a law student or a law clerk, or something.

Anyway, I knew that while I was at the apartment I had to go real by the book, as far as the Consent. And I know that, in fact, I even had the daughter not just the mother, but the daughter, sign the Consent, even though it was the -- her apartment. I had her sign as a witness.

So, I'm pretty sure I described it pretty clearly. I made sure she read it, filled it out, and signed it completely.

Q So, you believe that the daughter understood the explanation of the Consent to Search form?

A Absolutely. And it was obtained prior to doing any search. Everything was explained to them, what happened to their son, he had been arrested, some observations that were made, everything was explained to them real legitimately, as far as that one, yes.

Q Prior to the daughter's arrival to the residence, did you tell the mother that by signing the Consent form you had indicated to her that you were going to release her son Wilfredo LaMotte?

A I don't believe I said that.

Q Did you ever tell the mother, Raquel LaMotte, that now that you had recovered the firearm that you had now believed Wilfredo LaMotte was telling the truth?

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

1(

11

1

1

14

1

1

1'

18

1

2

21

22

2

24

25

2

2

2

1

2

A Telling the truth?

Q Just that phrase, "Telling the truth?"

A I'm not going to say it didn't happen. I'm a little confused as to what context I said that, or might have said that, because it's possible that I might have went down there and said, "Listen, I already talked to him. He told me he has a gun in there." That type of thing.

That's probably what she's talking about that I said something to that effect. But I can't just say, "Yeah, I said he's telling the truth" because I'm not sure what context she is talking about.

Q I understand.

A So, it's possible that I might have said that, yes. Q Okay. Referring to this photograph of Julio Hernandez, Booking Photo No. -- you have to show it to me. 4919542. If you look closely, just by where this indentation of the staple there, there appears to be a red spot at the very top of the bridge of his nose.

A Yes.

Q Do you know how he got that?

A I don't. I mean, it might have been while taking him into custody. It might have been there before we took him into custody. I don't think that was an issue, as far as claiming that scrape. I don't know how it occurred.

Like I say, it might have occurred while he was being taken into custody. There was a lot of units there while he

was taken into custody. It wasn't like he was thumped on or 1 anything like that. 2 Do you recall that the -- do you know that the 0 3 officers were following the proper procedures in arresting this 4 individual? 5 А At the time he was taken into custody? 6 Q Yes. 7 А I believe so. There was a lot of patrol units 8 following the stolen vehicle. And there was not only just 9 C.R.A.S.H. units, but patrol units. 1(And, in fact, he was proned-out right in front of the 11 liquor store, some type of small convenience store, on Sunset 1 and Silver Lake area. He was proned-out right -- so, you walk. 1 It wasn't like a chase or anything like that, he was thumped 14 on, unnecessarily. He was just taken into custody. 1 Did you notice if his nose was bleeding profusely? 0 1 А I don't remember that. 1 Okay. And just for the record, this is the last Q 18 question, or is it? Did you want to add additional? 1 DET. BURDITT: No. 20 DET. CAZARES: This is the last question. 21 What is your proficiency in Spanish? Q 22 I'm a fluent Spanish -- I'm a paid Spanish speaker А 23 for the department. Spanish is my primary language. 24 Reading? Writing? Q 25 Α Yes. 2 MR. ROSENTHAL: Let me just ask. 2' Q In answer to the first question which discussed 2 whether you saw Patel read the arrest report, your answer was

you don't remember it in this particular case --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1(

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

2

21

22

2

24

25

2

2

2

A Independent recollection of him actually reading the report.

Q Right. Now, in the past, during the course of these interviews, as a matter of practice, you would have your partner officers review a report that you had prepared. Do you have any reason to believe that that did not happen in this particular case?

A No, I do not. And, specifically, on something like this where we completely fabricated the story, as to where we saw them, where we initially saw them, me and Kulin Patel discussed it in-depth as to how we're going to write it, how it's going to be written, the fabrications that were going to added.

Also, looking at the report, on some of the facesheets that there's Kulin's handwriting all over the face-sheet of the report. That would tell me this was late in the day when we get the face-sheets. We're already at Jail Division getting booking numbers. Or, actually, the face-sheet have to be done to take them to Eastlake. A complete report has to be taken for the bodies with the juveniles.

This report would have had to have been done to have the face-sheets. And, like I said, the handwriting on the face-sheet of the report are Kulin's handwriting. So, he had this report in his hands, at some point.

And it is our practice to have -- we have each other read our reports, not only for mistakes, but that we're on the same page in case somebody comes to interview us tomorrow, or the next day, week, for some reason, would read our reports.

That is our practice. 1 DET. CAZARES: I have a question as to what you just 2 indicated. 3 MR. MCKESSON: You already had your one question. You 4 told me just one question. 5 Q BY DET. CAZARES: I know, but he -- with regards to 6 Page 2 and 3 of the arrest report, who is the author of those 7 two pages? 8 I am the author of this -- the two pages. Α 9 Okay. Any questions? 0 1 DET. BURDITT: No. 11 DET. CAZARES: Okay. 12 MR. ROSENTHAL: All right. We'll go off the record for a 11 moment while we get ready for the next subject. 14 DET. CAZARES: This concludes the interview. The time is 1! 3:30. 1 (Off the record at 3:30 p.m.) 1 (Back on the record at 3:34 p.m.) 18 MR. ROSENTHAL: All right. We're back on the record. 1 It's 3:34. This question is going to relate to the arrest of 2 Rene Vriones, V-r-i-o-n-e-s. D.A. Case No. BA133329. D.R. No. 2 96-02-21209. I have been informed that Internal Affairs 2 investigators have compelled certain officers relating to this 2 arrest. 24 However, Detective Burditt, who is going to be 25 conducting this, did not participate in the compelled 2 interviews and has no knowledge of what the context of the 2 compelled interviews were, and has not discussed the contents 2 of the compelled testimony nor have any made any specific

questions as to the questions that should be asked; is that correct?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1

1

1

1

14

1

1

1

1

1

2

21

22

2

2

25

2

2

2

DET. BURDITT: Correct. This is a tape-recorded interview of an Internal Affairs Investigation CF No. 99-46-4639. Today is June 16th of the year 2000. It is 1535 hours. Location of this interview is confidential. Present to be interviewed is former police officer Rafael Perez.

Present are his attorney Winston McKesson, the Deputy District Attorney Richard Rosenthal. And we've got a court stenographer, Sara Mahan. Conducted by Detective Burditt, Serial No. 24454. I'm assigned to Internal Affair. And by Sergeant II, Mike Perez, Serial No. 21965 of Internal Affairs.

The interview is being recorded on Tape No. 234449, Side A.

Q Ray, before we went on tape, I presented you with some photographs of the corner of 8th and Westlake, the arrest report in connection with this arrest, booking photo of Mr. Vriones, a DFAR for yourself and your partner that evening, which was Officer Duarte, and your prior transcript dated November 5, of 1999. Did you have a chance to review those?

A I have reviewed all those documents.

Q And I'm going to ask you some specific questions regarding this arrest on June 7, of 1996. You arrested Rene Vriones for possession of rock cocaine. What were the circumstances of that? How did you -- how did you come in contact with this individual?

A On this particular date, I was the driver officer, I believe of the -- of my police vehicle. Raquel Duarte, I believe, was her last name. I think it's different now. She was my partner. We were patrolling the area of 8th and Westlake when we observed a male Hispanic identified as Mr. Vriones, standing on the northwest corner. We approached him, got out, and he looked suspicious.

You know, when he saw us, he became real nervous. I got out of the car, started walking towards him.

Q Can I stop you momentarily and ask you, do you recall what type of vehicle that you and your partner were driving that evening?

A It was a -- are there transcripts on this, Officer?Q Yes.

A I don't specifically like have an independent recollection of which vehicle. I believe it was the blue Taurus. And I'm almost certain it was the blue Taurus that we were driving that day.

Q Okay. From these photos that I presented to you of the corner of 8th and Westlake, do you recall the exact location where Mr. Vriones was arrested?

A Yes, sir.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1(

1

12

1

14

1

1

1'

18

1

2

21

22

2

2

25

2

2

2

Q Could you indicate for that -- for me, please?

A I don't know how to refer to this. But it's a plastic document protector with three photos. And I'm gonna to use the top photo as a reference. If you look at the northwest corner, there is a light pole there with a Westlake street sign on it. If you were to just be on the other side of that pole, that's where he was standing. That's where I grabbed him by the neck in the choke-hold and went down to the ground with him, trying to get him to spit out the rocks.

Q Okay. Prior to -- we'll back up a little bit.

А Okay. 1 During the detention, and did you speak with Mr. Ο 2 Vriones? 3 At what point? Α 4 Prior to -- prior to taking him into custody? Q 5 А During the time I was trying to take him into custody, 6 I was telling him to spit out the rocks. If he spit out the 7 rocks, I'd give him a break, things like that. "Don't piss me 8 off. Just get rid of them. Spit it out." He didn't do it. 9 And, then, eventually, I said, "Fine. Okav. No 1(Go ahead and eat them." problem. 1 Did you speak to him in English or Spanish? Q 1 In Spanish. А 1 Q Did Mr. Vriones have trouble speaking with you? 14 When I had him in my grasp? А 1 Q When you first spoke with him? When you first 1 approached him? 1' He didn't speak at all. А 18 Didn't speak? Q 1 Right. А 20 Did you -- at what point did you -- well, let me back 0 21 Did you see the rock cocaine in his mouth? up. 22 It appeared he was shoveling something in his mouth. Α 2 And, you know, that was the common thing for a dealer to keep 24 rocks in their mouths. He was shoveling something in his mouth. 25 And in my mind, it was rock cocaine. 2 Did you actually see it? Q 2 А I don't remember seeing the rocks themselves, no. 2 Did you observe it? Did you ask him to spit the rock Q

	cocaine o	ut?
1	А	Yes.
2	Q	And did he comply?
3	А	No.
4	Q	Did you grab Vriones?
5	A	I grabbed him in a chokehold, yes.
6	Q	What amount of force did you use?
7	A	I was applying a choke-hold technique. I didn't
8	we went down to the ground together.	
9	Q	During that time, did Vriones have trouble breathing?
10	A	He wasn't receiving a whole lot of air. I was choking
11	him out.	So, yeah, he was having some problems breathing.
12	Q	And the two of you went to the ground, is that
13	correct?	
14	A	Yes, sir.
15	Q	So, how did you take him to the ground?
16	А	As I grabbed him, to put my arm around his neck to
17	try to ch	oke him, he goes down to his knee. I go down to my
18	knee. He	goes forward, lands face down on the ground. And I'm
19	on top of	him. And I'm still trying to choke him out, telling
20	him to sp	it out the rocks.
21	Q	When you were on top of him, was he on his stomach
22	or back?	
23	А	On his stomach. I'm sorry, his back is to me. And
24	his stoma	ch is closest to the ground. And that's when he hit
25	the groun	d his front face, his frontal profile.
26	Q	What was Duarte doing when you had choked-out Vriones
27	and you w	ent to the ground with him?
28	A	She was sitting there watching me.

Did she say or do anything, at that point? Q 1 Α No. 2 Did Vriones swallow the cocaine? Q 3 А Yes. 4 Do you know how many rocks of cocaine he swallowed Q 5 or what you believed to be rocks of cocaine? 6 А I believe it was numerous. I couldn't tell you how 7 many. 8 Do you recall saying to him, "Listen. Spit it out. Q 9 I'll give you a break. If you don't spit it out, you're going 1(to jail?" 1 А Yes. 12 Do you recall after you took him into custody if he 0 1: had any visible injury on his neck? 14 I don't remember looking for injuries. А 1 Do you recall if either yourself or Vriones sustained 0 1 any damage to your clothing? Either to your clothing or to his 1' clothing? 18 I don't remember looking. Or, you know, it doesn't А 1 standout whether there was damage to our clothing or not. 20 Do you think that is something you might remember if Q 21 there was? 22 Not necessarily. А 2 Did you advise a supervisor that you were involved 0 24 in a use of force? 25 Α No. 20 Did you complete a Use of Force report? Q 2 А No. 2 And did you tell Duarte you were going to arrest Q

Vriones for possession of cocaine?

A Yes.

Q And do you recall what Duarte's response was to that? A She said something like, "How are we going to book him? There is no dope." Something to that effect.

5 6

7

8

9

1(

11

1

1

14

1!

1

1'

18

1

2

21

22

2

24

25

28

1

2

3

4

Q Where did that conversation occur?

A Right there at the scene after he was handcuffed and placed in the car.

Q And do you recall telling your partner, Duarte, we'll handle it. Don't worry about it?

A I said something to that effect, you know, "That's all right. We got it. We'll handle it." Something to that effect. And in my mind, as soon as she said, my -- my bell went "Ding." You know, uh-oh.

You know, she wasn't someone who was to be trusted yet. She had just gotten to the unit. So, I was going to feel her out once we got to the station to see how her response would be to all this.

If she came across real bad, I would have said something like, "I'm just joking. We're going to kick him loose." She was pretty much okay with it, so, I went along with it, too.

Q Did anything significant happen from that point until the point you were back at the station? Anything you can think of?

A Anything significant?

Q Any other conversation with Vriones or with your partner?

A Can we pause momentarily?

Q It's 1543 hours.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1(

1:

1

1

14

1

1

1'

18

1

20

21

2

2

2

25

2

2

2

(Off the record at 3:43 p.m.)

(Back on the record at 3:46 p.m.)

MR. ROSENTHAL: We'll go back on the record. It's 3:46. Just for the record, the reporter's tape recorder appears to have malfunctioned in part, so -- but we do still have the tape that's in possession of the Internal Affairs investigators, which is Tape No. 234449.

And, obviously, the portion that was not on tape should continue to be transcribed by the reporter. So, we'll continue. Go ahead.

Q BY DET. BURDITT: At Rampart Station following the arrest, did you discuss the circumstances of the arrest with Duarte, including how this arrest report would be written?

A Time out. I think we're having a problem with the tape.

MR. ROSENTHAL: We're having a problem. The tape recorder is just not working.

DET. BURDITT: We're gonna pause again at 1546 hours.

MR. ROSENTHAL: All right. We're going to try again with the tape. It appears to be working now that we've turned the voice activation feature off. Why don't you go ahead?

Q BY DET. BURDITT: Okay. We're back on tape. It's 1546 hours. At Rampart Station following the arrest, did you discuss the circumstances of the arrest with Duarte, including how the arrest report would be written?

A Yes. Yes, I did.

Q Could you tell me, do you specifically remember what you talked to her about relative to how the arrest report would be written?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1(

11

12

1

14

1

1

1'

18

1

2

21

22

2

24

25

2

2

2

A I, basically, told her how the arrest report was gonna go. Like I -- remember when I said earlier that I wanted to feel her out? I wanted to see how her, you know, how her reception level would be, as far as bringing a case on this person.

And she was not as far off as I thought she was gonna be. She was okay with whatever I told her and how it was gonna be written. She was okay with it. So, that's how it was written. She knew how it was gonna be written. Do I have an independent recollection of her reading the report? I do not.

Again, my standard practice was definitely -especially in a case like this, Raquel Duarte, a new officer in C.R.A.S.H., my practice would have been for her to review the report, okay the report, you know, understand the report, and for us to be on the same page.

And -- and, in fact, the -- the face-sheet of the arrest reports are filled out in her handwriting.

Q And as the property report is in her handwriting, which is actually a combined arrest and property -- that's on the face-sheet of the arrest report?

A That's correct. The combined evidence report --O Correct.

A -- on the face-sheet, she booked the evidence. She -- she was well aware of what was happening on this particular case.

Q Where did you obtain the rock cocaine that you planted on Vriones?

A I don't remember specifically where I had it. But I

know it was in my possession somewhere, either in my bag or in my locker. I don't know where specifically I recovered it from, but I -- I generally had rock cocaine in my possession at all -- basically, at all times, I had some narcotics with me.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1(

11

1

1:

14

1!

1

1'

18

1

20

21

22

2

24

25

2

2

2

Q In this case, did you, subsequently, give that cocaine to Duarte for booking?

A Yes, I gave her I think -- I think it was about ten rocks that we booked as though it came from him that he spit out. And that was booked by her.

Q Okay. Why did you give the -- why did you give the cocaine to Duarte instead of booking it yourself?

A Well, I had her -- you know, she had to have some responsibility and some -- you know, something to do. I was gonna write the report, and I wanted her to book the evidence.

And, you know, again, this was all part of whether, you know, like I said, had she said to me, "Wait a minute. Wait a minute. We didn't recover no rocks out there," I would have said, "You're right. I just wanted to check you," and would have went the opposite direction.

I would have let everyone know, you know, wait a minute, you can't trust her. You know, we got to get rid of her real quick.

But, as far as I was concerned, the questions that I was asking, she was answering correctly. She was, basically, going along with the -- the whole thing. So, I felt confident to go ahead and do this. I felt that, you know, she was going along with it okay.

Q Do you recall if you told your partner where the

cocaine came from?

А

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1(

1

1

1

1

1

1

1'

18

1

2

21

22

2

2

25

2

2

2

Telling her?

Q Yes. I know you've already stated you don't recall where that cocaine specifically came from.

A The only possible logical or any possible answer I might have given her where it might have came from, I might have said, "Oh, I got some of my own stash." Or, "I have my own." But I definitely didn't tell her specifically. Well, I don't have no specific recollection of telling her specifically where it came from, because, on this date, four years later, I don't recall where I got it from. But I don't know what happened on that date, that I got involved in, in any sense.

Q Do you recall who booked Vriones?

A Who did the dabising?

Q Booking him into the jail at the cage? The actual booking process on the paper work?

A I'm sure it was both of us. This was nothing special. It was a one-person arrest. It wasn't a complicated thing. I'm sure we just transported him to the glass house and booked him.

Q Do you recall if Vriones complained to you or the jailer, or any supervisor relative to booking him without evidence?

A I don't remember him saying that. And if he had said it, it wouldn't have drawn any attention to me. I mean, all he would have been stating is a fact that I already knew, so I mean, as far as him telling it to me, I wouldn't have thought about it. Maybe if he had have told the supervisor at the jail, but I don't remember him doing that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1(

11

1

1

14

1!

1

1

18

1

2

21

22

2

2

25

2

2'

2

So, I don't have no recollection of that.

Q Okay. Do you know -- do you have knowledge as to what the LAPD Department policy is in regarding choking a suspect in order to obtain evidence from their mouth?

A Well, I know that a use of force -- well, first of all, choke-holds are not an approved method of extracting narcotics from somebody's mouth. That is a -- that's categorized as, I guess, in the lethal area, as far as uses of force.

I know that if you do apply a chokehold on a suspect, I know for a fact that a use of force has to be completed by a supervisor. He has to be brought out by the scene and a use of force investigation has to occur.

Other than that, I don't know what else you're looking for, as far as use of force.

Q Well, let me ask you another question. Do you know what the Department-authorized methods are for attempting to have a defendant spit -- spit cocaine out of his mouth, or narcotics from his mouth?

A Maybe I don't. But I believe that if you asked him to spit it, and they don't spit it, they swallow, you take them to the 13th floor and have some coke pumped into their stomach and have the narcotics pumped out.

Q Okay. In this case, was there medical treatment provided to Vriones?

A No, sir.

Q Do you recall if Vriones -- it's your believe that, in this case, Vriones swallowed cocaine; correct? A Yes, sir.

1 Do you recall if Vriones displayed any symptoms of 0 2 being under the influence of narcotics that he swallowed? 3 I know that I thought about that. And, you know, А 4 looking at his mouth, it looks, you know, a little bit 5 excessively dry. It was one of those symptom things. 6 But I knew we wanted to get rid of him pretty quick. 7 I know it was one of those things where, you know, let's hurry 8 up and get him out of here. That type of thing. 9 But I don't remember seeing any symptoms that he was 1(showing, at that point. I know we got rid of him pretty quick, 1 for that reason. 1 Did you or Duarte obtain booking approval, if you 0 1 recall? 1 I don't. I would suspect that Raquel Duarte would А 1 have obtained it. But I don't have an independent recollection 1 of that. And I don't see a booking recommendation inside this 1 report that you've handed me. 1 Okay. Did Duarte, at any time, attempt to prevent 0 1 you from booking this individual for possession of cocaine? 20 А No, sir. 21 I believe the report was -- who does it show on the 0 22 report as --2 А Sqt. Ortiz. 2 -- the supervisor? Q 25 Sgt. Ortiz. А 20 Do you -- right. Do you recall what you told to Sgt. Q 2' Ortiz concerning this arrest? 2 I think this was probably a get him over the air, А

hey, we've got a guy for dope. Okay to book? "Yeah, okay 1 book." There was nothing -- nothing special about the arrest. 2 So, there was no need to come, inspect, and go over 3 the whole scene, and how we're going to talk about it. This 4 is a quick dope arrest. I got a guy that I'm booking for a 5 couple of rocks. "Okay. No problem." And I signed his name 6 for him. 7 Okay. That was my next question is that it's your 0 8 handwriting? 9 It's my handwriting of Sqt. Ortiz' А Yes, sir. 1(signature. 11 Do you have an independent recollection if Duarte Q 12 read the arrest report? 1: А Independent recollection, no. 14 Is it correct that the combined evidence Q Okay. 15 section of the arrest report is not your handwriting? 1 А That's correct. That's Raquel Duarte's handwriting. 1' And you recognize her handwriting? Q 18 А Yes, sir. 1 Q Is there a PCD attached to a probable cause 20 declaration? 21 А You've just given me three pages of the arrest 22 Everything else is missing. report. 2 Can I pause for just one second, please? Off the 0 24 record at 1555 hours. 25 (Off the record at 3:55 p.m.) 20 (Back on the record at 3:55 p.m.) 2' MR. ROSENTHAL: All right. We're back on the record. 2 BY DET. BURDITT: It's 1555 hours. This is a copy Q

of the PCD, Ray. Whose handwriting is that? 1 This is one side of the PCD. And the --А 2 That's all I have is the one side. Q 3 And the PCD is filled out in my partner Raquel А 4 Duarte's handwriting. Everything is filled out in her 5 handwriting, except the arrest file number, the D.R. number, 6 which is filled out in my handwriting. Everything else, the 7 signature, the employee number, everything is filled out in her 8 handwriting. 9 Okay. I'm going to present you with a copy of a 0 1(field interview card that's dated June 7, 1996. And it's for 11 Rene Vriones. Do you recognize that handwriting? 1 I do. А 1 Q And whose handwriting is that? 1 А This F.I. card is filled out in Raquel Duarte's 1! handwriting. The front of it's filled out in her handwriting. 1 The back of it is filled out in her handwriting. 1' Okay. The next question I have is did -- do you know Q 18 if Sgt. Ortiz read this arrest report? 1 I have no independent recollection of him reading the А 20 report. 2 Did you tell Ortiz that you fabricated the report? Q 22 I don't believe I told him that on this case. А 2 Is this something you would have told him? Q 2 Not necessarily. This was like a -- this is almost А 25 like a nothing arrest. I mean, it probably took a whole hour 2 and-a-half to write the report and do everything. 2' So, it was nothing really to -- to go over. And it 2 was just quick. You know, and he -- he may have assumed

whatever he wanted to assume, but it was like, "Hey, I got a body for dope. And I'm gonna book him downtown." "Yeah, okay. 2 No problem. Sign my name." 3 And that was just what happened. 4 Did you testify at a preliminary hearing on this 0 5 case? 6 А I don't know. I don't recall. 7 Okay. Do you know what the final disposition of the Q 8 case was? 9 I do not. А 1(Okay. That's all the questions I have. Q 1: MR. ROSENTHAL: Okay. That's it for this case. It's four 12 o'clock. And we're off the record. 1: (Off the record at 4:00 p.m.) 14 (Back on the record at 4:20 p.m.) 1 MR. ROSENTHAL: All right. We're back on the record. 1 It's 4:20. This interview will be relating to a multi-four 1 arrest. Miguel Yanez, Y-a-n-e-z; Israel Cid, C-i-d; Jeffrey 1 Tse, T-s-e; and Armando Sanchez. D.R. Number is 97-02-00529. 1 There were actually four separate case filings. And 20 Mr. Yanez is Case No. BA144748. Mr. Cid, it was probation 21 violation only, as to Case No. BA100701. Mr. Tse is a City 22 Attorney filing. Case No. 7CR04901. And Mr. Sanchez was a 2 juvenile, Case No. FJ16241. 2 I have been advised that there have been compelled 25 statements taken from officers by Internal Affairs 2 investigators with respect to this case. 2 However, the questioning officer is Sgt. Perez, no 2 relation, who has not been exposed to any of those compelled

statements and has, in fact, is based -- is basing his questioning upon the reading of the prior Perez' transcripts and not based upon any information obtained or questioning requested by the officers exposed to the compelled statements. Is that right, Sgt. Perez?

SGT. PEREZ: Yes, that's correct.

MR. ROSENTHAL: Okay. Go ahead.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1(

11

12

1

14

1

1

1'

18

1

2

21

22

2

24

25

2

2

2

Q BY SGT. PEREZ: Okay. This is gonna be a taperecorded interview of Internal Affairs Investigation in Complaint Form No. 00-0478. Today's date is June 16, 2000. And the time would be 1621 hours.

Location of the interview will be confidential. Present to be interviewed will be Rafael Perez. Also present is his attorney Winston McKesson. And representing the District Attorney's Office will be Deputy District Attorney Richard Rosenthal.

Conducting the interview will be Sgt. Michael Perez, Serial No. 21965 and Sgt. II Diane Burns, Serial No. 25028.

Also present as observers will be Sgt. I Debra Orpin, Serial No. 27432 and Det. II Michael Burditt, Serial No. 24454. Also present as the stenographer will be Sara Mahan.

Okay, Ray, you had an opportunity to review the transcripts from your previous interview with Robbery/Homicide, and the arrest report. And took a look at some photos. Is that correct?

A That's correct.

Q Okay. I'm going to ask you a couple of questions -- just one question on the first location here. And the location that we're talking about is gonna be the location that belongs to Mr. Yanez. And you indicated prior that you had conducted a search of Mr. Yanez' residence. Can you tell me what officers were involved in that search?

A There was just two officers, myself and Officer Richardson searched the initial location, the Kent address.

Q Okay. No one else entered that house?

A No, sir.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1(

1

1

1:

14

1

1

1'

18

1

20

21

22

2

24

25

2

2

2

Q Okay. We'll go on to the next location. Now, you indicated on Page 105 of the transcripts there with Robbery/Homicide Lines 10-15, that you took Yanez by the location and he showed you the house of the person he had just purchased the gun from. What car did you transport Yanez in?

MR. ROSENTHAL: I'm sorry. Before you answer that, let's just get the volume number. This is an old pagination, so, therefore, the date of the interview -- do you know the date of the interview Mr. Perez is looking at right now?

SGT. PEREZ: On that transcript, no, I don't. I think you indicated that you had it on your files.

MR. ROSENTHAL: Give me a moment here so we can identify it for the record.

SGT. PEREZ: We're going to have to go off the tape now. The time is 16- --

MR. ROSENTHAL: We've got it.

SGT. PEREZ: Oh, okay.

MR. ROSENTHAL: This relates to -- or the interview transcript that Mr. Perez has been handed is from Volume 6. It's currently number Pages 609-631. And the interview -- I'm sorry. It's Volume 4. And the interview was conducted on Friday, October 1st, of 1999.

Go ahead. I'm sorry. 1 THE WITNESS: I think that's yours. 2 MR. ROSENTHAL: Oh. 3 BY SGT. PEREZ: Okay. The question, again, was, do Q 4 you recall what car you transported Yanez in? 5 Α I do not. I know it would have been a plain vehicle. 6 But I don't have a specific recollection as to which vehicle I 7 used. 8 Was anyone else with you when you transported Yanez Q 9 to Benton Way? 1(А My partner would have there. 11 Q And your partner was? 12 А Lucy Diaz. 1 Q Did you have anyone follow you in another police 14 vehicle? 1! А No, sir. 1 Q Referring to Page 105, Line 12, --1 Yes, sir. А 18 -- you stated there was two to three males standing Q 1 in front of the house. 20 Yes, sir. А 21 Can you recall who they were? If they were the three Q 22 individuals that you later arrested? 2 Not for -- with a great deal of certainty. It А 24 appeared to be the same type of -- you know, the three males 25 that we had saw earlier. But I can't say, you know, absolutely 2 those were the same three that we saw earlier. 2' On Page 105, again, Line 13-15, you stated when you Q 2 drove by the location on Benton Way, Yanez told you, "Those are

the guys." Did he, actually, ever point out the actual person that he sold the gun to?

A No, he just referred to the -- the group itself and said, "Yeah, those are the guys."

Q Also, on the same line, you stated Yanez told you he sold the gun for a couple, or like 25 bucks. Do you recall how much he told you he sold that gun for?

A That's exactly what he -- he sold it for. He sold it for a couple of bucks. Probably like \$25. He said something to that effect.

Q When you interviewed Yanez, did you record any of his statements?

A No, sir.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1(

1:

1

1

14

1

1

1'

18

1

2

2

22

2

24

25

2

2

2

Q Did you normally record statements of people that give you information?

A No, sir.

Q Why -- why was Yanez willing to give you this information? Did you make any promises or threats to him?

A I probably made him a promise or something like that. I probably told him that I'd kick him loose or that I wouldn't arrest -- I wouldn't have arrested him, or something to that effect.

I don't know exactly what I promised him. But he was cooperative. And I'm sure I was promising him something. I don't think he was just gonna cooperative just for -- for the heck of it. I just don't remember what it was that I promised him.

Q If you would normally promise someone to cut him loose, would you follow through with that promise?

A About ten percent of the time.

Q You also mentioned that after going to the Benton Way address you returned Yanez to the station. And you met up with some other officers. Do you recall who was present there? Can you tell me if that was Rampart Detectives or the main station?

A That's two questions. And I got both of them. And I'm trying to think. But your first question was who was there? Who did I meet with -- all the officers?

I know Officer Richardson was there. I know Officer Buchanon was there. I know Officer Diaz was there. Officer Montoya was there. Officer Rios was there. I want to say that a sergeant, -- at this point, those are the ones that I definitely remember being there, at the time.

Q Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1

1

1

1

14

1

1

1'

18

1

2

21

22

2

24

25

2

2

2

A And the other question was?

Q Where was that meet at? The Rampart Detectives or the main station?

A No, that was at the main station, at Rampart station itself.

Q Oh, okay.

A Yeah, I -- I think, for some reason, it was real close. And we wanted to do this real quick. So, we -- we stopped at the regular station, at not at Detectives.

Q Did you actually stand up yourself and give a briefing to what was going to take place?

A Yes, sir. Basically, I just -- I described how we were gonna approach and how we're gonna go in tandem -- how we're going to -- how we're going to approach, what we were looking for, you know, three males, and that sort of thing,

,	yes.		
1	Q	Did Officer Diaz have any input in that briefing?	
2	A	I think little to none. I don't think so.	
3	Q	And, now, this is my next question, and you were	
4	hitting o	n it briefly. Was there a supervisor present during	
5	that briefing?		
6	A	You know, I'm not certain whether he was at the	
7	briefing. I know, eventually, he was at the scene.		
8	Q	And who was that?	
9	A	Sgt. Guerrero. I'm just not certain whether he was	
10	at the a	ctual scene when we were discussing, you know, how	
11	we're gonna hit the location.		
12	Q	Normally, when you hit a location that would involve	
13	numerous C.R.A.S.H. units in a tactical situation, was it		
14	customary	for you to notify a supervisor?	
15	A	Yes, sir.	
16	Q	And you mentioned that you did a little diagram on	
17	the chalkboard?		
18	А	I didn't mention that. But go ahead.	
19	Q	Okay. On Page 105, I'm sorry, Line 19.	
20	А	Page 105, Line 19. Okay.	
21	Q	You mentioned that you did a little diagram on the	
22	chalkboard. Do you remember where the chalkboard was located?		
23	А	Where was it located?	
24	Q	In the station.	
25	А	I think it was in the roll call room.	
26	Q	In the roll call room?	
27	А	Right.	
28	Q	Did you draw the diagram?	

А Yes. And it was, you know, a quick thing. Not a to-1 scale type thing, yes. 2 Okay. On Line 20, the same page, 105, you mentioned 0 3 who's gonna approach from which direction. Do you remember in 4 which direction you approached? 5 А I approached from the south going northbound. 6 Q And did you have any units coming southbound? 7 Yes, there was one unit coming south. I believe Α 8 there was one unit coming south. And actually the rest of us 9 were coming from the south going north. 1(Who was coming southbound? Q 1: If I gave you an answer right now, I'm gonna be Α 12 guessing. So, I don't know if I should guess. I have an idea 1 who it was, but I'm not certain, so I don't want to guess. 14 Okay. Did you have anyone watching the rear of the Q 1! location? 1 А No, sir. No. 1 When you approached the location, did you actually Q 18 drive all the way up to the residence, or did you park a few 1 houses away? 2 Pulled up right in front. We wanted to get there as А 2 quickly as possible. I'm gonna try and refer to a picture. Do 22 you want me to refer to a picture --2 Sure. Go ahead. 0 24 -- where I pulled up my vehicle? Α 25 Sure. Yeah. Q 2 You just want me to refer to them by number? Α 2 Q Yeah. 2 And then, --А

If there's a number, yeah. And, then --Q 1 Okay. Using the document protector that's marked No. Α 2 8, looking at Photo D, the lower right-hand corner photo, I 3 would have stopped my vehicle about parallel, just south of the 4 actual driveway. This being the driveway. I would have stopped 5 my vehicle right about here. 6 Okay. Now, referring to Picture No. A, upper left-hand 7 photo, the driveway is depicted on the left-hand side of the 8 I would have pulled my vehicle -- and I was the lead photo. 9 vehicle -- I would have pulled in right about here. 1(And how about --0 11 Q BY MR. MCKESSON: Here being? 12 А Right -- the left-hand side -- the south side of the 1 driveway. 14 BY SGT. PEREZ: And in depict- -- on the Photo A, Q 1 you're talking on the very far left-hand side of that photo; 1 is that correct? 1' Yes, sir. А 18 Okay. Were there any other units? You mentioned Q 1 there was one unit approached southbound? 20 А Yes. 21 Did you arrive simultaneously? Q 22 А Yes. 2 And where was his or her vehicle parked when he or 0 24 she arrived? 25 They parked in the street area. Again, referring to Α 2 Photo Number 8, Photo No. A, they would have been parked on the 2 street area right about here, almost directly in front of the 2 house right here.

Okay. And when you're saying "here" --Q 1 Α Right in the center. 2 You're indicating in the center of that photo there? Q 3 Yes, sir. А 4 And that's Photo A? 0 5 А 8, Photo No. A. 6 Q Okay. Did you have a clear unobstructed view of the 7 front porch and door of that house? 8 When I started walking or running in, yes. When I А 9 first showed up, or, you know, depending on what angle I was 1(standing at, I didn't have a clear view. Not until I get into 1 the driveway do I have a clear view. 1 Okay. So, my question is, how about when you were Q 1: inside your vehicle? 14 No, sir. А 1 When you approached the location, you had stated that 0 1 Israel Cid, on an earlier interview, that Israel Cid was the 1' only one standing out in front of the location; is that correct? 18 А That's correct. By the way, do we have photos of 1 these guys at all? 20 You know, I might have them in here. But, if we need Q 21 to get to them, we -- we will. 22 Α Okay. 2 What did you observe him doing when you -- when you 0 24 first observed him -- let me rephrase it. When you first 25 observed him, were you in your car or out on foot? 2 А I was out on foot. 2 Q And where was he? 2 In the porch area of the -- let me refer to the А

picture again. He was, again, using Photo No. 8, or Photo No. C, lower left-hand photo, he was standing somewhere in the little -- I'll have to call it the little porch area, or the 3 little landing area to his front door.

> Okay. On Page 106, Line 17-22, you stated that --0 А Line what?

Q Lines 17-22. You stated that Officer Richardson and his partner followed the suspect in the rear of the location and ordered Defendant No. 3, which would be Tse, I believe that's pronounced, or spelled, T-s-e, to stop. Officer Richardson advised you that Defendant 3, Tse, reached the rear yard fence, reached into his waistband and dropped a blue steel semi-auto handgun to the ground.

And that what was on your arrest report, is that correct?

Yes, sir. А

Q And is any of that actually true?

А No.

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

1

1

1

1

14

1!

1

1

1

1

20

21

22

2

2

25

2

2

2

None of it? Q

No, sir. А

Did Richardson ever tell you to write any of that on Q the arrest report you completed?

This is what we came up with after the guns were Α taken into custody inside the house. And we had the females. We decided how we're gonna write it. And we all we're taking credit for -- we were deciding which ones -- who were gonna take credit for. Who's gonna take credit for which gun.

And that's the time we came up with that Richardson was gonna supposedly chase the guy to the rear, and he drops

the gun, and we take him into custody.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1(

1

1

1

14

1

1

1'

18

1

2

21

22

2

24

25

2

2

2

So, it was a cumulative effort as far as to taking credit for each gun, we decided that as far as at the location, while we were in the apartment, after the guns were taken into custody.

Q And did Richardson have input into that decision?A Yes.

Q How about his partner, Buchanon, did he have input into that decision of what was going to be in the report?

A We were all sitting there talking. And, you know, he received the information in an affirmative manner, like, you know, agreeing, okay, fine, you know, that that was gonna be the story.

He didn't necessarily say, hey, we'll just say we recovered that gun and we arrested this guy. It was more like, you know, we were all discussing it. And he just happened to be there and, you know, was okay with it and agreeing with it.

Q Who else was present?

A Montoya was present. Rios was present. I want to say that O'Grady was present, and there was another officer that was present. But I can't think of -- you know, I think in the last interview, I think I said it was Patel, but I just want to be certain.

I want to say it was Patel. But I'm just not -- not certain. I was present. Lucy Diaz was not present. She was outside. I think we had a female in custody, or -- or the mother or somebody was in custody, and was outside. And Lucy Diaz was sitting with her, or standing with her.

Q Okay. Also on Page 106, Lines 23-28, you stated that

Richardson advised me that he ordered Tse to place his hands on the ground and was taken into custody. Officer Buchanon, which was Richardson's partner, recovered the gun and noticed it to be fully loaded one -- and one round in it, with additional rounds in the magazine.

On the next line, you stated that this was not true. Is any of that true?

A Not -- no, sir.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1(

1:

1

1

14

1!

1

1

1

1

20

21

22

2

24

25

2

2'

2

Q Is the part that Richardson told you to write that in the report true?

A Yes, that part is true. Richardson, for whatever reason, took -- he wanted to have credit for that particular guy and that particular arrest. And that's the way it was discussed and it was told to me. That's the way I was gonna write it. And that's the way, as far as the report was gonna appear, that's exactly how it was gonna appear.

Q And, this, again, took place in the house --

A In the house.

Q -- with the same people that were involved?

A Exactly.

Q Did you ever actually tell Richardson you were gonna write those things, those statements in the arrest report?

A I don't think I -- I used those exact words, "That's exactly what I'm gonna write in the report." I think that's what our agreement was. You know, once we came up with the story, that's how it was gonna be written on the arrest report.

We had that understanding. We're not discussing it to discuss it. We're discussing it and coming up with a plan, so, that when I go to write the report, that's exactly how it's gonna be written. Whatever we discuss now, and however we -whatever plan or whatever story we come up with now, that's how it's gonna be written on this report. And that's what these guys are gonna be booked for.

Q Okay. In the arrest report, you wrote that Officer Montoya stated that he observed Subject No. 1, Sanchez, run inside the location holding a blue steel semi-auto handgun in his right hand. Did Montoya tell you to write that?

A That was, again, basically, a cumulative effort. That was the very last -- the very last person that we discussed as to how we're gonna tie him in.

And, again, that was also a cumulative effort as to how he was gonna be tied-in. And it was -- the whole thing was, basically, well, yeah, okay, you guys saw him running in, you saw him holding a gun? Yeah. Yeah, we saw him holding a gun. And, then, the gun that we recovered inside is the same gun that he had. Once the gun was recovered, he was taken into custody.

So, it was, basically, a cumulative effort as far as the story goes. And it was agreed, you know, we were all -we all agreed upon the story was gonna be.

Q Is it possible that Sanchez was outside location upon your arrival, and you just didn't see him?

A Oh, yeah, it's possible that he was on the front porch and did go in. Certainly. But, the part about, you know, seeing the gun and all that, that's all fabricated.

Q And how do you know that?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1(

11

11

1

1

1

1

1'

18

1

2

21

22

2

24

25

2

2'

2

A I was the lead car. I was the first one, you know, right up front. I was the first one into the driveway. I mean 1 How about the car that approached in the other 0 2 direction? 3 You won't be able to see inside there. You won't be А 4 able to see into the front yard or anything until you get into 5 the driveway area. Well, let me -- let me find a picture here. 6 Well, look at -- have you noticed this picture here? 7 What picture are we referring to? Is that --0 8 Picture No. 8. Photo No. A. When you park on the А 9 street here, there's a set of bushes out front. 1(It's a large hedge then about how tall? Q 11 The hedge? I don't know. They appear to be about, Α 12 I don't know, three and-a-half feet, four feet high. And if 1 you park on the street, you're not gonna be able to see very 14 well into the front porch area. 1 You're definitely not gonna see at nighttime someone 1 holding a gun or removing a gun out of their waistband, 1 especially, since if you look, -- I'm gonna refer to Photo No.

9, Photo No. D -- if you look into the driveway area, the house sits lower than the street level.

In other words, you have to go down into it. So, it's hard to see. You know, you're going to see above their -- you can barely see them, in other words.

Q Okay. Explain to me how each suspect was taken into custody. First of all, let's talk about Israel Cid.

A Israel Cid is the -- the one that I say drops the gun?

Q The one that was outside the location --

A Outside.

1

1

2

21

22

2

24

25

2

2

2

-- upon your arrival. You said that's the only one Q 1 that you actually saw. 2 He -- he gets taken in custody inside. Α 3 Q He gets taken into custody inside? 4 А Right. 5 Q Okay. 6 А Along with, I think it's Sanchez or -- I don't know 7 all their names as to who each one is. But --8 Okay. Q 9 -- there was -- I know one was taken into custody Α 1(outside. And two were taken into custody inside. 1: One was taken into custody outside? Q 12 Yes, sir. А 1 Q Okay. 14 And, I believe --А 1! (Off the record to change tape at 4:45.) 1 (Back on the record at 4:45.) 1' MR. ROSENTHAL: All right. Well, it is 4:45. So, I think 18 what we'll have to do is just continue with this line of 1 questioning in the next interview. So, unless there is 2 something you want to do, something real short to just get to 2 a good ending point. 22 SGT. PEREZ: No, I think -- like I said, I have three page 23 -- three full pages of questions still. 24 DET. BURDITT: Are we definitely set? 25 SGT. PEREZ: We're probably talking -- we're talking maybe 2 about a half an hour. 2' MR. ROSENTHAL: Okay. And Mr. McKesson has requested that 2 we conclude at this time. So, all right. It's 4:45. This

would conclude today's interview. We're off the record. 1 (Off the record at 4:45 p.m.) 2 (Back on the record at 4:47 p.m.) 3 MR. ROSENTHAL: It's 4:47. I just want to go back on the 4 record for one quick issue. 5 Mr. Perez, do you recall, while you were a police Q 6 officer, did you ever testify to any civil depositions? 7 City civil depositions? А 8 Yes. Or where there was a lawsuit filed and you were Q 9 called as a witness? 1(Yes, sir. А 11 Okay. Do you recall on about how many occasions? Q 12 Were they numerous or just a few? 1: I had a few. А 14 A few, just a real estimate of the number. Q 1! Oh, well, maybe three or four that I can remember. А 1 Q Okay. Do you, at this time, remember what cases they 1' involved? 18 I remember one was a drunk driver that me and Tovar А 1 made an arrest on. I really can't remember. I mean, it was -20 - gosh, I don't remember. 2 Q All right. 22 I'd be guessing. I'd really have to dig deep in my А 23 brain. 24 Okay. Thank you. It's 4:48. We're off the record. Q 25 (Off the record at 4:48 p.m.) 20 -00000-2' 2

1		OFFICER INDEX
2		June 16, 2000
3		
4	Officer Mike Buchanon	3723, 3730-3731
5	Sgt. Paul Byrnes	3638, 3649, 3662
6	Officer Covarrubias	3676
7	Officer Lucy Diaz	3722-3724, 3730
8	Officer Raquel Duarte	3616-3626, 3704-3717
9	Officer Nino Durden	3655
10	Officer Jeff Graham	3644-3646
11	Sgt. Alfonso Guerrero	3724
12	Officer Paul Harper	3641, 3659-3660
13	Sgt. George Hoopes	3662
14	Officer Brian Liddy	3641, 3659-3660
15	Officer David Mack	3686, 3692-3693
16 17	Officer Samuel Martin	3644-3645, 3670-3674, 3678-3681, 3685-3686, 3692-3693
18	Officer Michael Montoya	3724, 3730-3732
19	Officer Joe Morrison	3690-3691
20	Officer Thomas O'Grady	3730
21	Sgt. Edwardo Ortiz	3620-3621, 3638, 3641, 3647-3663, 3716-3718
22	Officer Kulin Patel	3696-3698, 3702, 3730
23	Officer Mark Richardson	3720 3723, 3729-3731
24	Officer Mario Rios	3724, 3730
25	Officer Humberto Tovar	3673, 3691, 3735
26		
27		
28		